

LECTURE 3.

ALIREZA SALEHI GOLSEFIDY

Let's see a few new construction of rings:

Definition 1. Let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n be rings. Similar to groups, we can consider their *direct sum*. Namely

$$R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_n = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mid \forall i, r_i \in R_i\}$$

gives us a new ring (with componentwise addition and multiplication). It is again called the *direct sum* of R_1, \dots, R_n .

Example 2. Let $(G, +)$ be an abelian group. Then $(\text{Hom}(G, G), +, \circ)$ is a ring. (It is easy to check all the properties. Notice that $(\text{Fun}(G, G), +, \circ)$ is NOT a ring.)

Remark 3. The above example is a generalization of the fact that $M_n(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathbb{Q}^n)$ or $M_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ are rings!

Remark 4. Whenever you see a new object (structure) in math, you should ask about the maps which preserve its structure (usually called *homomorphisms*) and its subsets with similar structure (sub-, e.g. subgroups).

Let R be a ring. A non-empty subset S of R is called a *subring* if it is a ring with respect to operations of R .

Lemma 5. Let S be anon-empty subset of R . Then S is a subring if and only if

- (1) it is closed under multiplication, i.e. $\forall a, b \in S, ab \in S$.
- (2) it is closed under subtraction, i.e. $\forall a, b \in S, a - b = a + (-b) \in S$.

Proof. From group theory, we know that $(S, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$. By the assumption (S, \cdot) is a semigroup. And since R is a ring, we have the distribution rules. Hence S is a subring. \square

Example 6. $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is a subring if and only if $S = n\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. First let us check that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n\mathbb{Z}$ is a subring. By Lemma 5 it is enough to check the followings:

- (1) (Closed under multiplication) $\forall k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}, (nk) \cdot (nk') = n(nkk') \in n\mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) (Closed under subtraction) $\forall k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}, nk - nk' = n(k - k') \in n\mathbb{Z}$.

To see the other direction, we prove that even any subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is of the above form. Let S be a (additive) subgroup of \mathbb{Z} . If $S = \{0\}$, we are done. So assume that there is $0 \neq a \in S$. Since S is a subgroup, $-a$ is also in S . Either a or $-a$ is a positive integer. So $S \cap \mathbb{N}$ is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} . Thus by the well-ordering principle there is a smallest element n in $S \cap \mathbb{N}$. We claim that $S = n\mathbb{Z}$. If not, there is $b \in S$ which is not a multiple of n . By division algorithm there is an integer q and a positive integer r such that

$$b = nq + r, \text{ and } r < n.$$

Hence $r = b - nq \in S \cap \mathbb{N}$ which contradicts the fact that n is the smallest element in $S \cap \mathbb{N}$. \square

Example 7. Let R be a unital ring. Then the group generated by 1_R is a subring of R .

Date: 1/9/2012.

Proof. Clearly it is closed under subtraction. So by Lemma 5 it is enough to check that it is closed under multiplication, for any $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (k \ 1_R) \cdot (k' \ 1_R) &= \sum_{i=1}^{|k|} (\text{sgn } k) \ 1_R \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{|k'|} (\text{sgn } k') \ 1_R = \sum_{i=1}^{|k|} \sum_{j=1}^{|k'|} (\text{sgn}(k) \ 1_R) \cdot (\text{sgn}(k') \ 1_R) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{|kk'|} (\text{sgn}(kk')) \ 1_R = (|kk'|) (\text{sgn}(kk')) \ 1_R = (kk') \ 1_R, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{sgn}(k) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

□

Definition 8. Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. a is called a *right zero-divisor* (resp. *left zero-divisor*) if there is $0 \neq b \in R$ such that $ba = 0$ (resp. $ab = 0$). a is called a zero divisor if there are non-zero elements b and b' such that $ab = b'a = 0$.

Example 9. If $a \in U(R)$, then a is not a left (or right) zero divisor.

Definition 10. Let R be a commutative unital ring. It is called an *integral domain* if it has no zero-divisors.

Example 11. (1) \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain.

(2) $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a subring of \mathbb{C} and it is an integral domain.

(3) \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are integral domains.

Let's again look at the invertible elements.

Remark 12. 0 can never be invertible unless $R = \{0\}$. So $U(R) \subseteq R \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 13. A unital ring R is called a *division ring* (or a skew field) if $U(R) = R \setminus \{0\}$. A commutative division ring is called a *field*.

Example 14. (1) \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} are fields.

(2) \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are not fields.

(3) $\mathbb{Q}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is a field.

(4) It is not easy to construct division algebras. Here is one of the easiest examples,

$$\mathbb{H} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

It is called a quaternion algebra. I will leave it as an exercise to show that \mathbb{H} is a division ring.