

Lecture 22: Examples of Eisenstein's criterion

Friday, May 25, 2018 10:24 AM

In the previous lecture we proved Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion; let's see one example:

Ex. Prove that $f(x) = \frac{5}{2}x^6 - \frac{4}{3}x^3 + 7x - \frac{3}{11}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Pf. We multiply by a common denominator in order to get a poly. with integer coeff. Notice that $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ if and only if $66f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ as 66 is a unit in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

$$66f(x) = \frac{33 \times 5}{21} x^6 - \frac{22 \times 4}{21} x^3 + \frac{66 \times 7}{21} x - \frac{6 \times 3}{21} \quad \text{and} \quad 4x$$

and so by Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion $66f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. ■

Ex. Suppose p is a prime. Then $x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \dots + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Pf. At the first glance, Eisenstein's criterion does not seem suitable

Lecture 22: An application of Eisenstein's criterion

Friday, May 25, 2018 10:36 AM

for this problem. Let's have another look at the given polynomial:

$f(x) = x^{p-1} + \dots + x + 1$. It looks like a "partial sum of a geometric

series". So $f(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1}$ (Consider $(x-1)f(x)$

$$= x f(x) - f(x) = (x^p + x^{p-1} + \dots + x) - (x^{p-1} + \dots + x + 1) = x^p - 1.)$$

$$\text{Hence } f(y+1) = \frac{(y+1)^p - 1}{y}$$

$$= \frac{(y^p + \binom{p}{p-1}y^{p-1} + \dots + \binom{p}{i}y^i + \dots + \binom{p}{1}y + 1) - 1}{y}$$

$$= y^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p-1}y^{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{i}y^{i-1} + \dots + \binom{p}{2}y + p$$

$\forall 1 \leq i \leq p-1, p \mid \binom{p}{i}$ and $p^2 \nmid p$. Hence by Eisenstein's criterion

$f(y+1)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[y]$. (*)

• If $f(x)$ is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, then (as $\deg f = p-1 \geq 1$)

$$f(x) = g_1(x) g_2(x) \text{ for some } g_i(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \text{ and } \deg g_i \geq 1.$$

And so $f(y+1) = g_1(y+1) g_2(y+1)$ and $\deg_y g_i(y+1) = \deg_x g_i(x) \geq 1$,

which contradicts (*). ■

Lecture 22: $F[x]$ is a UFD.

Friday, May 25, 2018 10:50 AM

Before proving Eisenstein's criterion, we pointed out that any positive degree poly. in $F[x]$ can be written as a product of irreducibles in a unique way.

Def. An integral domain D is called a Unique Factorization Domain (UFD) if any non-zero non-unit element can be written as a product of irreducible; and the irreducible factors are unique up to reordering and multiplying by a unit.

Ex. \mathbb{Z} is a UFD; $6 = 2 \times 3 = (-3) \times (-2)$

reordering and multiplying by a unit

Theorem. PID \Rightarrow UFD.

Pf. (Existence) Rough idea: for $d \in D$, non-zero and non-unit, we use the following steps:

- . If d is irreducible, we are done
- . If not, $\exists d_1, d'_1$ non-zero, non-unit st. $d = d_1 d'_1$.
- . Repeat this for d_1 and d'_1 .

Lecture 22: PID implies UFD; existence

Friday, May 25, 2018 11:03 AM

If this process ends, it means d has been written as a product of irreducibles. How can we show that this process ends?

Before proving the general case, let's consider $F[x]$ where F is a field. In this case, a polynomial $f(x)$ of $\deg f = d$ cannot be written as a product of more than d polynomials of positive degree. Hence this process ends after at most $\deg f$ steps.

Existence. Suppose to the contrary that this process does not end. So $\exists d_i, d'_i$: non-zero non-units s.t.

$$d = d_1 d'_1, \quad d_1 = d_2 d'_2, \quad d_2 = d_3 d'_3, \quad \dots$$

$$\langle d \rangle \subsetneq \langle d_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle d_2 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$$

\downarrow \downarrow
 d'_1 is d'_2 is
not a not a
unit unit

(We will continue next time)