

# Lecture 23: PID implies UFD

Friday, May 25, 2018 1:14 PM

Theorem. PID  $\Rightarrow$  UFD.

Pf. Existence. We considered the following process:

- . if  $d$  is irreducible, we are done
- . If not,  $d = d_1 d_1'$  and  $d_1, d_1'$  are non-zero, non-unit
- . Repeat for  $d_1$  and  $d_1'$ .

If this process stops, we are done. If not,  $\exists d_i, d_i' \in D$  st.

$d_i, d_i'$  are non-zero non-units and

$d = d_1 d_1', d_1 = d_2 d_2', d_2 = d_3 d_3', \dots$ . Hence

$\langle d \rangle \subsetneq \langle d_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle d_2 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$ . Let  $I := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle d_i \rangle$ .

$\uparrow$   
 $d_1'$  is not a unit

Claim.  $I \triangleleft D$ .

Pf of claim. We use ideal criterion;  $x, y \in I, a \in D$ ,

$\Rightarrow x \in \langle d_i \rangle$  and  $y \in \langle d_j \rangle$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . W.L.O.G let's

assume  $i \leq j$ . Hence  $xy \in \langle d_j \rangle$ ; and so  $x - y \in \langle d_j \rangle \subseteq I$ .

Hence  $x - y \in I$ . We also have  $ax \in \langle d_i \rangle \subseteq I$ ; and claim follows.

# Lecture 23: PID implies UFD

Friday, May 25, 2018 11:20 AM

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a PID,  $\exists d' \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $I = \langle d' \rangle$ .

Hence  $\exists i$  s.t.  $d' \in \langle d_i \rangle$ , which implies  $I = \langle d' \rangle \subseteq \langle d_i \rangle$

And so for any  $i \leq j$ ,  $I \subseteq \langle d_j \rangle \subseteq I$ ; and this

implies  $\langle d_j \rangle = I$  if  $i \leq j$ ; in particular

$\langle d_i \rangle = \langle d_{i+1} \rangle$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Uniqueness. What does it mean?

Suppose  $p_i$ 's and  $q_j$ 's are irreducible, and

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_n = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_m. \text{ Then } m=n, \text{ and}$$

there is a reordering  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  of  $1, \dots, n$  and units  $u_j$  s.t.

$$p_j = u_j \cdot q_{i_j} \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

We proceed by induction on  $n$ .

$$p_1 \cdots p_n \in \langle p_n \rangle \Rightarrow q_1 \cdots q_m \in \langle p_n \rangle$$

$$p_n : \text{irreducible} \} \Rightarrow \langle p_n \rangle : \text{maximal} \Rightarrow \langle p_n \rangle : \text{prime}$$

$\mathcal{D} : \text{PID}$

for some  $i$ ,  $q_i \in \langle p_n \rangle$ .

## Lecture 23: PID implies UFD; uniqueness

Friday, May 25, 2018 11:30 AM

Hence  $\langle q_i \rangle \subseteq \langle p_n \rangle$   $\left. \begin{array}{l} q_i : \text{irreducible} \\ D : \text{PID} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \langle q_i \rangle \text{ is maximal}$   $\left. \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \langle q_i \rangle = \langle p_n \rangle \\ \text{which implies } p_n = v_n q_i \\ \text{for some } v_n \in D^\times. \end{array} \right\}$

And so  $p_1 \cdots p_{n-1} p_n = q_1 \cdots q_m$  implies

$$p_1 \cdots p_{n-1} \cdot v_n q_i = q_1 \cdots q_{i-1} q_i q_{i+1} \cdots q_m. \text{ Hence}$$

$$p_1 \cdots p_{n-1} \cdot v_n = q_1 \cdots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \cdots q_m$$

$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-2}, v_n p_{n-1}$  are irreducible in  $D$ ; and so

by the induction hypothesis  $m-1 = n-1$  (which implies

$n=m$ ); and  $p_1, \dots, p_{n-2},$  and  $v_n p_{n-1}$  are the same as

$q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_m$  up to reordering and multiplying

by units; and claim follows.  $\blacksquare$

Next we go back to the study of zeros of a polynomial.

We will show any poly.  $p(x) \in F[x]$  has a zero in some field extension.

# Lecture 23: Field extension

Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:03 AM

Theorem. Suppose  $F$  is a field and  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is an irreducible polynomial. Then

(1)  $\exists$  a field  $E$  and an injective ring homomorphism

$$i: F \hookrightarrow E \text{ s.t.}$$

(1-a) for some  $\alpha \in E$ ,  $i(f)(\alpha) = 0$ .

( $f(x)$  has a zero in  $E$ .)

(1-b)  $E = \{ i(a_0) + i(a_1)\alpha + \dots + i(a_{n-1})\alpha^{n-1} \mid a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in F \}$

where  $n = \deg f$ .

(2) If  $E'$  is a field and  $i': F \hookrightarrow E'$  is an injective ring homomorphism that satisfy (1-a) and (1-b),

then  $\exists \phi: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E'$  s.t.  $\phi(i(a)) = i'(a)$

for any  $a \in F$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{i} & E \\ & \searrow i' & \downarrow \phi \\ & & E' \end{array}$$

Idea of pf. Suppose  $E$  is a field, and  $\alpha \in E$  is a zero of  $f(x)$ .

Then kernel of  $\phi_\alpha: F[x] \rightarrow E$ ,  $\phi_\alpha(p(x)) = p(\alpha)$  contains  $f(x)$ .

Since  $f(x)$  is irreducible, we have seen that  $\ker \phi_\alpha = \langle f(x) \rangle$ , and

## Lecture 23: Field extension

Wednesday, May 30, 2018 10:19 PM

$\text{Im } \phi_\alpha$  is a field; and  $F[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im } \phi_\alpha$ . In particular,

$$p(x) + \langle f(x) \rangle \mapsto p(\alpha)$$

$$x + \langle f(x) \rangle \mapsto \alpha.$$

So it seems we are forced to think about  $F[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$ .