

Problem set 1

Wednesday, September 28, 2016 10:59 PM

1. Prove that $P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$

and $P \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$

(These are called distribution laws.)

2. Prove that $(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow R \equiv (P \Rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)$

(This is essentially the case-by-case proof:

if there are two possibilities for the hypothesis,

one has to deduce the conclusion from each

case separately.)

Can you prove the above equivalency without using truth table?

3. Show that $P \Rightarrow Q \not\equiv Q \Rightarrow P$.

4. (a) Prove that for any real number a we have

$$|a| \geq a.$$

(b) Prove that for any real number b we have

$$|b|^2 = b^2.$$

(c) Prove that for any real numbers c and d we have $|c| + |d| \geq |c+d|$.

Problem set 1

Wednesday, September 28, 2016 11:16 PM

5. Prove that
$$P \Rightarrow (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \wedge \neg Q) \Rightarrow R$$
$$\equiv (P \wedge \neg Q) \wedge (\neg R) \Rightarrow \perp$$

Can you prove this without using truth table?

6. Suppose $d, m_1, m_2, n_1,$ and n_2 are integers. Prove that

$$(d \mid m_1 - m_2 \wedge d \mid n_1 - n_2) \Rightarrow (d \mid (m_1 + n_1) - (m_2 + n_2) \wedge d \mid m_1 n_1 - m_2 n_2)$$

Instead of "and" sometimes we use $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{or} \\ \end{array} \right\}$. So the above problem can be written as

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} d \mid m_1 - m_2 \\ d \mid n_1 - n_2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d \mid (m_1 + n_1) - (m_2 + n_2) \\ d \mid m_1 n_1 - m_2 n_2 \end{array} \right.$$