

Lecture 07: Division algorithm (uniqueness)

Thursday, February 21, 2019 2:03 AM

In the previous lecture we proved the existence part of division algorithm.

Theorem (Division algorithm) Suppose D is an integral domain,

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0, \quad g(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0 \in D[x],$$

$b_m \in D^\times$. Then there is a unique pair $q(x)$ and $r(x) \in D[x]$ st.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x) \quad \textcircled{2} \quad \deg r < \deg g.$$

Proof of uniqueness. Suppose

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \deg r_1, \deg r_2 < \deg g$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad f(x) = q_{r_1}(x)g(x) + r_1(x) = q_{r_2}(x)g(x) + r_2(x).$$

We have to show $q_{r_1} = q_{r_2}$ and $r_1 = r_2$.

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ implies } (q_{r_1}(x) - q_{r_2}(x))g(x) = r_2(x) - r_1(x). \quad \textcircled{*}$$

$$\deg(r_2 - r_1) \leq \max(\deg r_1, \deg r_2) < \deg g.$$

Since the leading coeff. of g is a unit, we get

$$\deg((q_{r_1} - q_{r_2})g) = \deg(q_{r_1} - q_{r_2}) + \deg g \text{ (why?)}$$

(In class we proved this in integral domains.)

Lecture 07: The division algorithm (uniqueness)

Friday, August 18, 2017 1:54 PM

Hence $\deg(q_{r_1} - q_{r_2}) + \deg g = \deg(r_2 - r_1) < \deg g$.

And so $\deg(q_{r_1} - q_{r_2}) < 0$, which implies $\deg(q_{r_1} - q_{r_2}) = -\infty$

and $q_{r_1} - q_{r_2} = 0$. Using \oplus we get that $r_2 - r_1 = 0$.

So $q_{r_1} = q_{r_2}$ and $r_1 = r_2$. ■

We have already pointed out that two polynomials can give us the same functions. Nevertheless thinking about polynomials as functions is extremely useful.

For $a \in R$, let $\phi_a: R[x] \rightarrow R$ be

$$\phi_a(f(x)) = f(a).$$

It is called the evaluation at a.

Since both $R[x]$ and R have distributive law, when R is a commutative ring, it is easy to see that

$$\phi_a(f+g) = \phi_a(f) + \phi_a(g)$$

and

$$\phi_a(fg) = \phi_a(f)\phi_a(g);$$

which means:

The evaluation map

Friday, August 18, 2017 12:25 AM

Proposition. Let $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ be commutative rings. Then

for any $a \in R_2$, $\phi_a: R_1[x] \rightarrow R_2$, $\phi_a(f) = f(a)$

is a ring homomorphism.

(Its proof is straightforward; justify this for yourself.)

Ex. Give one non-zero element of $\ker(\phi_i)$ where

$\phi_i: \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the evaluation at i ;

$\phi_i(f(x)) = f(i)$ where $i^2 = -1$.

Solution. $f \in \ker(\phi_i) \iff f(i) = 0$.

So we need to find $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ s.t. i is a zero

of f . By the definition of i we know that it is a

zero of $x^2 + 1$. So $x^2 + 1 \in \ker \phi_i$. ■

Ex. Find all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $x^2 - x - 12 \in \ker \phi_a$ where

$\phi_a: \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the evaluation at a .

Solution. $x^2 - x - 12 \in \ker \phi_a \iff \phi_a(x^2 - x - 12) = 0$

$$\iff a^2 - a - 12 = 0$$

The evaluation homomorphisms

Friday, August 18, 2017 12:44 AM

$$\Leftrightarrow (a-4)(a+3)=0 \text{ in } \mathbb{C} \text{ (and } \mathbb{C} \text{ is a field.)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a=4 \text{ or } a=-3. \quad \blacksquare$$