

# Lecture 16: More thorough study of ring of polynomials

Wednesday, May 9, 2018 11:16 AM

In the previous lecture we saw the importance of having certain methods of finding out if a given polynomial is irreducible or not. So we focus on ring of polynomials for now. Recall

$$\deg(a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0) = n \quad \text{if } a_n \neq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\deg(0) = -\infty.$$

Then we proved:

Lemma. Suppose  $D$  is an integral domain. Then

$$\forall f, g \in D[x], \quad \deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g.$$

We were proving the following:

Proposition. Suppose  $D$  is an integral domain. Then

(1)  $D[x]$  is an integral domain.

(2)  $U(D[x]) = U(D)$ ; in particular, if  $F$  is a field,

$$\text{then } U(F[x]) = \{f(x) \in F[x] \mid \deg f = 0\} = F \setminus \{0\}.$$

Pf. (1) Suppose to the contrary  $f, g \in D[x] \setminus \{0\}$  and  $fg = 0$ .

Then  $\deg f, \deg g \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ , and  $\deg(fg) = -\infty$ , which contradicts

# Lecture 16: Ring of polynomials

Wednesday, May 9, 2018 11:40 AM

the previous lemma.

(2) Suppose  $f(x) \in U(D[x])$ . So  $\exists g(x) \in D[x]$  s.t.

$$f(x) \cdot g(x) = 1.$$

Hence  $\deg fg = \deg 1 = 0$ , which implies

$$0 = \deg f + \deg g; \text{ and so } \deg f = \deg g = 0.$$

Hence  $f(x) = a_0 \in D$  and  $g(x) = b_0 \in D$  and  $a_0 b_0 = 1$ .

therefore  $a_0 \in U(D)$ ; this implies  $U(D[x]) \subseteq U(D)$ . (I)

. Since  $D$  is a subring of  $D[x]$ ,  $U(D) \subseteq U(D[x])$ . (II)

(I) and (II) imply  $U(D) = U(D[x])$ . ■

Ex.  $U(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = U(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\pm 1\}$

$U(\mathbb{Q}[x]) = U(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$

Ex. In  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}[x]$ , there are some non-constant units:

$$1 - 2x \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{16}[x]).$$

Solution.  $1 = 1 - (2x)^4 = (1 - 2x)(1 + (2x) + (2x)^2 + (2x)^3)$ . ■

The following is a good exercise:

## Lecture 16: Factor theorem

Wednesday, May 9, 2018 4:26 PM

$a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in U(R[x]) \iff a_0 \in U(R)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are nilpotent  
that means  $a_i^m = 0$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) You can prove. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) more tools are needed.

Theorem. Suppose  $F$  is a field,  $c \in F$ ,  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . Then

$\exists q(x) \in F[x]$  st.  $f(x) = (x-c)q(x) + f(c)$ .

In particular,  $c$  is a zero of  $f$  if and only if  $\exists q(x) \in F[x]$   
st.  $f(x) = q(x)(x-c)$ .

Pf. By the long division,  $\exists q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$  st.

$f(x) = q(x)(x-c) + r(x)$  and  $\deg r < \deg x-c = 1$ . And so  $r(x)$

is a constant polynomial. Evaluating at  $c$  we get

$$f(c) = \underbrace{q(c)(c-c)}_0 + r(c) \Rightarrow r(c) = f(c).$$

Since  $r(x)$  is constant,  $r(x) = f(c)$ . And so

$$f(x) = q(x)(x-c) + f(c). \quad (\text{I})$$

. If  $c$  is a zero of  $f$ , then  $f(c) = 0$ . Therefore by (I)  $f(x) = q(x)(x-c)$ .

. If  $f(x) = q(x)(x-c)$ , then  $f(c) = q(c)(c-c) = 0$ . ■

# Lecture 16: Number of zeros of a polynomial

Wednesday, May 9, 2018 5:03 PM

Proposition. Suppose  $F$  is a field,  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is a polynomial of degree  $n > 0$ .

(a) If  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in F$  are distinct zeros of  $f$ , then  $\exists g(x) \in F[x]$  s.t.  $f(x) = (x-c_1) \dots (x-c_m) g(x)$ .

(b)  $f(x)$  has at most  $n$  distinct zeros in  $F$ .

Pf. (a) We proceed by induction on  $m$ .

Base of induction.  $m=1$ . By the factor theorem,  $\exists g(x) \in F[x]$ ,

$$f(x) = (x-c_1) g(x).$$

Induction step. Suppose  $c_1, \dots, c_{m+1}$  are distinct zeros in  $F$  of

$f(x)$ . Then by the induction hypothesis,  $\exists g(x) \in F[x]$  s.t.

$$(I) \quad f(x) = (x-c_1) \dots (x-c_m) g(x). \text{ And so}$$

$$0 = f(c_{m+1}) = \underbrace{(c_{m+1}-c_1)}_{\neq 0} \underbrace{(c_{m+1}-c_2)}_{\neq 0} \dots \underbrace{(c_{m+1}-c_m)}_{\neq 0} g(c_{m+1})$$

Since  $F$  has no zero-divisors,  $g(c_{m+1}) = 0$ . Hence by the factor theorem  $\exists q(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $g(x) = (x-c_{m+1}) q(x)$ . And so by (I)

$$f(x) = (x-c_1) \dots (x-c_m) (x-c_{m+1}) q(x).$$

## Lecture 16: Number of zeros of a polynomial

Wednesday, May 9, 2018 5:56 PM

(b) Suppose to the contrary that  $\exists c_1, \dots, c_{n+1}$  zeros of  $f$ .

Then by part (a),  $f(x) = (x - c_1)(x - c_2) \dots (x - c_{n+1})g(x)$

for some  $g(x) \in F[x]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And so } n = \deg f &= \deg(x - c_1) + \dots + \deg(x - c_{n+1}) + \deg g \\ &= n + 1 + \deg g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\deg g = -1$  which is a contradiction. ■

Recall. Suppose  $\text{Char}(R) = p > 0$  is prime. Then

$f: R \rightarrow R$ ,  $f(r) = r^p$  is a ring hom. This is called the

Frobenius map. Consider the Frob. map  $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,

$f(r) = r^p$ . Then for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,

$$f(a) = f(\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{a \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{f(1) + \dots + f(1)}_{a \text{ times}} = \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{a \text{ times}} = a$$

$$\Rightarrow a^p = a.$$

Fermat's little theorem.  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $a^p = a$ .

• Before this you have been working with polynomials in your calc.

courses. But you mainly viewed them as functions. In this course

## Lecture 16: Polynomials and functions

Wednesday, May 9, 2018 6:11 PM

there is a subtle difference between a polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and its underlying function. For instance  $x$  and  $x^p \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  are two different polynomials one of them has degree 1 and the other one has degree  $p$ , but as functions from  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , they are equal as Fermat's little theorem implies.