

$Q(D)$ is a field

Wednesday, August 9, 2017 2:10 PM

Unity. $[(1,1)] \cdot [(a,b)] = [(1 \cdot a, 1 \cdot b)] = [(a,b)]$. ■

Theorem. (a) $Q(D)$ is a field.

(b) $i: D \rightarrow Q(D)$, $i(a) = [(a,1)]$ is an injective ring homomorphism.

(c) If F is a field and $g: D \rightarrow F$ is an injective ring homomorphism, then there is an injective ring homomorphism

$$\tilde{g}: Q(D) \rightarrow F$$

such that $\tilde{g}(i(a)) = g(a)$ for any $a \in D$; and such \tilde{g} is unique.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & D & \\ i \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ & Q(D) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} F \end{array}$$

Pf. (a) We have already proved that $Q(D)$ is a unital comm. ring. So it is enough to show any non-zero element in $Q(D)$ is invertible.

$$[(a,b)] \neq [(0,1)] \Rightarrow a \cdot 1 \neq b \cdot 0 \Rightarrow a \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [(b,a)] \in Q(D)$$

$$[(a,b)] \cdot [(b,a)] = [(ab, ba)] = [(1,1)] = 1_{Q(D)}$$

$$\boxed{ab \cdot 1 = ba \cdot 1}$$

Main properties of $Q(D)$

Wednesday, August 9, 2017 2:20 PM

$$\textcircled{b} \quad i(a+b) \stackrel{?}{=} i(a) + i(b) \iff [(a+b, 1)] \stackrel{?}{=} \underbrace{[(a, 1)] + [(b, 1)]}_{\substack{[(a \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot b, 1 \cdot 1)] \\ a+b \quad 1}} \quad \checkmark$$

$$i(a \cdot b) \stackrel{?}{=} i(a) \cdot i(b) \iff [(ab, 1)] = [(a, 1)] \cdot [(b, 1)] \quad \checkmark$$

$$a \in \ker i \iff i(a) = [(0, 1)]$$

$$\iff [(a, 1)] = [(0, 1)]$$

$$\iff a \times 1 = 1 \times 0 \iff a = 0.$$

So i is injective.

\textcircled{c} Since $g: D \rightarrow F$ is injective, $\forall a \in D \setminus \{0\}$, $g(a) \neq 0$.

So $g(a)^{-1}$ exists in F (as F is a field.)

$$\text{Let } \tilde{g}: Q(D) \rightarrow F, \quad \tilde{g}([(a, b)]) = g(a)g(b)^{-1}.$$

(Notice that, since $b \neq 0$, by the above argument

$g(b)^{-1}$ exists.)

Claim. \tilde{g} is well-defined.

Pf. We have to show $[(a_1, b_1)] = [(a_2, b_2)]$ implies

$$g(a_1)g(b_1)^{-1} = g(a_2)g(b_2)^{-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(a_1, b_1)] = [(a_2, b_2)] &\Rightarrow a_1 b_2 = a_2 b_1 \Rightarrow g(a_1)g(b_2) = g(a_2)g(b_1) \\ &\Rightarrow g(a_1)g(b_1)^{-1} = g(a_2)g(b_2)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Main properties of $Q(D)$

Wednesday, August 9, 2017 2:29 PM

Claim. \tilde{g} is a ring homomorphism.

Pf. $\tilde{g}([a_1, b_1] + [a_2, b_2]) = \tilde{g}([a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2, b_1 b_2])$

$$= g(a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2) g(b_1 b_2)^{-1} = (g(a_1) g(b_2) + g(b_1) g(a_2)) g(b_2)^{-1} g(b_1)^{-1}$$
$$= g(a_1) g(b_1)^{-1} + g(a_2) g(b_2)^{-1}$$
$$= \tilde{g}([a_1, b_1]) + \tilde{g}([a_2, b_2]).$$

$$\tilde{g}([a_1, b_1] \cdot [a_2, b_2]) = \tilde{g}([a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2])$$
$$= g(a_1 a_2) g(b_1 b_2)^{-1}$$
$$= g(a_1) g(a_2) g(b_2)^{-1} g(b_1)^{-1}$$
$$= (g(a_1) g(b_1)^{-1}) (g(a_2) g(b_2)^{-1})$$
$$= \tilde{g}([a_1, b_1]) \tilde{g}([a_2, b_2]).$$

Claim. \tilde{g} is injective

Pf $\tilde{g}([a, b]) = 0 \Rightarrow g(a) g(b)^{-1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow g(a) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow a = 0 \text{ as } g \text{ is injective}$$

$$\Rightarrow [a, b] = [0, b] = [0, 1].$$

Claim. $\tilde{g}(i(a)) = g(a)$.

Pf. $\tilde{g}(i(a)) = \tilde{g}([a, 1]) = g(a) g(1)^{-1}$. So it is enough to show $g(1) = 1$. Notice that $g(1)^2 = g(1 \cdot 1) = g(1)$.

Main properties of $Q(D)$

Wednesday, August 9, 2017 2:42 PM

So $g(1)^2 = g(1)$. Since $1 \neq 0$, $g(1) \neq 0$. So $g(1)^{-1}$ exists.

So $g(1) = 1$.

Claim. There is a unique ring homomorphism $\tilde{g}: Q(D) \rightarrow F$ such that $\tilde{g}(i(a)) = g(a)$ for any $a \in D$.

Pf. Suppose $h: Q(D) \rightarrow F$ is a such homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } h([1, a][a, 1]) &= h([a, a]) = h([1, 1]) \\ &\quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\ &h([1, a]) h(i(a)) \quad h(i(1)) \\ &h([1, a]) g(a) \quad g(1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow h([1, a]) = g(a)^{-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } h([a, b]) &= h([a, 1][1, b]) \\ &= h([a, 1]) h([1, b]) \\ &= g(a) g(b)^{-1} = \tilde{g}([a, b]), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $h = \tilde{g}$. ■

So informally $Q(D)$ is "the smallest field" which contains a "copy of D ".

Examples

Wednesday, August 9, 2017 2:52 PM

Ex. $Q(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$.

Pf. Since $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Q}$, by Theorem $\exists \tilde{g}: Q(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$,
 $x \mapsto x$

$\tilde{g}([a, b]) = g(a)g(b)^{-1} = \frac{a}{b}$, and \tilde{g} is injective.

Clearly \tilde{g} is surjective. So \tilde{g} is an isomorphism.

Ex. If F is a field, then $Q(F) \simeq F$.

Pf. Let $g: F \rightarrow F$, $g(x) = x$. By Theorem \exists an injective ring homomorphism $\tilde{g}: Q(F) \rightarrow F$ such that

$\tilde{g}([a, 1]) = g(a) = a$. So \tilde{g} is surjective as well.

Hence $\tilde{g}: Q(F) \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism.

Ex. Prove that $Q(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ where

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + \sqrt{2}b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + \sqrt{2}b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Pf. Claim 1. $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a subring of \mathbb{R} ; that means

$(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}], +, \cdot)$ is a ring where $+, \cdot$ are the operations

in \mathbb{R} .

Pf of claim 1. For $a + \sqrt{2}b, c + \sqrt{2}d \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$,

$\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a field

Wednesday, August 16, 2017 1:17 AM

$$(a + \sqrt{2}b) - (c + \sqrt{2}d) = \underbrace{(a-c)}_{\text{Since in } \mathbb{Q}} + \sqrt{2} \underbrace{(b-d)}_{\text{and in } \mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$$

So $(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}], +)$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$.

$$(a + \sqrt{2}b)(c + \sqrt{2}d) = \underbrace{(ac + 2bd)}_{\text{Since in } \mathbb{Q}} + \sqrt{2} \underbrace{(ad + bc)}_{\text{and in } \mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$$

So $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is closed under multiplication. Hence

$(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}], +, \cdot)$ is a subring of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$. (Notice that

we do not need to check the associativity of \cdot and the distribution law as they can be deduced from the fact that $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is a ring.)

Claim 2. $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a subfield of \mathbb{R} .

Pf of claim 2. By claim 1, we get that $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a unital commutative ring. So it is enough to show

$$U(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]) = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \setminus \{0\}.$$

Warning: we have to show $a - \sqrt{2}b \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a + \sqrt{2}b \neq 0 &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{a + \sqrt{2}b} = \frac{a - \sqrt{2}b}{(a + \sqrt{2}b)(a - \sqrt{2}b)} = \frac{a - \sqrt{2}b}{a^2 - 2b^2} \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2}\right)}_{\text{in } \mathbb{Q}} - \sqrt{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{b}{a^2 - 2b^2}\right)}_{\text{in } \mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Sqrt(2) is irrational

Wednesday, August 16, 2017 1:30 AM

So as soon as we show: $a + \sqrt{2}b \neq 0$ } $\Rightarrow a - \sqrt{2}b \neq 0$, \otimes
 $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$

we get the 2nd claim.

First we deduce \otimes from irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$, and then we recall two proofs of irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$.

Suppose to the contrary that \otimes does not hold. So

$\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $a + \sqrt{2}b \neq 0$ and $a - \sqrt{2}b = 0$.

If $b \neq 0$, then $\sqrt{2} = a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ which is a contradiction. So

$b = 0$. Hence $a = (\sqrt{2})(0) = 0$; this implies $a + \sqrt{2}b = 0$, which is a contradiction.

• $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Pf (Method 1: using the unique factorization into a product

of primes) Suppose to the contrary that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$ for

$m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then $2n^2 = m^2$. Suppose $n = 2^k n'$

and $m = 2^l m'$ where $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and m', n' are odd.

$\Rightarrow 2n^2 = 2^{2k+1} \underbrace{n'^2}_{\text{odd}} = 2^{2l} \underbrace{m'^2}_{\text{odd}}$. By the uniqueness of factor.

Sqrt(2) is irrational

Wednesday, August 16, 2017 1:39 AM

into primes, the power of 2 on both sides should be the same.

So $2^{k+1} = 2^l$, which is not possible as the left hand side is odd and the right hand side is even.

(Method 2. Only using the Well-ordering principle.)

Suppose to the contrary that there are positive integers m and n such that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$. And so $2n^2 = m^2$. By the well-ordering principle, there is such a pair with smallest possible value of $m+n$.

Since $2 \mid m^2$, m is even. So $m = 2r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Hence $n^2 = 2r^2$. Notice that $r+n < n+m$ and $2r^2 = n^2$ which contradicts our assumption that $\underline{n+m}$ is the minimum of $\{x+y \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 2x^2 = y^2\}$.

Claim 3. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$.

Pf. Let $g: \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$, $g(a+b\sqrt{2}) = a+b\sqrt{2}$.

Since g is an embedding and $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a field,

Field of fractions of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$

Wednesday, August 16, 2017 1:53 AM

the function $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}([(a+b\sqrt{2}), c+d\sqrt{2}]) &= g(a+b\sqrt{2}) g(c+d\sqrt{2})^{-1} \\ &= \frac{a+b\sqrt{2}}{c+d\sqrt{2}}\end{aligned}$$

is an injective ring homomorphism. So to get an isomorphism

it is enough to show \tilde{g} is surjective.

$\forall x+\sqrt{2}y \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$, after taking a common denominator c

of x and y , we can find $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $x = \frac{a}{c}$ and

$$y = \frac{b}{c}. \text{ Thus } x+\sqrt{2}y = \frac{a}{c} + \sqrt{2}\frac{b}{c} = \frac{a+\sqrt{2}b}{c}.$$

$$= g(a+\sqrt{2}b) g(c)^{-1}$$

$$= \tilde{g}([(a+\sqrt{2}b, c)]). \quad \blacksquare$$

Ring of polynomials

Wednesday, August 16, 2017 1:59 AM

You have seen and worked with real or complex polynomials in a given variable x . We can and will consider polynomials with coefficients in a given ring in an indeterminant x :

$$\mathbb{R}[x] = \{ a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We sometimes write $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ instead of $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$.

Or $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ with an understanding that $a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = \dots = 0$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

$\mathbb{R}[x]$ with the usual $+$ and \cdot is a ring. Here is the

formal definition:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i + b_i) x^i, \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^i \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_{n-i} \right) x^n.$$

Ex. Find $(x+1)^5$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$.

Solution $(x+1)^2 = x^2 + 2x + 1.$

$$(x+1)^4 = (x^2 + 2x + 1)^2 = x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 + 2x^3 + 0 + 2x$$

$$= x^4 + 2x^2 + 1 \Rightarrow (x+1)^5 = x^5 + x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1.$$