

# Lecture 15: Jordan-Holder theorem

Monday, October 30, 2017 11:07 AM

Simple groups can be treated as building blocks of (finite) groups.

Def. A composition series of a group  $G$  is

$$1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft N_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_k = G$$

such that  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  is a simple group for any integer  $i \in [1, k]$ .

For any  $i$ ,  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  is called a composition factor of  $G$ .

Def. We write  $(S_1, \dots, S_m) \sim (S'_1, \dots, S'_m)$  if they are the same sequence after a rearranging. (This is only an auxil. notation.)

Theorem. (Jordan-Holder) Suppose  $G$  is a finite group;  $|G| > 1$ .

(a)  $G$  has a composition series; that means there are subgps  $\{1\} = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_k = G$  s.t.  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  are simple.

(b) If  $\{1\} = M_0 \triangleleft M_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_s = G$  and  $\{1\} = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_r = G$  are two composition series, then  $r = s$  and

$$(M_1/M_0, \dots, M_s/M_{s-1}) \sim (N_1/N_0, \dots, N_r/N_{r-1}).$$

Pf. (a) Among all chains:  $\{1\} = N_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_k = G$ ; take a longest one.

Notice that, since  $G$  is a non-trivial finite group, there is such a

# Lecture 15: Jordan-Holder theorem

Sunday, October 29, 2017 10:02 PM

chain.

Claim For any  $i$ ,  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  is simple.

PP. if not,  $\exists \{\bar{e}\} \neq \bar{M} \triangleleft N_i/N_{i-1}$ . Let  $\pi: N_i \rightarrow N_i/N_{i-1}$

be the quotient map; and  $M := \pi^{-1}(\bar{M})$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^{-1}(\{\bar{e}\}) & \triangleleft & \pi^{-1}(\bar{M}) & \triangleleft & \pi^{-1}(N_i/N_{i-1}) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ N_{i-1} & \triangleleft & M & \triangleleft & N_i \end{array}$$

So  $e = N_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_{i-1} \triangleleft M \triangleleft N_i \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_k = G$  is a longer chain;

this is a contradiction.

(b) We proceed by strong induction on  $|G|$ . The base case,  $|G|=2$ ,

is clear. Suppose  $\{e\} =: N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_r = G$  and

$$\{e\} =: M_0 \triangleleft M_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_s = G$$

are two composition series.

Case 1. If  $N_{r-1} = M_{s-1}$ , then using the strong induction hypothe.

, for  $N_{r-1}$ , we get (1)  $r-1 = s-1$

$$(2) (N_1/N_0, \dots, N_{r-1}/N_{r-2}) \sim (M_1/M_0, \dots, M_{s-1}/M_{s-2})$$

Now (1), (2), and  $M_s/M_{s-1} = N_r/N_{r-1}$  imply the claim.

Case 2. Suppose  $N_{r-1} \neq M_{s-1}$ . Let  $N := N_{r-1}$  and  $M := M_{s-1}$ .

# Lecture 15: Jordan-Holder theorem

Sunday, October 29, 2017 10:46 PM

Then  $M, N \triangleleft G$ ; and so  $M \neq MN \triangleleft G \Rightarrow e \neq MN/M \triangleleft G/M$ .  
 $M \neq N$

Since  $G/M$  is simple, we deduce that  $G = MN$ . Therefore

$$(1) \quad G/M = MN/M \cong N/M \cap N \quad \text{and} \quad G/N = MN/N \cong M/M \cap N.$$

In particular, these are simple groups.

Let  $\{e\} = K_0 \triangleleft K_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_t := M \cap N$  be a composition series.

(1) implies that  $\{e\} = K_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_t \triangleleft M$  and  
 $\{e\} = K_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_t \triangleleft N$  are

composition series. Next we will use the strong induction hyp. for  $M$  and  $N$ .

Notice that  $M_0 \triangleleft M_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_{s-1} = M$  and  
 $K_0 \triangleleft K_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_t \triangleleft M$

are two composition series of  $M$ . And so by the strong induction hypothesis, we have

$t = s - 1$ , and

$$(2) \quad (M_0/M_0, \dots, M_{s-1}/M_{s-2}) \sim (K_1/K_0, \dots, K_t/K_{t-1}, M/K_t)$$

# Lecture 15: Jordan-Holder theorem

Sunday, October 29, 2017 11:08 PM

Similarly using  $N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_{r-1} = N$  and  $K_0 \triangleleft K_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_t \triangleleft N$ ,

and the strong induction hypothesis, we deduce

(3)  $t = r-1$ , and

$$(N_1/N_0, \dots, N_{r-1}/N_{r-2}) \sim (K_1/K_0, \dots, K_t/K_{t-1}, N/K_t)$$

(2), (3)  $\Rightarrow$  s.e.r.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$

$$(K_1/K_0, \dots, K_t/K_{t-1}, N/K_t, G/N_{r-1}) \sim (K_1/K_0, \dots, K_t/K_{t-1}, M/K_t, G/M_{s-1})$$

because of (3)      ?      because of (2)

$$(N_1/N_0, \dots, N_{r-1}/N_{r-2}, G/N_{r-1}) \quad (M_1/M_0, \dots, M_{s-1}/M_{s-2}, G/M_{s-1})$$

and the claim follows. ■

Ex. Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group of order  $\prod p_i^{k_i}$  where  $p_i$ 's are distinct primes. Then the composition factors of  $A$  are  $k_i$ -times  $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$ .

Pf. Since  $A$  is abelian, all the composition factors are abelian. So they are cyclic groups of prime order. If  $S$  is

## Lecture 15: Jordan-Holder theorem

Monday, October 30, 2017 12:35 AM

a composition factor, then  $|S| \mid |A|$ . So  $|S| = p_i$  for some  $i$ . On the other hand, if  $(S_1, \dots, S_m)$  are composition factors of  $A$ , then  $|A| = \prod_{i=1}^m |S_i|$ ; and using unique factorization to primes, claim follows. ■

In the next lecture we will define solvable groups, and we will see that a finite group  $G$  is solvable if and only if its composition factors are cyclic of prime order.