

Lecture 06: Ring of polynomials

Wednesday, August 16, 2017 1:59 AM

You have seen and worked with real or complex polynomials in a given variable x . We can and will consider polynomials with coefficients in a given ring in an indeterminant x :

$$\mathbb{R}[x] = \{ a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We sometimes write $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ instead of $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$.

Or $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ with an understanding that $a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = \dots = 0$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

$\mathbb{R}[x]$ with the usual $+$ and \cdot is a ring. Here is the

formal definition:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i + b_i) x^i, \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^i \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_{n-i} \right) x^n.$$

Ex. Find $(x+1)^5$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$.

Solution $(x+1)^2 = x^2 + 2x + 1.$

$$(x+1)^4 = (x^2 + 2x + 1)^2 = x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 + 2x^3 + 0 + 2x$$

$$= x^4 + 2x^2 + 1 \Rightarrow (x+1)^5 = x^5 + x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1.$$

Lecture 06 : degree of polynomials

Thursday, August 17, 2017 11:15 PM

For $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, we say

$$\deg f = \max \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{-\infty\} \mid a_n \neq 0 \}.$$

So, degree of the zero polynomial is defined to be $-\infty$;

and $\deg(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) = n$ if $a_n \neq 0$.

Ex. $\deg(1) = 0$ in any (non-zero) unital ring.

Ex. Find $\deg((2x^2-1)(2x+1))$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$.

Solution . $(2x^2-1)(2x+1) = 2^2x^3 + 2x^2 - 2x - 1$
 $= 2x^2 - 2x - 1.$

So $\deg((2x^2-1)(2x+1)) = 2.$

Notice that in the above example

$$\deg(2x^2-1) = 2, \deg(2x+1) = 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\deg((2x^2-1)(2x+1)) = 2 \neq 2+1 = \deg(2x^2-1) + \deg(2x+1)$$

So, for a general ring \mathbb{R} , in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ we do NOT have

$$\deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g.$$

Lecture 06: Degree of product

Thursday, August 17, 2017 11:28 PM

A closer look at the previous example shows us why this equality fails; it fails because of the zero divisors.

Lemma. Suppose R is a ring with no zero divisors. Then

for any $f, g \in R[x]$, we have

$$\deg fg = \deg f + \deg g.$$

Proof. If either f or g is zero, then $fg = 0$.

So the LHS = $-\infty$ and the RHS = $-\infty + \dots = -\infty$

(as a convention: $-\infty + n = -\infty$ and $(-\infty) + (-\infty) = -\infty$.)

Suppose f and g are not zero; and

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \quad a_n \neq 0,$$

$$g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_0, \quad b_m \neq 0.$$

Then $f(x)g(x) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + (\text{terms of degree } < n+m)$.

Since $a_n, b_m \neq 0$ and R has no zero divisors, $a_n b_m \neq 0$.

Hence $\deg fg = n+m = \deg f + \deg g$. ■

Corollary. If R has no zero divisors, then $R[x]$ does not

Lecture 06 : Units of a ring of polynomials

Thursday, August 17, 2017 11:38 PM

has no zero divisors. If D is an integral domain, then $D[x]$ is an integral domain.

Proof. If $fg=0$, then $\deg fg = -\infty$. Since R has no zero divisors, by Lemma, $\deg fg = \deg f + \deg g$. Since two integers cannot add up to $-\infty$, either $\deg f = -\infty$ or $\deg g = -\infty$; which implies either $f=0$ or $g=0$. Hence $R[x]$ does NOT have a zero divisor.

If D is an integral domain, then

- ① D is a non-zero unital ring $\Rightarrow D[x]$ is a non-zero unital ring.
- ② D is commutative $\Rightarrow D[x]$ is commutative
- ③ D does NOT have a zero-divisor $\Rightarrow D[x]$ does not have a zero-divisor.

Justify ① and ②; ③ has been proved in the first part of this argument. ■

Lemma Suppose D is an integral domain. Then $D[x]^{\times} = D^{\times}$.

Pf. Suppose $f \in D[x]^{\times}$. Then $\exists g(x) \in D[x]$ s.t. $f(x)g(x) = 1$.

Lecture 06 : Units of a ring of polynomials

Thursday, August 17, 2017 11:50 PM

Since \mathcal{D} has no zero-divisors, we have

$$0 = \deg fg = \deg f + \deg g.$$

Notice that, since $fg \neq 0$, f and g are NOT zero. So

$$\deg f, \deg g \geq 0.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \deg f + \deg g = 0 \\ \deg f, \deg g \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \deg f = \deg g = 0; \text{ so}$$

$$\exists a_0, b_0 \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } f(x) = a_0 \text{ and } g(x) = b_0.$$

Hence $a_0 b_0 = 1$, which implies $a_0 \in \mathcal{D}^\times$. Therefore

$$f \in \mathcal{D}^\times; \text{ which implies } \mathcal{D}[x]^\times \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\times. \textcircled{I}$$

Since \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{D}[x]$ have the same (multiplicative) identity,

it is clear that $\mathcal{D}^\times \subseteq \mathcal{D}[x]^\times$. Therefore by $\textcircled{I}, \textcircled{II}$

one gets the claim. ■

$$\text{Ex. } \mathbb{Z}[x]^\times = \{-1, 1\}; \quad \mathbb{Q}[x]^\times = \mathbb{Q}^\times = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Ex. For a general ring R , $R[x]^\times$ might be much larger than

$$R^\times: \text{ show that } 1+2x \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]^\times.$$

$$\text{Solution. } (1+2x)(1-2x) = 1 - 2^2 x^2 = 1 = (1-2x)(1+2x). \quad \blacksquare$$

Lecture 06 : nilpotent elements and units.

Friday, August 18, 2017 12:00 AM

A closer look at the previous example shows that the key property is the fact that $2^2=0$ in \mathbb{Z}_4 ; we say 2 is a nilpotent element: In a ring R , an element $a \in R$ is called nilpotent if $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $a^m=0$.

It is a good exercise to show that in a unital commutative ring R , we have

$$a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in R[x]^\times \iff a_0 \in R^\times \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_n \text{ are nilpotent.}$$

The following is the key reason on why the above holds:

Theorem. Suppose R is a unital ring and $a \in R$ is nilpotent. Then $1-a \in R^\times$.

Pf. Suppose $a^n=0$. Then

$$(1-a)(1+a+a^2+\dots+a^{n-1})=1-a^n=1.$$

(Similarly $(1+a+\dots+a^{n-1})(1-a)=1$.) Hence $1-a \in R^\times$. ■

Lecture 06 : Polynomials vs functions

Monday, February 18, 2019 7:19 PM

Prior to this course, you have viewed a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ as a function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . But there is a subtle difference between them. For instance there are only 4 functions from \mathbb{Z}_2 to \mathbb{Z}_2 , but there are infinitely many polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$: $\deg(x^n) = n$ and so x, x^2, x^3, \dots are distinct polynomials ($\sum a_i x^i = \sum b_i x^i \iff \forall i, a_i = b_i$). They are however, equal as functions:

x	x^m
0	0
1	1

An extremely important property of ring of polynomials is the fact that we have a division algorithm:

Theorem. Suppose \mathbb{R} is an integral domain. Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ and $g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_0$. Suppose $b_m \in \mathbb{R}^\times$.

Then $\exists q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ (called the quotient) and

$r(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ (called the remainder) s.t.

① $f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x)$ ② $\deg r < \deg g$.

Moreover such pair (q, r) is unique.

Lecture 06: Division algorithm

Friday, August 18, 2017 1:08 AM

In class we proved the existence first and then showed the uniqueness when R is an integral domain.

Proof of existence. We proceed by the strong induction on $\deg(f)$. To do so first we have to address the case of $f=0$.

Case of $f=0$. Set $q=r=0$. Then

$$\textcircled{1} \deg r = -\infty < m = \deg g. \quad \textcircled{2} f=0 = 0 \times g + 0.$$

Base of induction. $\deg f = 0$. Then $f(x) = a_0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$.

Case 1. $\deg g = m > 0$.

Set $q=0$ and $r(x) = a_0$. Then

$$\textcircled{1} \deg r = 0 < m = \deg g. \quad \textcircled{2} f = a_0 = 0 \times g(x) + r$$

Case 2. $\deg g = m = 0$.

Then $g(x) = b_0$ and $b_0 \in R^\times$.

Set $q(x) = a_0 b_0^{-1}$ and $r(x) = 0$. Then

$$\textcircled{1} \deg r = -\infty < 0 = \deg g. \quad \textcircled{2} f(x) = a_0 = \underbrace{(a_0 b_0^{-1})}_q \underbrace{b_0}_g + \underbrace{0}_r.$$

Lecture 06: Division algorithm (existence)

Friday, August 18, 2017 12:53 PM

Strong induction step. Suppose for any polynomial of $\deg < k$ we can find a quotient and a remainder, and we want to get the same result for $f(x)$ with degree k .

Case 1. $\deg f = k < \deg g = m$.

Set $q = 0$ and $r(x) = f(x)$; check ① and ②.

Case 2. $\deg f = k \geq \deg g = m$.

So $f(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_0$ and $a_k \neq 0$.

We look for a monomial, i.e. $\square x^\square$, s.t. the leading term of $\square x^\square g(x)$ is the same as the leading term $a_k x^k$ of $f(x)$.

That means we'd like to have $(\square x^\square)(b_m x^m) = a_k x^k$.

So the monomial is $a_k b_m^{-1} x^{k-m}$ (notice that $k-m \geq 0$,

and so $a_k b_m^{-1} x^{k-m}$ is a monomial). Hence

$$\deg(f(x) - a_k b_m^{-1} x^{k-m} g(x)) < k.$$

So by the strong induction hypothesis there are $q_1(x), r_1(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$

Lecture 06: Division algorithm (existence)

Thursday, February 21, 2019 1:22 AM

s.t. ① $\deg r_1 < \deg g$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad f(x) - a_k b_m^{-1} x^{k-m} g(x) = q_1(x) g(x) + r_1(x).$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ implies that } f(x) = (a_k b_m^{-1} x^{k-m} + q_1(x)) g(x) + r_1(x). \quad \textcircled{*}$$

$$\text{Let } r(x) = r_1(x) \text{ and } q(x) = a_k b_m^{-1} x^{k-m} + q_1(x).$$

Then ① implies $\deg r < \deg g$ and $\textcircled{*}$ gives us

$$f(x) = q(x) g(x) + r(x). \quad \blacksquare$$