

Lecture 19: Noetherian and ideals

Thursday, December 6, 2018 8:55 AM

Proposition. A is Noetherian \iff any ideal is finitely generated.

Pf. (\implies) Suppose to the contrary that $\exists \mathcal{A} \triangleleft A$ which is not f.g.

We will define recursively a sequence $a_0, a_1, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ st.

(*) $\langle a_0 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_0, a_1 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$. Let $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$; and suppose we have

already found a_0, \dots, a_n that satisfy the above property. Since

\mathcal{A} is NOT f.g., $\exists a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle$, which satisfies (*).

(*) contradicts the fact that a Noetherian ring has a.c.c.

(ascending chain condition).

(\impliedby) We show that A satisfies the a.c.c. Suppose

$\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \dots$ is a chain of ideals of A . Then as we have

proved earlier $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i \triangleleft A$. So $\exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ st.

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i.$$

Hence $\exists i_j$ st. $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i_j}$; let $m := \max\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$. Then

$\mathcal{A}_{i_j} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_m$ for any j , which implies $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}_m$. Therefore

$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{A}_m$; and so $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_m$. Thus for $i \geq m$

Lecture 19: Noetherian and product of irreducibles

Friday, December 7, 2018 9:18 AM

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \{ \alpha_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \subseteq \alpha_m \} \\ \alpha_m \subseteq \alpha_i \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \forall i \geq m, \alpha_i = \alpha_m. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition. Suppose D is a Noetherian integral domain. Then any $a \in D \setminus (\{0\} \cup D^\times)$ can be written as a product of irreducibles.

Prf. Let $\Sigma := \{ \langle a \rangle \mid a \in D \setminus (\{0\} \cup D^\times) \text{ and } a \text{ cannot be written as a product of irreducibles} \}$. We want to show that $\Sigma = \emptyset$. Suppose to the contrary that $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$.

Since D is Noetherian, Σ has a maximal element. So $\exists a_0 \in D$ st.

- ① $a_0 \notin \{0\} \cup D^\times$;
- ② a_0 cannot be written as a prod. of irreducibles;
- ③ and $\langle a_0 \rangle$ is maximal in Σ .

By ②, a_0 is not irreducible; and so by ①,

$\exists b, c \in D \setminus (\{0\} \cup D^\times)$ s.t. $a_0 = bc$; therefore

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \langle a_0 \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle \text{ and } \langle a_0 \rangle \subseteq \langle c \rangle \\ a_0 \not\sim b \text{ and } a_0 \not\sim c \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \langle a_0 \rangle \not\subseteq \langle b \rangle, \langle a_0 \rangle \not\subseteq \langle c \rangle.$$

Hence $\langle b \rangle, \langle c \rangle \notin \Sigma$. As $b, c \notin \{0\} \cup D^\times$, we deduce that

b and c can be written as a product of irreducibles. Therefore

Lecture 19: Irreducible, Prime, and uniqueness

Friday, December 7, 2018 9:34 AM

$\exists p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m \in D$ that are irreducible in D and

$b = p_1 \cdots p_n$ and $c = q_1 \cdots q_m$, which implies

$a = bc = p_1 \cdots p_n \cdot q_1 \cdots q_m$; and so a can be written as

a product of irreducibles which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition. Suppose D is an integral domain, where any

$a \in D \setminus (\{0\} \cup D^\times)$ can be written as a product of irreducibles

(The existence part of being a UFD holds). Then

D is a UFD \iff any irreducible is prime.

Pf. (\implies) Suppose $p \in D$ is irreducible. So $p \notin \{0\} \cup D^\times$; hence

we only need to show $p \mid ab \implies p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

$p \mid ab \implies \exists c \in D, pc = ab$; by the existence part of being

a UFD, $\exists p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m, l_1, \dots, l_k \in D$ irreducible

and $c = p_1 \cdots p_n, a = q_1 \cdots q_m, b = l_1 \cdots l_k$. So

$p \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n = q_1 \cdots q_m \cdot l_1 \cdots l_k$; by the uniqueness part of

being a UFD, $\exists i, p \sim q_i$ or $p \sim l_i$. By symmetry w.l.o.g.

Lecture 19: UFD

Thursday, December 6, 2018 8:56 AM

we can and will assume $p \sim q_i$. That means $q_i = up$ for some $u \in D^\times$. Hence $a = q_1 \cdots q_m = p (u q_1 \cdots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \cdots q_m)$; and so $p | a$.

(\Leftarrow) We have the existence part by assumption. So we focus on the uniqueness part. Suppose $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m$ are irreducible in D and $p_1 \cdots p_n = q_1 \cdots q_m$. So $p_1 | p_1 \cdots p_n = q_1 \cdots q_m$. As p_1 is irreducible, p_1 is prime. Therefore $p_1 | q_1 \cdots q_m$ implies $p_1 | q_{i_1}$ for some i_1 . Thus $q_{i_1} = p_1 \cdot u$ for some $u \in D$. As q_{i_1} is irred. and p_1 is not a unit, we deduce that $u \in D^\times$. And so $p_1 \sim q_{i_1}$; after cancelling p_1 we get $p_2 \cdots p_n = u q_1 \cdots q_{i_1-1} q_{i_1+1} \cdots q_m$ and we can finish the argument by induction on n . ■

Theorem. A Noetherian integral domain is a UFD if and only if any irreducible is prime.

Pf. This is an immediate corollary of the previous propositions. ■

Lecture 19: PID implies UFD

Thursday, December 6, 2018 8:59 AM

Theorem. $\text{PID} \Rightarrow \text{UFD}$.

PP. Suppose D is a PID; then any ideal is principal, and so any ideal is f.g., which implies D is Noetherian.

- In a PID, we have that p is irreducible $\iff p$ is prime.

Hence claim follows from the previous theorem. ■

In general we would like to know if a given ring property can be passed on to the ring of polynomials:

A has property $*$ $\implies A[x]$ has property $*$.

For instance we have seen that, if A is an integral domain, then $A[x]$ is an integral domain.

Roughly geometrically means: suppose TV is the trivial line bundle over a variety V . What kind of properties of V would be passed on to TV ?

Next we see that being a PID is NOT one of those properties.

In fact we show a much stronger statement:

Lecture 19: When is $A[x]$ a PID? Spec of PID

Thursday, December 6, 2018 8:59 AM

Theorem. $A[x]$ is a PID $\Leftrightarrow A$ is a field.

First we study $\text{Spec}(D)$ when D is a PID, and then prove the above theorem.

Theorem. Suppose D is a PID. Then $\text{Spec } D = \{0\} \cup \text{Max } D$.

PF. For any unital commutative ring D , $\text{Max } D \subseteq \text{Spec } D$.

. For an integral domain, $0 \in \text{Spec } D$.

. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } D \setminus \{0\}$. Since D is a PID, $\mathfrak{p} = \langle p \rangle$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{p} = \langle p \rangle \text{ prime} \\ p \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} p \text{ prime} \\ D \text{ PID} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow p \text{ irreducible}$$

$\Rightarrow \langle p \rangle$ maximal among proper principal ideals.
 D PID

$\hookrightarrow \langle p \rangle \in \text{Max } D. \quad \square$

. For $\mathcal{O} \triangleleft A$, $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}: A[x] \rightarrow (A/\mathcal{O})[x]$, $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}\left(\sum_i a_i x^i\right) := \sum_i (a_i + \mathcal{O}) x^i$

is an onto ring homomorphism, and

$\ker \pi_{\mathcal{O}} = \left\{ \sum_i a_i x^i \mid a_i \in \mathcal{O} \right\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}[x]$.

So $A[x]/\mathcal{O}[x] \simeq (A/\mathcal{O})[x]$.

Lecture 19: When is $A[x]$ a PID?

Friday, December 7, 2018 4:29 PM

Cor. $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}[x] \in \text{Spec } A[x] \setminus \text{Max } A[x]$.

Pf. $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \Rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p}$ is integral domain $\Rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]$ is integral domain
 $\Rightarrow A[x]/\mathfrak{p}[x]$ is integral domain
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}[x] \in \text{Spec } A[x]$.

$A[x]/\mathfrak{p}[x] \simeq (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]$
 A/\mathfrak{p} : integral domain $\Rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]^x = (A/\mathfrak{p})^x$
 $\Rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]$ is NOT a field

} $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}[x]$ is NOT maximal. \blacksquare

was not mentioned in class

Cor. If A has a chain $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$ of prime ideals, $A[x]$ has a chain of prime ideals whose length is 1 more.

Pf. $\mathfrak{p}_0[x] \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1[x] \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n[x]$ is a chain of primes in $A[x]$;
Since $\mathfrak{p}_n[x]$ is NOT maximal, $\exists \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } A[x]$ s.t.

$\mathfrak{p}_n[x] \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$; and claim follows. \blacksquare

In Math 200c, we define $\dim A := \sup.$ length of chain of primes, and show $\dim A[x] = \dim A + 1$. The above corollary shows the "easy" part $\dim A[x] \geq \dim A + 1$.

Lecture 19: Hilbert's basis theorem

Thursday, December 6, 2018 9:08 AM

One of the important properties that can be passed to the ring of poly.

from a ring is the Noetherian condition.

Theorem. A is Noetherian $\iff A[x]$ is Noetherian.

Pf. The easy direction (\Leftarrow) was not given in class. Here is its one

line proof: $\mathcal{A}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_2 \subsetneq \dots \implies \mathcal{A}_1[x] \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_2[x] \subsetneq \dots!$

(\Rightarrow) Informal part We have to show any ideal \mathcal{A} of $A[x]$ is f.g..

When A is a field, we used long division to show any ideal is principal. So we need to come up with a generalization of long

division. In the process of long division, at each step we multip.

by a suitable monomial to get rid of the leading term,

and get a smaller degree poly. and repeat this algorithm. In

order to get rid of the leading term ax^n we need to multi.

the leading term bx^m of the divisor by a monomial to match

ax^n . That monomial is $\frac{a}{b}x^{n-m}$; so we need to have

$a \in \langle b \rangle$ (and $n \geq m$). This condition is clear in a field. When A

Lecture 19: Proof of Hilbert's basis theorem

Friday, December 7, 2018 5:02 PM

is not a field, we will follow a similar line of logic; so we

have to be able to access the leading coeff. . Let

(formal)

$$\text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) := \{a \in A \mid \exists a x^n + \text{terms of smaller deg} \in \mathcal{O}\} \cup \{0\}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$

Claim. $\text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) \triangleleft A$.

PF of Claim. $a_1, a_2 \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists f_i(x) = a_i x^{n_i} + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\Rightarrow x^{n_2} f_1(x) + x^{n_1} f_2(x) = (a_1 + a_2) x^{n_1 + n_2} + \text{smaller deg terms} \in \mathcal{O}$$

either $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ or $a_1 + a_2$ is the leading coeff. of an element of \mathcal{O} .

So in either case $a_1 + a_2 \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O})$.

$a \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists f(x) = a x^n + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\Rightarrow \forall r \in A, r f(x) = (ra) x^n + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\Rightarrow ra \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}). \quad \blacksquare \text{ (Claim).}$$

Since A is Noetherian, $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ s.t.

$$\text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle.$$

And so $\exists f_i(x) = a_i x^{n_i} + \text{smaller deg. terms} \in \mathcal{O}$.

(Informal) Next we try to see 'how much of \mathcal{O} can be generated

Lecture 19: Proof of Hilbert's basis theorem

Friday, December 7, 2018 5:17 PM

by f_1, \dots, f_m ?"

So we pick $f \in \mathcal{O}$; then $f(x) = a x^n + \dots$. We want to use a linear combination of f_i 's to get rid of the leading term of f , and then repeat this algorithm:

$$a \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists r_1, \dots, r_m \in A, a = r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_m a_m; \text{ and}$$

$$\text{so } a x^n = \underbrace{(r_1 x^{n-n_1})}_{\text{leading term of } f(x)} (a_1 x^{n_1}) + \dots + \underbrace{(r_m x^{n-n_m})}_{\text{leading term of } f(x)} (a_m x^{n_m})$$

But this idea works only when $n \geq \max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\}$. For

$k < \max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\}$ we need to focus on the leading coeff. of

polynomials of degree k :

Formal:

$$\text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}) := \{ a \in A \mid \exists a x^k + \text{smaller deg. terms} \in \mathcal{O} \} \cup \{0\}.$$

Claim. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, $\text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}) \triangleleft A$.

Pf of Claim. $a_1, a_2 \in \text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists f_1(x) = a_1 x^k + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(x) + f_2(x) = (a_1 + a_2) x^k + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow a_1 + a_2 \in \text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}).$$

Lecture 19: Proof of Hilbert's basis theorem

Saturday, December 8, 2018 12:06 AM

$$\bullet a \in \text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \exists f(x) = ax^k + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall r \in A, r f(x) = (ra)x^k + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\Rightarrow ra \in \text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}). \quad \blacksquare \text{ (claim)}$$

So $\exists b_{ik} \in A$ s.t. $\text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}) = \langle b_{1k}, \dots, b_{l_k k} \rangle$; and

$$\exists g_{ik}(x) = b_{ik} x^k + \dots \in \mathcal{O}.$$

informal:

By a similar argument as before we can get rid of leading term of a poly. of deg. k in \mathcal{O} using an A -linear combin.

of $g_{1k}, \dots, g_{l_k k}$. And so we get

$$\mathcal{O} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m, g_{ij}; \begin{matrix} 1 \leq j < \max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \\ 1 \leq i \leq l_j \end{matrix} \rangle \quad \square$$

A complete version of a formal proof (modulo the results that $\text{ld}(\mathcal{O}), \text{ld}_k(\mathcal{O}) \triangleleft A$) is given in the lecture note of lecture 20.