

# Background on AG: Module of differentials

Thursday, May 11, 2017 9:05 AM

Def. Let  $X$  be a variety. We say  $x \in X$  is a simple point if

$$\dim T_x X = \dim X.$$

Alternatively we might say  $X$  is smooth at  $x$  or  $X$  is non-singular at  $x$ .

To understand simple points we have to study the module of differentials.

Recall.  $A$ :  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra. Then

$$A\text{-mod.} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\text{-mod.}$$

$$M \longmapsto \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M)$$

- $A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} A_2$   $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{A_1}(A_2, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A_2, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A_1, M)$$

- $M_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} M_2$   $A$ -mod. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\delta} & M_1 \\ \phi_*(\delta) \searrow & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \phi \\ & & M_2 \end{array}, \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_*(\delta)(a_1, a_2) &= \phi(a_1, \delta(a_2) + a_2 \delta(a_1)) \\ &= a_1 \phi_*(\delta)(a_2) + a_2 \phi_*(\delta)(a_1) \end{aligned}$$

So we get  $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M_1) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M_2)$ .

So we get  $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M_1) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M_2)$ .

and  $\phi_*$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -mod. homomorphism.

So it is a functor.

**Q** Is it a representable functor, i.e. is there an  $A$ -mod.  $\Omega$

$$M \longmapsto \text{Hom}_A(\Omega, M)$$

is naturally the same as  $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M)$ ?

Theorem.  $\exists!$   $(\Omega, d)$  s.t. ①  $\Omega : A$ -mod.

②  $d \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, \Omega)$

and ③ (universal property)

$\forall M : A$ -mod,

$$\delta \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M)$$

$\exists \phi_{\delta} \in \text{Hom}_A(\Omega, M)$  s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega \\ & \searrow^{\delta} & \vdots \downarrow \phi_{\delta} \\ & & M \end{array}$$

And  $\text{Hom}_A(\Omega, M) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, M)$

$$\phi \longmapsto \phi_*(d)$$

is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -mod. isomorphism.

One can use the above universal property to construct  $\Omega$ .

But we will take another route here:

Let  $A \otimes_R A \xrightarrow{m} A$  be the multiplication homomorphism.  
 $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$

Let  $I := \ker(m)$ , and  $\Omega_{A/R} := I/I^2$ .

Clearly  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is an  $A \otimes_R A$ -mod. Notice that  $I$  annihilates

$\Omega_{A/R}$ . So  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is an  $A$ -mod.

Lemma.  $I$  is generated by  $a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a$ .

Pf. Let  $J = \langle a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a \mid a \in A \rangle$ . It is clear that  $J \subseteq I$ .

Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = 0$ . Then

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \right) + J = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right) \otimes 1 + J = J$$

↓

in  $A \otimes A / J$  we have  $\overline{b \otimes 1} = \overline{1 \otimes b}$   
and so  $\overline{a \otimes b} = \overline{ab \otimes 1}$ .

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \in J$ . So  $I \subseteq J$ . ■

Let  $d: A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$ ,  $da := (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a) + I^2$ .

So by the above lemma,  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is generated by  $\underline{da}$  as an  $A$ -mod.

Lemma.  $d: A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$ ,  $da := (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a) + I^2$

is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -derivative.

is an  $R$ -derivative.

Pf. It is clearly  $R$ -mod. homomorphism.

$$\cdot d(a_1 a_2) = [a_1 a_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a_1 a_2]$$

$$a_1 da_2 + a_2 da_1 = a_1 [a_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a_2] + a_2 [a_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a_1]$$

$$= [a_1 a_2 \otimes 1 - a_1 \otimes a_2] + [a_1 \otimes a_2 - 1 \otimes a_1 a_2]$$

$$= [a_1 a_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a_1 a_2]. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma.  $(\Omega_{A/R}, d)$  satisfies the universal property.

Pf. Let  $\delta: A \rightarrow M$  be an  $R$ -derivation. To define  $\phi_\delta$ ,

we look at the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{A/R} \\ & \searrow \delta & \downarrow \text{---} \\ & & M \end{array}$$

So  $\phi_\delta(da)$  should be  $\delta(a)$ .

We have to show  $\phi_\delta(da) := \delta(a)$  is well-defined and

$A$ -linear. So we have to show  $\phi_\delta(a_1 da_2) := a_1 \delta(a_2)$

is well-defined (and  $R$ -bilinear on  $(a_1, a_2)$ ).

Let  $\psi: A \otimes A \rightarrow M$ ,  $\psi(a_1 \otimes a_2) := a_2 \delta(a_1)$ . Using

properties of tensor prod. we can show  $\psi$  is a well-defined

$A$ -mod. homomorphism ( $A$  acts on the 2<sup>nd</sup> factor of  $A \otimes A$ ).

Claim.  $\psi(I^2) = 0$ .



$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Pf. } \psi((a_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a_1)(a_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a_2)) \\
&= \psi(a_1 a_2 \otimes 1 - a_2 \otimes a_1 - a_1 \otimes a_2 + 1 \otimes a_1 a_2) \\
&= \underbrace{a_1 a_2 \delta(1)}_0 - \underbrace{a_2 \delta(a_1) - a_1 \delta(a_2) + \delta(a_1 a_2)}_0 \\
&= 0. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

So  $\psi$  induces an  $A$ -mod. homomorphism  $\phi_\delta: I/I^2 = \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$ ,  
and  $\phi_\delta(da) = \delta(a) - a\delta(1) = \delta(a)$ .

So  $\text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  is surjective.  
 $\phi \mapsto \phi_*(d)$

Why is it injective?

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_*(d) = 0 &\Rightarrow \phi(da) = 0 \quad \forall a \in A \\
&\Rightarrow \phi = 0 \quad \text{as } \Omega_{A/R} \text{ is generated by } da\text{'s.} \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma  $(\Omega_{A/R}, d)$  is the unique pair which satisfies the mentioned properties in the above theorem.

Pf. Let  $(\Omega, \delta)$  be another such pair. Then by universal

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \Omega_{A/R} \\
& \nearrow d & \downarrow \phi_d \\
\textcircled{*} \quad A & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Omega \\
& \searrow d & \downarrow \phi_\delta \\
& & \Omega_{A/R}
\end{array}$$

properties  $\exists \phi_d \in \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, \Omega)$

and  $\phi_\delta \in \text{Hom}_A(\Omega, \Omega_{A/R})$  s.t.

$\textcircled{*}$  is a commutative diag.

$\Rightarrow$  by universal property of  $\Omega_{A/R}$

"  $\Rightarrow$  by universal property of  $\Omega_{A/R}$

$$\phi_\delta \circ \phi_d = \text{id}_{\Omega_{A/R}}. \quad \text{Similarly, } \phi_d \circ \phi_\delta = \text{id}_\Omega. \quad \text{So}$$

we get the uniqueness.  $\blacksquare$

Can we compute  $\Omega_{A/R}$  in certain examples?

Lemma.  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] dx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] dx_n.$

$$\text{And } \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad df = \partial_1 f dx_1 + \partial_2 f dx_2 + \dots + \partial_n f dx_n.$$

Pf. It is enough to prove that

$$(\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n], d) \text{ where}$$

$$d: \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

$$d(f) := (\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f) \text{ satisfies the universal property.}$$

Let  $\delta: \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow M$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -derivation.

$$\text{Let } \phi_\delta: \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^n \rightarrow M, \quad \phi_\delta(f_1, \dots, f_n) := f_1 \delta(x_1) + \dots + f_n \delta(x_n).$$

So  $\phi_\delta$  is an  $A$ -mod. homomorphism, and

$$\phi_\delta(d(f)) = \partial_1 f \delta(x_1) + \dots + \partial_n f \delta(x_n).$$

So  $\phi_\delta(d(x_i)) = \delta(x_i)$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is gener. by  $x_i$ 's,

we have  $\phi_\delta \circ d = \delta$ .  $\blacksquare$

To go to f.g.  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, first let's observe the following:

$$\bullet \quad A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \quad \mathbb{R}\text{-algebra homomorphism.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \circ \rightarrow I_A \hookrightarrow A \otimes A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \circ$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \circ & \rightarrow & I_A & \hookrightarrow & A \otimes A & \rightarrow & A \rightarrow \circ \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \otimes \phi & & \downarrow \phi \\ \circ & \rightarrow & I_B & \hookrightarrow & B \otimes B & \rightarrow & B \rightarrow \circ \end{array}$$

So we get  $\phi^0: \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}$ ,

$$\phi^0(d_{A/R} a) = d_{B/R} \phi(a)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \\ d \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\ \Omega_{A/R} & \xrightarrow{\phi^0} & \Omega_{B/R} \end{array}$$

Moreover we get the following commuting diagrams

for a  $B$ -mod.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \circ & & \circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}, M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Der}_A(B, M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/R}, M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Der}_R(B, M) \\ (\phi^0)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Der}_R(A, M) \end{array}$$

Proposition (Finitely presented algebras)

Let  $A = \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n] / \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$  and

$\phi: \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n] \rightarrow A$  be the quotient  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra

homomorphism. Then

$$\circ \rightarrow \langle \sum_i f_j \cdot d\underline{x}_i \otimes 1 \rangle \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n]/\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \circ$$

$$\omega \otimes 1 \mapsto \phi^0(\omega)$$

is an exact sequence.

(Alternatively we can say

$$\varphi: A^n \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}, \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i d[x_i]$$

is onto and its kernel is generated by

$$([\partial_1 f_j], \dots, [\partial_n f_j]) .)$$

Pf. It is enough to prove

$$\langle ([\partial_1 f_j], \dots, [\partial_n f_j]) \rangle \text{ and}$$

$$d: A \rightarrow \Omega, d([f]) := [([\partial_1 f], \dots, [\partial_n f])]$$

satisfy the universal property (justify this).

Why is  $d$  well-defined?

$$[f] = 0 \iff f \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle \iff f = \sum_r p_r f_r$$

$$\implies \partial_i f = \sum_r \partial_i p_r f_r + p_r \partial_i f_r$$

$$\implies [\partial_i f] = \sum_r [\partial_i p_r] [f_r] + [p_r] \cdot [\partial_i f_r]$$

$$= \sum_r [p_r] [\partial_i f_r]$$

$$\implies ([\partial_1 f], \dots, [\partial_n f]) = \sum_r [p_r] ([\partial_1 f_r], \dots, [\partial_n f_r])$$

$$\implies [([\partial_1 f], \dots, [\partial_n f])] = 0 .$$

• Check that  $d$  is an  $R$ -derivation.

• Suppose  $\delta: A \rightarrow M$  is an  $R$ -derivation.

$$\text{Let } \tilde{\varphi}: A^n \rightarrow M \text{ be } \tilde{\varphi}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta([x_i]) .$$

Let  $\tilde{\phi}_\delta: A^n \rightarrow M$  be  $\tilde{\phi}_\delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta([x_i])$ .

Claim.  $\tilde{\phi}_\delta([f_1], \dots, [f_n]) = 0$ .

Pf.

We know that  $\hat{\phi}_\delta: R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow M$ ,

$\hat{\phi}_\delta(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \sum [g_i] \delta([x_i])$  is a well-defined

$R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -mod. homomorphism and  $\hat{\phi}_\delta(\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f) = \delta([f])$ .

So  $\hat{\phi}_\delta(\partial_1 f_j, \dots, \partial_n f_j) = \delta([f_j]) = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_\delta([f_j]) = 0 \cdot \square$

So  $\tilde{\phi}_\delta$  induces an  $A$ -mod. homomorphism  $\phi_\delta: \Omega \rightarrow M$  s.t.

$\phi_\delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i \delta([x_i])$ . In particular,

$\phi_\delta(d([x_i])) = \delta([x_i])$ . So  $\phi_\delta \circ d = \delta$ . ■

Ex. Let  $A = k[x] / \langle f(x) \rangle$ . Then  $\Omega_{A/k} \simeq k[x] / \langle f'(x), f(x) \rangle$

And so  $\Omega_{A/k} = 0 \iff \langle f'(x), f(x) \rangle = k[x]$ .

When  $k$  is a field, it is equ. to say  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ .

Lemma.  $A$ :  $R$ -algebra and integral domain

$F$ : the quotient field of  $A$ .

$\Rightarrow \Omega_{F/R} \simeq \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A F$ .

Pf. It is enough to check the universal property for

$\Omega_{A/R} \otimes F$  and  $d: F \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \otimes F$ .

$$d(a_1/a_2) := (a_2 da_1 - a_1 da_2) \otimes a_2^{-2}.$$

Let  $\delta: F \rightarrow M$  be an  $R$ -derivation. Then  $\exists \bar{\phi}_\delta: \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow M$

which is  $A$ -mod and  $\bar{\phi}_\delta(da) = \delta(a)$ .

$$\text{Let } \phi_\delta: \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A F \rightarrow M, \phi_\delta(\omega \otimes c) := c \bar{\phi}_\delta(\omega).$$

So  $\phi_\delta$  is an  $F$ -mod homomorphism and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\delta(d(a_1/a_2)) &= a_2^{-2} (a_2 \delta(a_1) - a_1 \delta(a_2)) \\ &= \delta(a_1/a_2). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary.  $\dim \Omega_{k(x_1, \dots, x_n)/k} = n$ .

Corollary.  $\dim_{k(x)} \Omega_{k(x)/k} \leq 1$  and  $\Omega_{k(x)/k} = 0 \iff k(x)/k$  is separably algebraic over  $k$ .

Let's focus on field extensions as they are typically easier to study (and birationality condition is about  $k(X)$  as we will see later.)

• Suppose  $F \subseteq E' \subseteq E$  are field extensions, and  $E/F$  and  $E'/F$  are of finite type.

$$\text{Then } 0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{E'}(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Der}_F(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Der}_F(E', E)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_E(\Omega_{E/E'}, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_E(\Omega_{E/F}, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{E'}(\Omega_{E'/F}, E)$$

And so  $E \otimes_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{E/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/E'} \rightarrow 0$

Lemma. If  $E/E'$  is an algebraic separable extension, then

$$E \otimes_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{E/F}$$

Pf. It is equivalent to say  $\text{Der}_F(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Der}_F(E', E)$

is onto, which means, any  $F$ -derivation

$$\delta: E' \rightarrow E$$

can be extended to an  $F$ -derivation

$$\tilde{\delta}: E \rightarrow E.$$

It is enough to prove it for a simple extension (why?)

So let  $E = E'[a]$ . So  $\min_E(a; x) = f(x)$  is irred.

in  $E'[x]$  and  $f'(a) \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $\tilde{\delta}$  is a function on  $E$  s.t.  $\tilde{\delta}(a) = b$  and  $\tilde{\delta}|_{E'} = \delta$

For  $\tilde{\delta}$  to be an  $F$ -derivation, we should have:

• By induction on  $m$ ,  $\tilde{\delta}(a^m) = m a^{m-1} \tilde{\delta}(a)$

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\delta}(a^{m+1}) &= a \tilde{\delta}(a^m) + a^m \tilde{\delta}(a) = m a^m \tilde{\delta}(a) + a^m \tilde{\delta}(a) \\ &= (m+1) a^m \tilde{\delta}(a).] \end{aligned}$$

•  $\tilde{\delta}(c_m a^m) = a^m \delta(c_m) + m a^{m-1} \tilde{\delta}(a)$  for any  $c_m \in E'$ .

$$\text{So } \tilde{\delta}(g(a)) = \delta(g)(a) + g'(a) \tilde{\delta}(a)$$

$$\text{where } \delta(g)(\underline{x}) = \sum \delta(c_i) \underline{x}^i \text{ if } g(\underline{x}) = \sum c_i \underline{x}^i.$$

Hence we define

$$\tilde{\delta}(g(a)) := \delta(g)(a) + g'(a) b$$

for some  $b \in E$  to be determined later.

We will choose  $b$  in a way that makes  $\tilde{\delta}$  a well-defined function:

$$g_1(a) = g_2(a) \iff g_2(\underline{x}) = g_1(\underline{x}) + f(\underline{x}) p(\underline{x}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \tilde{\delta}(g_1(a)) = \tilde{\delta}(g_2(a)) &\iff \underbrace{\delta(g_1)(a)} + \underbrace{g_1'(a)} b \\ &= \delta(g_2)(a) + g_2'(a) b \\ &= \underbrace{\delta(g_1)(a)} + \delta(f \cdot p)(a) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{g_1'(a)} b + \underbrace{(f'(a)p(a) + f(a)p'(a))}_0 b \end{aligned}$$

$$\iff \delta(f \cdot p)(a) + f'(a) p(a) b = 0$$

for any  $p(\underline{x}) \in E'[\underline{x}]$ .  $\otimes$

Notice that  $\delta(f_1 \cdot f_2) = f_2 \delta(f_1) + f_1 \delta(f_2)$  (why?)

$$\text{So } \otimes \text{ holds } \iff \delta(f)(a) p(a) + \underbrace{f(a)}_0 \delta(p)(a) + f'(a) p(a) b = 0$$

$$\iff (\delta(f)(a) + f'(a) b) p(a) = 0$$

for any  $p(\underline{x}) \in E'[\underline{x}]$ .



$$\iff b = - \frac{\delta(f)(a)}{f'(a)} \text{ which is possible}$$

as  $f'(a) \neq 0$ .

So  $\delta: E' \rightarrow E$  has a unique extension to an  $F$ -derivation.

$$\tilde{\delta}: E \rightarrow E, \text{ where } \tilde{\delta}(a) = - \frac{\delta(f)(a)}{f'(a)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary If  $E/F$  and  $E'/F$  are of finite type and  $E/E'$  is separably algebraic, then

$$\dim_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} = \dim_E \Omega_{E/F}.$$

Pr. Since  $E/E'$  is algebraic and of finite type, it is a finite separable extension. So  $E$  is a simple, separable, algebraic extension of  $E'$ . Hence  $\Omega_{E/E'} = 0$ . On the other hand, by the above lemma

$$0 \rightarrow E \otimes_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/E'} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. So  $E \otimes_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} \simeq \Omega_{E/F}$ , which implies

$$\dim_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} = \dim_E \Omega_{E/F}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Def. We say  $E$  is separably generated over  $F$  if

$\exists F \subseteq E' \subseteq E$  s.t.  $E/E'$  is separably algebraic

and  $E'/F$  is purely transcendental.

Corollary. If  $E/F$  is separably generated, then

$$\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = \text{tr.deg}_F E.$$

Pf.  $\exists$  a purely transcendental extension  $E'/F$  s.t.  $E/E'$  is separable and finite. So  $\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = \dim_{E'} \Omega_{E/F} = \text{tr.deg}_F E$ . ■

Theorem Suppose  $E/F$  is of finite type

(a)  $\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} \geq \text{tr.deg}_F E$

(b) Equality holds  $\iff E/F$  is separably generated.

Pf. We proceed by induction on  $\dim_E \Omega_{E/F}$  (and number of generators of  $E$ ).

$\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = 0$  and  $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$

We know that  $\Omega_{E/F} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_{E'/F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})}$ . So  $\Omega_{E'(\alpha_1)/E'} = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow E'(\alpha_1)/E'$  is a finite separable extension.

$\Rightarrow \dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = \dim_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} \Rightarrow \Omega_{E'/F} = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$  by the induction hypothesis on the number of generators,

$E'/F$  is a finite separable extension.

$\Rightarrow E/F$  is a finite separable extension.

So  $\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = 0 \iff E/F$  is a finite separable extension.

•  $\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = d > 0$

Since  $d > 0$ ,  $\exists \alpha \in E$  s.t.  $d_{E/F}(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Hence  $d_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha) \neq 0$ .

So  $\Omega_{F(\alpha)/F} \neq 0$ , which implies that  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $F$ .

We have 
$$E \otimes_{F(\alpha)} \Omega_{F(\alpha)/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/F(\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $d_{E/F}(\alpha) \neq 0$  and  $\Omega_{F(\alpha)/F} = F(\alpha) d_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha)$ , we have that

$$0 \rightarrow E \otimes_{F(\alpha)} \Omega_{F(\alpha)/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/F(\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. So

$$\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = 1 + \dim_E \Omega_{E/F(\alpha)}.$$

Notice that 
$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr. deg}_F E &= \text{tr. deg}_{F(\alpha)} E + \text{tr. deg}_F F(\alpha) \\ &= \text{tr. deg}_{F(\alpha)} E + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So by the induction hypothesis we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_E \Omega_{E/F} &= 1 + \dim_E \Omega_{E/F(\alpha)} \geq 1 + \text{tr. deg}_{F(\alpha)} E \\ &= \text{tr. deg}_F E; \end{aligned}$$

this finishes the proof of part (a).

Suppose  $\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = \text{tr. deg}_F E$ . Then we get

$$\dim_{F(\alpha)} \Omega_{E/F(\alpha)} = \text{tr. deg}_{F(\alpha)} E = d - 1.$$

So by the induction hypothesis,  $E/F(\alpha)$  is separably generated.

$\Rightarrow \exists E'/F(\omega)$  which is purely transcendental and

$E/E'$  is separably algebraic.

$\Rightarrow E'/F$  is purely transe.  $\Rightarrow E/F$  is separa. genera.

The other direction of part (b) is proved in the previous corollary. ■

Def. We say  $E/F$  is a separable' extension if either

char  $F=0$ , or char  $F=p>0$  and

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in E, \dim_F \sum Fx_i = \dim_F \sum Fx_i^p.$$

Lemma. Suppose char  $F=p>0$ . Then

$$E/F \text{ is separable}' \iff \begin{array}{ccc} E^p \otimes_{F^p} F & \hookrightarrow & E \\ a^p \otimes b & \mapsto & a^p b \end{array}$$

Pr. ( $\Rightarrow$ )

Suppose  $\{\alpha_i\}$  is a basis of  $E/F$ , and  $\{c_j\}$

is a basis of  $F/F^p$ . Then  $\alpha_i^p \otimes c_j$  is an  $F^p$ -basis

of  $E^p \otimes_{F^p} F$ . Now suppose for some  $d_{ij} \in F$  we have

$$\sum d_{ij}^p \alpha_i^p c_j = 0 \iff \sum_i \underbrace{\left( \sum_j d_{ij}^p c_j \right)}_{\in F} \alpha_i^p = 0.$$

Since  $\alpha_i/F$  are indep.  $\Rightarrow \alpha_i^p/F$  are indep.

$$\text{So, } \forall i, \sum_j d_{ij}^p c_j = 0 \Rightarrow d_{ij}^p = 0.$$

Hence  $\alpha_i^p c_j$  are  $F^p$  linearly indep.  $\Rightarrow E^p \otimes_{F^p} F \hookrightarrow E$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) a similar argument.  $\blacksquare$

Lemma. For  $E/F$  finite extension,

$E/F$  is separable'  $\Leftrightarrow E/F$  is separable.

Pf. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Exercise. ( $\Leftarrow$ )  $E = F[\alpha]$ . Let  $f$  be the minimal

poly. of  $\alpha / F$  and  $g$  be its min. poly.  $/ F^{1/p}$ . Then  $g | f | g^p$  (?)  
by separability,  $f = g$ . So  $E \otimes_F F^{1/p}$  is a field.  $\blacksquare$

Theorem.  $E/F$  separable'  $\Leftrightarrow E/F$  separably generated.

(we are assuming that  $E/F$  is of finite type.)

Pf. If  $\text{char } F = 0$ , there is nothing to prove.

• Suppose  $\text{char } F = p > 0$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ )  $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$  are algebraically independent and  $d = \text{tr. deg}_F E$ .

\* If  $d = m$ , then  $E/F$  is sep. gen.

\* If  $d < m - 1$ , then by the induction hypothesis

$\exists x_1, \dots, x_d \in F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1})$  s.t.

$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) / F(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  is finite separable

and  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  are alg. indep.

And  $\exists y_1, \dots, y_d \in F(x_1, \dots, x_d, \alpha_m)$  s.t.

$F(x_1, \dots, x_d, \alpha_m) / F(y_1, \dots, y_d)$  is finite separable

{ here we }

here we are using  $d < m-1$

$F(x_1, \dots, x_d, \alpha_m) / F(y_1, \dots, y_d)$  is finite separable and  $y_1, \dots, y_d$  are alg. indep.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) / F(x_1, \dots, x_d, \alpha_m) \text{ sep. finite} \\ F(x_1, \dots, x_d, \alpha_m) / F(y_1, \dots, y_d) \text{ sep. finite} \end{cases} \Rightarrow$$

$$E / F(y_1, \dots, y_d) \text{ sep. finite} \Rightarrow E / F \text{ sep. gen.}$$

\* Now we assume  $d = m-1$ .

$\exists$  a non-zero polynomial  $f \in F[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  s.t.

$$f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0.$$

• If  $\partial_i f = 0, \forall i$ , then all the monomials of  $f$  are of the form  $(x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m})^p$  for some  $i_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ .

Since  $E/F$  is separable and  $\alpha_I^p := (\alpha_1^{i_1} \dots \alpha_m^{i_m})^p$  are linearly depen. /  $F$ , so are  $\alpha_I$ 's. So

$$\exists f \in F[x_1, \dots, x_m] \text{ s.t. } \textcircled{1} f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \nabla f \neq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow F[x_1, \dots, x_m] / \langle \nabla f \rangle \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_{F[x_1, \dots, x_m] / F}$$

$$\Rightarrow F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) / \langle \nabla f \rangle \twoheadrightarrow F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \otimes \Omega_{F[x_1, \dots, x_m] / F} \simeq \Omega_{E / F}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim \Omega < m-1 = \text{trdeg } E$$

So by the previous theorem,  $\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = \text{tr. deg}_F E$ ,

which implies  $E/F$  is sep. gen.

( $\Leftarrow$ )  $E/F$  sep. gen  $\Rightarrow \exists E'$  s.t.  $\textcircled{1} E/E'$  is sep. finite

$\textcircled{2} E'/F$  is purely trans.

By the above lemma,  $E/E'$  is separable'.

$F(\underline{x}_1^P, \dots, \underline{x}_n^P) \otimes_{F^P} F \simeq F(\underline{x}_1^P, \dots, \underline{x}_n^P) \Rightarrow E'/F$  is

separable'.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^P \otimes_{E'^P} E' \hookrightarrow E & \Rightarrow & E^P \otimes_{E'^P} (E'^P \otimes_{F^P} F) \hookrightarrow E^P \otimes_{E'^P} F' \hookrightarrow E \\ E'^P \otimes_{F^P} F \hookrightarrow E' & \downarrow & \\ & \Rightarrow & E^P \otimes_{F^P} F \hookrightarrow E. \quad \blacksquare \end{array}$$

Corollary. Suppose  $F$  is perfect. Then for any  $E/E'$

finite type extensions of  $F$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} E/E' \text{ is separable gen.} &\Leftrightarrow E \otimes_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{E/F} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Der}_F(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Der}_F(E', E) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Pf.  $F$ : perfect  $\Rightarrow E/F$  and  $E'/F$  are separable'

$\Rightarrow E/F$  and  $E'/F$  are sep. gen.

$\Rightarrow \dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = \text{tr. deg}_F E$  and

$\dim_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} = \text{tr. deg}_F E'$

On the other hand, we have that

$$E \otimes_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{E/F} \rightarrow \Omega_{E/E'} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. So

$$\dim_E \Omega_{E/F} = \dim_E \Omega_{E/E'} + \dim(\operatorname{Im} \alpha)$$

$$= \dim_E \Omega_{E/E'} + \dim_{E'} \Omega_{E'/F} - \dim \ker(\alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{tr.deg}_F E - \operatorname{tr.deg}_F E' + \dim \ker(\alpha) = \dim_E \Omega_{E/E'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{tr.deg}_{E'} E + \dim \ker(\alpha) = \dim_E \Omega_{E/E'}$$

So  $\ker(\alpha) = 0 \iff E/E'$  is separably gen.  $\blacksquare$