

Lecture 13: Prime and maximal ideals

Wednesday, May 2, 2018 11:03 AM

In the previous lecture we defined

$I \triangleleft_{\neq} R$ is prime if $ab \in I \Rightarrow (a \in I \text{ or } b \in I)$.

Proposition. $I \triangleleft R$ is prime $\Leftrightarrow R/I$ is an integral domain.

Pf. (\Rightarrow) . $I \neq R \Rightarrow R/I$ is not the zero ring

$$\cdot (a+I)(b+I) = 0+I \Rightarrow ab+I = 0+I$$

$$\Rightarrow ab \in I \Rightarrow a \in I \text{ or } b \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow a+I = 0+I \text{ or } b+I = 0+I$$

Hence R/I does not have a zero divisor.

(\Leftarrow) . R/I is not the zero ring $\Rightarrow I \neq R$

$$\cdot ab \in I \Rightarrow ab+I = 0+I \Rightarrow (a+I)(b+I) = 0+I$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } a+I = 0+I \text{ or } b+I = 0+I$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } a \in I \text{ or } b \in I. \quad \blacksquare$$

Def. $I \triangleleft_{\neq} R$ is called a maximal ideal if

$$I \subseteq J \triangleleft R \text{ implies } I=J \text{ or } J=R.$$

Again the factor ring R/I can help us to find out if I is

Lecture 13: Maximal ideals

Wednesday, May 2, 2018 11:11 AM

maximal or not.

Proposition. $I \triangleleft R$ is maximal $\iff R/I$ is a field.

Pf. (\implies) Since $I \neq R$, R/I is not the zero ring. Now we need to show $\forall a+I \neq 0+I$, $a+I$ has a multiplicative inverse.

Let $J = I + \langle a \rangle := \{ b + ar \mid b \in I \text{ and } r \in R \}$.

Claim 1 $J \triangleleft R$.

Pf of claim. Using the ideal criterion we need to show

$$x_1, x_2 \in J \implies x_1 - x_2 \in J \quad \text{and} \quad r \in R, x \in J \implies rx \in J$$

$$x_1, x_2 \in J \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 = b_1 + ar_1 \\ x_2 = b_2 + ar_2 \\ b_i \in I, r_i \in R \end{array} \right\} \implies x_1 - x_2 = \underbrace{(b_1 - b_2)}_{\text{in } I} + a \underbrace{(r_1 - r_2)}_{\text{in } R} \implies x_1 - x_2 \in J.$$

$$x \in J \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = b + ar' \\ b \in I, r' \in R \end{array} \right\} \implies rx = \underbrace{rb}_{\text{in } I} + a \underbrace{(rr')}_{\text{in } R} \implies rx \in J.$$

Notice $I \neq J$ as $a \in J \setminus I$.

As I is maximal, $J = R$. Hence $1 \in J$, which implies

Lecture 13: Prime and maximal ideals

Wednesday, May 2, 2018 11:24 AM

$1 = b + ar$ for some $b \in I$ and $r \in R$. Hence

$1 + I = ar + I = (a + I)(r + I)$. Therefore $a + I$ is a unit.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $I \not\subseteq J$ and $J \triangleleft R$. So $\exists a \in J \setminus I$.

Then $a + I \neq 0 + I$ in R/I . As R/I is a field,

$\exists a' \in R$ s.t. $(a + I)(a' + I) = 1 + I$. Hence

$1 = aa' + b$ for some $b \in I$.

$a \in J \Rightarrow aa' \in J \Rightarrow 1 \in J \Rightarrow J = R$. And so I is maximal.

(Notice since R/I is not the zero ring, $I \neq R$.) ■

Corollary. $I \triangleleft R$ maximal $\Rightarrow I \triangleleft R$ prime.

Pf. $I \triangleleft R$ maximal $\Rightarrow R/I$ field

$\Rightarrow R/I$ integral domain $\Rightarrow I \triangleleft R$ prime. ■

Ex. $I \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}$ is maximal $\Leftrightarrow I = p\mathbb{Z}$ where p is prime.

Ex. Suppose $a^2 + b^2 = p$ is prime; then $\langle a + ib \rangle \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is maximal

Lecture 13: Irreducible elements and maximal

Wednesday, May 2, 2018 11:32 AM

Pf. $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle a+bi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ as we proved in the previous

lecture, and so it is a field. Hence $\langle a+bi \rangle$ is a maximal ideal. ■

Next definition help us to understand maximal ideals of a PID.

Def. • Let R be (as before) a unital commutative ring.

$a \in R$ is called irreducible if a is not a zero-divisor and a is not a unit and

$$a = bc \implies \text{either } b \in U(R) \text{ or } c \in U(R).$$

Lemma. Suppose D is a PID, which is not a field. Then

$$a \in D \text{ is irreducible} \iff \langle a \rangle \text{ is a maximal ideal.}$$

Pf. (\implies) Suppose $\langle a \rangle \subsetneq J \triangleleft D$. Since D is a PID,

$J = \langle a' \rangle$ for some $a' \in D$. As $a \in \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle a' \rangle$,

$$a = a'd \text{ for some } d \in D. \text{ Since } a \text{ is irreducible,}$$

either $a' \in U(D)$ or $d \in U(D)$.

If $d \in U(D)$, then $\langle a' \rangle = \langle a'd \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ which is a contra.

Lecture 13: Irreducible and maximal

Wednesday, May 2, 2018 11:43 AM

Therefore $a' \in U(D)$; and so $\langle a' \rangle = D$.

Notice that, since $a \notin U(D)$, $\langle a \rangle \neq D$. And so $\langle a \rangle$ is a maximal ideal.

(\Leftarrow) . if $a=0$, then $D/\langle a \rangle = D/\langle 0 \rangle \cong D$ is a field which is a contradiction. So $a \neq 0$. Since D is an integral domain, a is not a zero-divisor.

. if a is a unit, then $\langle a \rangle = D$ as you had it in your HW; this contradicts the assumption that $\langle a \rangle$ is a maximal ideal.

. if $a=bc$, then $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$. Hence either $\langle b \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ or $\langle b \rangle = D$. As you had it in your HW,

* $\langle b \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ implies $a = bu$ for some $u \in U(D)$; and so $bu = bc$ which implies $c = ue \in U(D)$ (D is an integral domain, and so it has the cancellation property.)

* $\langle b \rangle = D$ implies $b \in U(D)$.

Hence either $b \in U(D)$ or $c \in U(D)$. ■