

## Lecture 02: Cayley's theorem

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 8:45 AM

At the end of the previous lecture we proved Cayley's theorem. Since this is an important result, we give a self contained proof.

Theorem (Cayley) Let  $G$  be a group. Then  $G \hookrightarrow S_G$ .

Pf. Let  $f: G \rightarrow S_G$ ,  $f(g) := l_g$  where

$$l_g: G \rightarrow G, l_g(g') := gg'.$$

Step 1.  $f(g_1 g_2) = f(g_1) \circ f(g_2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (l_{g_1} \circ l_{g_2})(g') &= l_{g_1}(l_{g_2}(g')) = g_1(g_2 g') \\ &= (g_1 g_2)g' = l_{g_1 g_2}(g'). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2.  $f(e) = \text{id}_G$ .

$$l_e(g') = eg' = g'.$$

Step 3.  $f(g) \in S_G$ .

$$l_g \circ l_{g^{-1}} = l_{gg^{-1}} = l_e = \text{id}_G; \text{ similarly } l_{g^{-1}} \circ l_g = \text{id}_G$$

$$\text{Hence } f(g) = l_g \in S_G.$$

Step 4.  $f: G \rightarrow S_G$  is an injective group hom.

Step 1 and Step 3 imply  $f$  is a group hom.

## Lecture 02: Parametrizing group actions

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 8:54 AM

To show  $f$  is injective, it is enough to show its kernel

$$\ker f := \{g \in G \mid f(g) = \text{id}_G\}$$

is trivial:

$$f(g) = \text{id} \Rightarrow f(g)(e) = e \Rightarrow ge = e \Rightarrow g = e. \quad \blacksquare$$

In the previous lecture we mentioned also the following theorem.

### Theorem (Parametrizing group actions)

Let  $G$  be a group and  $X$  be a non-empty set. Let

$$\text{Act}(G, X) := \{m: G \times X \rightarrow X \mid m \text{ gives us a group action}\}.$$

Let  $\Psi: \text{Act}(G, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, S_X)$

$$(\Psi(m))(g)(x) := m(g, x), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Phi: \text{Hom}(G, S_X) \rightarrow \text{Act}(G, X)$$

$$(\Phi(f))(g, x) := (f(g))(x).$$

Then  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are inverse of each other.

Outline of proof. We fix  $m \in \text{Act}(G, X)$  and would like to show

$$\Psi(m) \in \text{Hom}(G, S_X). \quad \text{Let } \xi(g) := (\Psi(m))(g).$$

## Lecture 02: Parametrizing group actions

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:18 AM

First we think about  $\xi(g)$  just as a function from  $X$  to  $X$ .

Step 1.  $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G, \xi(g_1 g_2) = \xi(g_1) \circ \xi(g_2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf. } \xi(g_1 g_2)(x) &= (g_1 g_2) \cdot x = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) = \xi(g_1)(\xi(g_2)(x)) \\ &= (\xi(g_1) \circ \xi(g_2))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2.  $\xi(e) = \text{id}_X$ .

Step 3.  $\xi(g) \in S_X$  (Show  $\xi(g) \circ \xi(g^{-1}) = \xi(g^{-1}) \circ \xi(g) = \text{id}_X$ .)

Step 4.  $\xi: G \rightarrow S_X$  is a well-defined gp hom.

Next we fix  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, S_X)$ , let  $g \cdot x := f(g)(x)$ . We will show this is a group action.

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) &= f(g_1)(f(g_2)(x)) = (f(g_1) \circ f(g_2))(x) = f(g_1 g_2)(x) \\ &= (g_1 g_2) \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

$$e \cdot x = f(e)(x) = \text{id}_X(x) = x.$$

Ex. Check  $\Phi$  and  $2\mathbb{F}$  are inverse of each other.  $\square$

(You can look at lecture 1 notes).

## Lecture 02: An important trick

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:41 AM

The following is a nice trick based on the previous theorem.

• Suppose  $G$  is a "very large" group,  $X$  is a "small" set, and  $G \curvearrowright X$  non-trivially. Then  $G$  is not simple.

Pf.  $G \curvearrowright X$  has a (non-trivial) associate group hom.

$f: G \rightarrow S_X$ . Since  $|G|$  is "very large" and  $X$  is "small"

(we need  $|G| > |X|!$ ),  $\ker f \neq \{e\}$ ; and so  $G$  has a non-trivial normal subgroup. ■

The following special case is interesting:

• Suppose  $G$  is a "large group" and it has a subgroup  $H$  with small index; to be precise suppose  $|G| > [G:H]!$ . Then  $G$  is not simple.

Pf. Just use the above trick for the left translation action  $G \curvearrowright G/H$ . ■

## Lecture 02: Induced group action

Thursday, September 27, 2018 9:12 AM

Lemma (Induced group action) Suppose  $G \curvearrowright X$  and  $\theta \in \text{Hom}(H, G)$ . Then the following defines a left group action of  $H$  on  $X$ :  $h * x := \theta(h) \cdot x$ .

Pf 1 By the previous theorem,  $\Psi(m) \in \text{Hom}(G, S_X)$

where  $(\Psi(m))(g)(x) = g \cdot x$ . Hence

$\Psi(m) \circ \theta \in \text{Hom}(H, S_X)$ . And so  $\Phi(\Psi(m) \circ \theta)$  is in  $\text{act}(H, X)$ ; and

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi(\Psi(m) \circ \theta))(h, x) &= ((\Psi(m) \circ \theta)(h))(x) \\ &= (\Psi(m)(\theta(h)))(x) \\ &= \theta(h) \cdot x.\end{aligned}$$

Pf 2  $e_H * x = \theta(e_H) \cdot x = e_G \cdot x = x$

$$\begin{aligned}(h_1 * (h_2 * x)) &= \theta(h_1) \cdot (\theta(h_2) \cdot x) = (\theta(h_1) \theta(h_2)) \cdot x \\ &= \theta(h_1 h_2) \cdot x = (h_1 h_2) * x. \quad \blacksquare\end{aligned}$$

Ex.  $G \curvearrowright G$  by conjugation; that means  $g * g' := g g' g^{-1}$ .

Pf.  $g_1 * (g_2 * g') = g_1 (g_2 g' g_2^{-1}) g_1^{-1} = (g_1 g_2) g' (g_1 g_2)^{-1} = (g_1 g_2) * g'$ .

## Lecture 02: Conjugation

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:54 AM

$$e * g' = e g' e^{-1} = g'.$$

Outline of 2<sup>nd</sup> approach. By the meta-example proved in the

1<sup>st</sup> lecture,  $\text{Aut}(G) \curvearrowright G$ ; on the other hand, as

you will prove in your HW,  $c: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $(c(g))(g') := g g' g^{-1}$

is a group homomorphism. And so we get an induced group action

$G \curvearrowright G$ . Going through the bijections, one can see that this

is the conjugation action. ■

Ex.  $G \curvearrowright \{ H \mid H \leq G \}$ ;  $G \curvearrowright \{ H \mid H \leq G, [G:H] = n \}$ .

Pf.  $c(g) \in \text{Aut}(G)$ ; and so  $c(g)(H) \leq G$ ; hence  $g H g^{-1} \leq G$ .

By a similar argument as in the previous example, we get

the 1<sup>st</sup> claim. To see the 2<sup>nd</sup> claim it is enough to show

$$[G: g H g^{-1}] = [G:H].$$

(In general, if  $G \curvearrowright X$ ,  $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$ ,  $\forall g \in G, y \in Y, g \cdot y \in Y$ ,

then  $G \curvearrowright Y$ .)

$[G:H] = n$  implies  $\exists g_i$  s.t.  $G = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n H g_i$ ; and so

## Lecture 02: Orbits

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:06 PM

$$\begin{aligned} G = c(g_i)(G) &= \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n c(g_i)(H g_i) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n c(g_i)(H) c(g_i)(g_i) \\ &= \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n (g_i H g_i^{-1}) (g_i g_i^{-1}); \text{ thus } [G : g_i H g_i^{-1}] = n. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Def. • Suppose  $G \curvearrowright X$ ,  $x \in X$ . The the  $G$ -orbit of  $x$  is

$$G \cdot x := \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G\}.$$

- The stabilizer of  $x$  is  $G_x := \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x\}$ .

Lemma.  $G_x$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

Pf. •  $e \cdot x = x \Rightarrow e \in G_x$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot g_1, g_2 \in G_x &\Rightarrow (g_1 g_2) \cdot x = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) = g_1 \cdot x = x \\ &\Rightarrow g_1 g_2 \in G_x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot g \in G_x &\Rightarrow g \cdot x = x \Rightarrow g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x) = g^{-1} \cdot x \\ &\Rightarrow (g^{-1} g) \cdot x = g^{-1} \cdot x \Rightarrow e \cdot x = g^{-1} \cdot x \\ &\Rightarrow x = g^{-1} \cdot x \Rightarrow g^{-1} \in G_x. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Lemma. Suppose  $G \curvearrowright X$ . Then the following are equivalent.

$$(1) G \cdot x_1 = G \cdot x_2, \quad (2) x_2 \in G \cdot x_1, \quad (3) G \cdot x_1 \cap G \cdot x_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

Pf. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $x_2 = e \cdot x_2 \in G \cdot x_2 = G \cdot x_1$

$$(2) \Rightarrow (3) (x_2 \in G \cdot x_1, x_2 = e \cdot x_2 \in G \cdot x_2) \Rightarrow x_2 \in G \cdot x_1 \cap G \cdot x_2.$$

## Lecture 02: Quotient space

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 10:22 PM

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1) \quad y \in G \cdot x_1 \cap G \cdot x_2 \Rightarrow \exists g_1, g_2 \in G, g_1 \cdot x_1 = g_2 \cdot x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = (g_1^{-1} g_2) \cdot x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall g \in G, g \cdot x_1 = (g g_1^{-1} g_2) \cdot x_2 \in G \cdot x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow G \cdot x_1 \subseteq G \cdot x_2; \text{ and by symmetry } G \cdot x_2 \subseteq G \cdot x_1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem.  $G \backslash X := \{ G \cdot x \mid x \in X \}$  is a partition of  $X$ .

Pf.  $\forall x \in X, x = e \cdot x \in G \cdot x$ ; and so  $\bigcup_{x \in X} G \cdot x = X$ .

And by the previous lemma,  $G \cdot x$ 's are disjoint; and

claim follows.  $\blacksquare$

Theorem (Orbit-Stabilizer) The following is a bijection:

$$G/G_x \xrightarrow{\neq} G \cdot x, \quad g G_x \mapsto g \cdot x.$$

Pf. Well-defined.  $g_1 G_x = g_2 G_x \Rightarrow g_2 = g_1 h$  for some  $h \in G_x$

$$\Rightarrow g_2 \cdot x = (g_1 h) \cdot x = g_1 \cdot (h \cdot x) = g_1 \cdot x$$

Injective.  $g_1 \cdot x = g_2 \cdot x \Rightarrow (g_2^{-1} g_1) \cdot x = x$

$$\Rightarrow g_2^{-1} g_1 \in G_x \Rightarrow g_1 G_x = g_2 G_x.$$

Surjective.  $y \in G \cdot x \Rightarrow \exists g \in G, y = g \cdot x = \neq(g G_x). \quad \blacksquare$



## Lecture 02: Consequence of orbit-stabilizer theorem

Wednesday, October 3, 2018 10:38 PM

Theorem. Suppose  $G$  is a finite group,  $X$  is a non-empty finite set, and  $G \curvearrowright X$ . Then

$$\frac{|X|}{|G|} = \sum_{G \cdot x \in \mathcal{G}X} \frac{1}{|G_x|}.$$

Pf. Since  $\mathcal{G}X$  is a partition of  $X$ ,

$$|X| = \sum_{G \cdot x \in \mathcal{G}X} |G \cdot x|.$$

By the orbit-stabilizer theorem,  $|G \cdot x| = [G : G_x]$ .

$$\text{Hence } |X| = \sum_{G \cdot x \in \mathcal{G}X} [G : G_x] = \sum_{G \cdot x \in \mathcal{G}X} \frac{|G|}{|G_x|}. \quad \blacksquare$$