

Definition. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $\gcd(a, b)$ be the greatest common divisor of a and b .

This means Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$. Then

① $d \mid a \wedge d \mid b$.

② $\begin{matrix} d' \mid a \\ d' \mid b \end{matrix} \Rightarrow d' \leq d$.

Theorem. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\gcd(a, b) = \min \{ ax + by \mid \begin{matrix} \text{① } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{② } ax + by > 0 \end{matrix} \}.$$

Proof. Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$ and $d' = \min \{ ax + by \mid \begin{matrix} \text{① } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{② } ax + by > 0 \end{matrix} \}$.

We will show $d' \geq d$ and $d \geq d'$ and deduce $d = d'$.

Why is $d' \geq d$?

$$\begin{matrix} d \mid a \Rightarrow a \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \Rightarrow ax \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \\ d \mid b \Rightarrow b \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \Rightarrow by \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \end{matrix} \Rightarrow ax + by \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \Rightarrow d \mid ax + by.$$

So, if $ax + by > 0$, we have $d \leq ax + by$.

$$\Rightarrow d \leq d' = \min \{ ax + by \mid \begin{matrix} \text{① } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{② } ax + by > 0 \end{matrix} \}.$$

Why is $d \geq d'$?

We will show d' is a common divisor of a and b .

Let's divide a by d' and suppose q, r are the quotient and remainder, respectively. So

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq r < d' \\ a = d'q + r \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $a = ax_0 + by_0$ for some $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\Rightarrow a = (ax_0 + by_0)q + r$$

$$\Rightarrow r = a(1 - x_0q) + b(-y_0q) < d' \quad \left. \vphantom{r} \right\} \Rightarrow r = 0.$$

$$\text{Since } d' = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} \quad ax + by \\ \textcircled{2} \quad ax + by > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \left. \vphantom{d'} \right\}$$

So $d' \mid a$.

Similarly one can show $d' \mid b$. $\left. \vphantom{d'} \right\} \Rightarrow d' \leq \gcd(a, b) = d$. ■

Corollary. $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(a, b) = ax + by$. ■

Corollary. $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \begin{array}{l} d \mid a \\ d \mid b \end{array} \left. \vphantom{d} \right\} \Rightarrow d \mid \gcd(a, b)$.

Proof. $\exists x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(a, b) = ax_0 + by_0$. $\left. \vphantom{\gcd} \right\} \Rightarrow d \mid \gcd(a, b)$.

$$\begin{array}{l} d \mid a \Rightarrow a \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \Rightarrow ax_0 \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \\ d \mid b \Rightarrow b \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \Rightarrow by_0 \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{ax_0} \right\} \Rightarrow ax_0 + by_0 \stackrel{d}{\equiv} 0$$
 ■

Proposition. $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, c \in \mathbb{Z}, ax + by = c$ has integer solutions
 \Updownarrow
 $\gcd(a, b) \mid c$.

Proof. (\Downarrow) Let $\gcd(a, b) = d$. Then as we have seen above

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad d \mid ax + by \quad \textcircled{*}$$

If $c = ax + by$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, then by $\textcircled{*}$ $d \mid c$.

(\Uparrow) Let $\gcd(a, b) = d$. So $d \mid c \Rightarrow c = dk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

And $\exists x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, d = ax_0 + by_0$. Hence

$$c = dk = a(x_0k) + b(y_0k) \Rightarrow ax + by = c \text{ for}$$

some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. ■

Corollary. Let p be a prime.

$$p \nmid a \Rightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, ax + py = 1.$$

Proof. $\gcd(a, p) \mid p \Rightarrow \gcd(a, p) = 1$ or $p \left. \vphantom{\gcd} \right\} \Rightarrow \gcd(a, p) = 1$.

Since $p \nmid a \implies \gcd(a, p) = 1$.

$$\implies \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, ax + py = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary. Let p be a prime.

$$a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies \exists a' \in \mathbb{Z}, aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies p \nmid a \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \implies \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, ax + py = 1 \\ p: \text{prime} \end{array} \right.$

$$\implies ax \equiv 1 \pmod{p}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Warning. In the above corollary it is extremely important that

p is prime. For instance $\nexists a' \in \mathbb{Z}, 2a' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

In fact, using the above proposition one has:

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}, ax \equiv c \pmod{b} \iff \gcd(a, b) \mid c.$$

Proposition. Let p be prime.

$$p \mid ab \implies p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b.$$

Proof. If not, $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $p \nmid a, p \nmid b$ and $p \mid ab$

$$\implies \exists a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}, \begin{array}{l} aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ bb' \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \end{array} \implies \underbrace{ab} a' b' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

which is a contradiction. \blacksquare