

Lecture 10: An application of alternating group

Monday, October 29, 2018 11:08 PM

Proposition. Suppose m is a positive odd integer, and G is a group of order $2m$. Then G has a characteristic subgroup of order m .

Lemma. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow S_G$ be the group homomorphism associated with $G \curvearrowright G$ by left translations; that means $\phi(g)(g') := gg'$.

Suppose $|G| < \infty$. Then the cycle type of $\phi(g)$ is $o(g) \geq \dots \geq o(g)$ ($|G|/o(g)$ - many times); in particular for any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ $\phi(g)$ and $\phi(\theta(g))$ have the same cycle type.

Pf. Any orbit of $\langle g \rangle$ has $o(g)$ -many elements as $G \curvearrowright G$ freely; and claim follows. For the 2nd part, notice that $o(g) = o(\theta(g))$ for any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. ■

Pf of proposition. By Cauchy's theorem $\exists g \in G$, $o(g) = 2$.

Then the cycle type of $\phi(g)$ is $\underbrace{2 \geq \dots \geq 2}_m \text{ times}$; and so $\phi(g)$ is odd.

Let $H := \{g \in G \mid \phi(g) \text{ is even}\} = \phi^{-1}(A_n)$. Then by the above lemma, H is a characteristic subgroup. (Since the

Lecture 10: An example

Monday, October 29, 2018 11:22 PM

cycle types of $\phi(h)$ and $\phi(\theta(h))$ are the same, $\phi(h)$ and $\phi(\theta(h))$ have the same parity.) And ϕ induce an injective group homomorphism $\bar{\phi}: G/H \hookrightarrow S_n/A_n \cong \{\pm 1\}$; and since $\bar{\phi}(gH) = \phi(g)A_n \neq 1$, $\bar{\phi}$ is onto. Therefore $|H| = m$. ■

In your HW, you will see a generalization of this.

Next we see how we can treat simple finite groups as building blocks of finite groups.

Def. A composition series of a group G is

$$1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft N_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_k = G$$

such that N_i/N_{i-1} is a simple group for any integer i . For

any i , N_i/N_{i-1} is called a composition factor of G .

Def. We say $(S_1, \dots, S_m) \sim (S'_1, \dots, S'_m)$ if they are the same sequence after a rearranging and applying isomorphisms.

Lecture 10: Jordan-Holder theorem

Monday, October 29, 2018 11:36 PM

Theorem (Jordan-Hölder) Suppose G is a finite group, $|G| > 1$.

(a) G has a composition series.

(b) If $\{1\} = N_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_k = G$ and $\{1\} = M_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_s = G$ are two composition series of G , then $k = s$ and

$$(N_1/N_0, N_2/N_1, \dots, N_k/N_{k-1}) \sim (M_1/M_0, \dots, M_s/M_{s-1}).$$

Pf. (a) Among all chains $\{1\} =: N_0 \triangleleft_{\neq} N_1 \triangleleft_{\neq} \dots \triangleleft_{\neq} N_k = G$, take one of the longest choices. Notice that, since G is a non-trivial finite group, there is such a chain.

Claim. For any i , N_i/N_{i-1} is simple; and so it is a composition series.

Pf of claim. If not, $\exists \{1\} \neq \bar{K} \triangleleft_{\neq} N_i/N_{i-1}$; this implies

$\exists N_{i-1} \triangleleft_{\neq} K \triangleleft_{\neq} N_i$ (and $\bar{K} = K/N_{i-1}$); but then

$$1 = N_0 \triangleleft_{\neq} \dots \triangleleft_{\neq} N_{i-1} \triangleleft_{\neq} K \triangleleft_{\neq} N_i \triangleleft_{\neq} \dots \triangleleft_{\neq} N_k = G$$

is a longer chain, which is a contradiction.

Lecture 10: Jordan-Holder; uniqueness

Monday, October 29, 2018 11:46 PM

(b) We proceed by strong induction on $|G|$. The base case $|G|=2$

is clear. Suppose $\{1\} =: N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_r = G$ and

$\{1\} =: M_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_s = G$ are two composition series.

Case 1. If $\underbrace{N_{r-1}}_H = M_{s-1}$, then $N_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_{r-1}$ and

$M_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_{s-1}$ are two composition series of H . And $|H| < |G|$;

hence by the strong induction hypothesis, $r-1 = s-1$ and

$$(N_1/N_0, \dots, N_{r-1}/N_{r-2}) \sim (M_1/M_0, \dots, M_{s-1}/M_{s-2}). \quad \textcircled{1}$$

As $N_r/N_{r-1} = G/H = M_s/M_{s-1}$, by $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ claim follows.

Case 2. Suppose $N_{r-1} \neq M_{s-1}$ and let $N := N_{r-1}$ and $M := M_{s-1}$.

Hence G/M and G/N are simple and $M \triangleleft MN \triangleleft G$. Therefore

$MN/M \triangleleft G/M$, which implies $MN = G$. Moreover

$$G/M = MN/M \cong N/M \cap N \quad \text{and} \quad G/N = MN/N \cong M/M \cap N. \quad \textcircled{2}$$

In particular, these are simple groups. Let $1 = K_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_l = M \cap N$

be a composition series of $M \cap N$. Then by $\textcircled{2}$ the following

are composition series:

Lecture 10: Jordan-Holder; uniqueness

Tuesday, October 30, 2018 12:03 AM

$$1 = K_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_l \triangleleft M \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = M_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_{s-1} = M ;$$

$$1 = K_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_l \triangleleft N \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = N_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_{r-1} = N .$$

As $|M|, |N| < |G|$, by the strong induction hypothesis,

$$l+1 = s-1 \quad \text{and} \quad l+1 = r-1 ; \quad \text{and}$$

$$(M_1/M_0, \dots, M_{s-1}/M_{s-2}) \sim (K_1/K_0, \dots, K_l/K_{l-1}, \underbrace{M/M_{s-1}}_{\cong G/N}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(N_1/N_0, \dots, N_{r-1}/N_{r-2}) \sim (K_1/K_0, \dots, K_l/K_{l-1}, \underbrace{N/N_{r-1}}_{\cong G/M}) .$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } (M_1/M_0, \dots, M_{s-1}/M_{s-1}) &\sim (K_1/K_0, \dots, K_l/K_{l-1}, G/N, G/M) \\ &\sim (N_1/N_0, \dots, N_{r-1}/N_{r-1}) . \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Observation 1 If (G_1, \dots, G_l) are composition factors of G

(with multiplicity), then $|G| = \prod_{i=1}^l |G_i|$.

• $1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_l = G$ a composition series \Rightarrow

$$\prod_{i=1}^l |G_i| = \prod_{i=1}^l |N_i/N_{i-1}| = |G| .$$

Observation 2. Suppose A is an abelian group of order $\prod p_i^{k_i}$ where p_i 's are distinct primes. Then the composition factors

Lecture 10: Composition factors of abelian groups

Tuesday, October 30, 2018 12:16 AM

of A are k_i -times $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$.

Pf. Since A is abelian, its composition factors are abelian (and simple). So they are cyclic groups of prime order. On the other hand by Observation 1, $|A| = \prod |G_i|$; and so using unique factor. of integers, claim follows. \square

Q What can we say about a group if all of its composition factors are cyclic groups of prime order?

Def. $\forall h, k \in G$, $[h, k] := h^{-1}k^{-1}hk$.

• $H, K \leq G$, let $[H, K] := \langle \{[h, k] \mid h \in H, k \in K\} \rangle$
(Subgroup generated by $[h, k]$'s).

Lemma. $H, K \trianglelefteq G \Rightarrow [H, K] \trianglelefteq G$ and $[H, K] \subseteq H \cap K$.

In particular, if $H \cap K = 1$, then $[H, K] = 1$ which means

$\forall h \in H, k \in K, hk = kh$.

Pf. $\forall g \in G$, let $\phi_g: G \rightarrow G$ be the conjugation by g .

Then $\langle \{ \phi_g([h, k]) \mid h \in H, k \in K \} \rangle = \langle \{ [\phi_g(h), \phi_g(k)] \mid h \in H, k \in K \} \rangle$
 $= \langle \{ [h', k'] \mid h' \in H, k' \in K \} \rangle;$

Lecture 10: Derived series

Tuesday, October 30, 2018 12:33 AM

and so $\phi_g([H, K]) = [H, K]$, which implies $[H, K] \trianglelefteq G$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall h \in H, k \in K, \quad h^{-1} k^{-1} h k &= \overbrace{(h^{-1} k h)^{-1}}^K \cdot \overbrace{k}^K \in K \\ &= \underbrace{h^{-1}}_H \underbrace{(k^{-1} h k)}_H \in H, \end{aligned}$$

and claim follows. \blacksquare

Def. • The derived subgroup of G is $[G, G]$; it is also denoted by $G^{(1)}$.

• The derived series of G is defined recursively:

$$G^{(0)} := G, \quad G^{(i+1)} := [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}] \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}.$$

Lemma. Suppose $N \triangleleft G$. Then

$$G/N \text{ is abelian} \iff [G, G] \subseteq N.$$

(Exercise)

Theorem. Suppose G is a group. Then

$$G^{(k)} = 1 \iff \exists 1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_k = G \text{ st.}$$

$$N_i / N_{i-1} \text{ is abelian for any } i.$$

Pf. (\Rightarrow) By the above lemma $G^{(i)} / [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}]$ is abelian; and so

$$1 = G^{(k)} \triangleleft G^{(k-1)} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G^{(0)} = G \text{ is such a chain.}$$

Lecture 10: Solvable groups

Tuesday, October 30, 2018 8:43 AM

(\Leftarrow) We proceed by induction on n . The previous lemma implies the

base of induction. Suppose $1 = N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_{k+1} = G$ and N_i/N_{i+1} is abelian. Then, by the induction hypothesis, $N_k^{(k)} = 1$. As G/N_k

is abelian, $G^{(1)} \subseteq N_k$; and so $(G^{(1)})^{(k)} = G^{(k+1)} = 1$. ■

Def. A group G is called solvable if $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, $G^{(k)} = 1$.

(Name is given because of a theorem by Galois on solvability of a polynomial by radicals.)