

Lecture 03: Valuation

Wednesday, January 10, 2018 11:48 AM

Def. Suppose D is a UFD, and $p \in D$ is irreducible.

Then $\exists v_p: D \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, $\forall a \in D \setminus \{0\}$,

$$p^{v_p(a)} \mid a \quad \text{and} \quad p^{v_p(a)+1} \nmid a.$$

Notation. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq D$ be a subset consisting of irreducible elements s.t.

(1) $\forall p_1 \neq p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$, $\langle p_1 \rangle \neq \langle p_2 \rangle$ (2) $\forall p \in D$ that is irreducible there is $q \in \mathcal{P}$ s.t. $\langle p \rangle = \langle q \rangle$.

Remark. In \mathbb{Z} , there are only two units ± 1 . In classical number theory we define primes to be positive; this way we choose a representative in a class of associates. The above notation \mathcal{P} serves us the same way.

Since D is UFD (using the definition of $v_p(a)$), we get

$$a = u \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(a)} \quad \text{where } u \in D^\times.$$

By the uniqueness of this decomposition we get that $v_p(a)$ is well-defined; and here are its basic properties.

(1) $v_p(ab) = v_p(a) + v_p(b)$ (2) $v_p(a+b) \geq \min\{v_p(a), v_p(b)\}$

Lecture 03: Valuation

Wednesday, January 10, 2018 1:46 PM

and $v_p(a+b) = \min \{v_p(a), v_p(b)\}$ if $v_p(a) \neq v_p(b)$.

(Suppose $v_p(a) < v_p(b)$. Then $a+b = p^{v_p(a)} \left(\underbrace{a' + p^{v_p(b)-v_p(a)} b'}_{\text{not divis. by } p} \right)$. So \uparrow .)

(3) $a|b \iff \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, v_p(a) \leq v_p(b)$.

PF. $(\Leftarrow) a|b \Rightarrow ac = b \Rightarrow v_p(ac) = v_p(b)$

$$\Rightarrow v_p(b) = v_p(a) + v_p(c) \geq v_p(a).$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \Leftrightarrow a = u \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(a)} \\ b = u' \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(b)} \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \underbrace{a \cdot u' u^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(b)-v_p(a)}}_{\text{in } \mathcal{D}} = b$$

$$\Rightarrow a|b. \quad \blacksquare$$

(4). $a_1 \sim a_2 \iff \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, v_p(a_1) = v_p(a_2)$.

$a \in \mathcal{D}^\times \iff \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, v_p(a) = 0$.

Let $[a] := \{a' \in \mathcal{D} \mid a \sim a'\} = a \mathcal{D}^\times$. Then we can talk about $v_p([a])$.

$$(5) \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \left[\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\min_i v_p(a_i)} \right].$$

Equiva. $v_p(\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m)) = \min \{v_p(a_1), \dots, v_p(a_m)\}$ -

PF. $v_p \left(\underbrace{\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\min_i v_p(a_i)}}_d \right) = \min \{v_p(a_i)\} \leq v_p(a_i) \Rightarrow d | a_i$.

Lecture 03: gcd

Wednesday, January 10, 2018 2:22 PM

If $d' \mid a_i$, then $\forall i, v_p(d') \leq v_p(a_i)$. So $v_p(d') \leq \min \{v_p(a_i)\} = v_p(d)$.

So $d' \mid d$. ■

Basic Properties of g.c.d. D : VFD, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in D$ at least one of them is not 0.

(1) $\forall c \in D^\times$, $\gcd(ca_1, \dots, ca_m) = [c] \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m)$.

(2) Let $d := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\min \{v_p(a_i)\}}$, and suppose $a_i = d a'_i$.

Then $\gcd(a'_1, \dots, a'_m) = [1]$.

(Remark. In \mathbb{Z} , we have $\gcd(ca_1, \dots, ca_m) = |c| \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m)$.

In general $[c]$ is needed as there is no canonical choice a class of associates.)

PP. (1) $v_p(\gcd(ca_1, \dots, ca_m)) = \min_i \{v_p(ca_i)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \min_i \{v_p(c) + v_p(a_i)\} \\ &= v_p(c) + \min_i \{v_p(a_i)\} \\ &= v_p(c) + v_p(\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m)) \\ &= v_p([c] \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) $v_p(\gcd(a'_1, \dots, a'_m)) = \min \{v_p(a'_i)\} = \min \{v_p(a_i) - v_p(d)\}$

$$= \min \{v_p(a_i)\} - v_p(d) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lecture 03: Content, primitive, and Gauss's lemma

Friday, January 12, 2018 11:48 AM

Def. D : UFD, $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in D[x] \setminus \{0\}$. Then the content $c(f)$ of $f(x)$ is $\text{gcd}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Basic properties . . $c(af(x)) = [a] c(f)$

. $f(x) = c_f \bar{f}(x)$ s.t. $c(f) = [c_f]$ and $c(\bar{f}) = [1]$.

Def. $f(x) \in D[x]$ is called primitive if $c(f) = [1]$.

Lemma . $f, g \in D[x]$ primitive $\Leftrightarrow fg$ is primitive.

Pf. (\Rightarrow) Suppose to the contrary that the coeff. of fg have a common irreducible factor p . Consider

$$\phi: D[x] \rightarrow (D/\langle p \rangle)[x], \quad \phi(\sum a_i x^i) := \sum (a_i + \langle p \rangle) x^i.$$

Then $\phi(fg) = 0$. And so $\phi(f)\phi(g) = 0$. (*)

p : irred. $\} \Rightarrow p$: prime $\Rightarrow \langle p \rangle$ prime $\Rightarrow D/\langle p \rangle$ integ. domain
 D : UFD $\} \Rightarrow (D/\langle p \rangle)[x]$ integ. domain.

So (*) implies either $\phi(f) = 0$ or $\phi(g) = 0$. Hence either

$v_p(c(f)) \geq 1$ or $v_p(c(g)) \geq 1$; this contradicts the assump.

that f and g are primitive.

Lecture 03: Gauss's lemma

Thursday, January 11, 2018 9:54 PM

(\Leftarrow) If not, $\exists p \in \mathcal{P}$ s.t. either $p \mid f(x)$ or $p \mid g(x)$. And so $p \mid f(x)g(x)$; and this contradicts the assumption that $f(x)g(x)$ is primitive. \blacksquare

Gauss's lemma . $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$.

Pf. $f(x)g(x) = c_f \bar{f}(x) \cdot c_g \bar{g}(x)$ s.t. $[c_f] = c(f)$, \bar{f} : primi.
 $[c_g] = c(g)$, \bar{g} : " .
 $= c_f c_g \bar{f}(x) \bar{g}(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow c(fg) &= [c_f c_g] c(\underbrace{\bar{f} \bar{g}}_{\text{primitive}}) = [c_f][c_g][1] \\ &= c(f)c(g) . \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary (Sometimes this is known as Gauss's lemma)

D : UFD ; F : field of fractions. ; $f(x) \in D[x] \setminus D$.

(a) $f(x) = f_1(x) f_2(x)$ for some $f_i(x) \in F[x]$. \Rightarrow

$$\exists c_1, c_2 \in F \text{ s.t. } c_1 c_2 = 1 \text{ and } \tilde{f}_i(x) := c_i f_i(x) \in D[x]$$

And so $f(x) = \tilde{f}_1(x) \tilde{f}_2(x)$ and $\deg f_i = \deg \tilde{f}_i$.

(b) $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x)$ for some $f_i \in F[x] \Rightarrow \exists c_i \in F$ s.t.
 $\prod_{i=1}^m c_i = 1$ and $\tilde{f}_i(x) = c_i f_i(x) \in D[x]$.

Lecture 03: Gauss's lemma

Thursday, January 11, 2018 10:16 PM

The main point of this corollary is to relate reducibility in $F[x]$ with reducibility in $D[x]$. Notice that $F[x]$ is a PID (and so UFD); and we would like to use this to say something about $D[x]$.

Pf of corollary. (a) Let a_i be the product of denom. of the coeff. of f_i ; and let $\bar{f}_i(x) := a_i f_i(x) \in D[x]$.

So $a_1 a_2 f(x) = \bar{f}_1(x) \bar{f}_2(x)$. Therefore

$$[a_1 a_2] c(f) = c(a_1 a_2 f(x)) = c(\bar{f}_1 \bar{f}_2) = c(\bar{f}_1) c(\bar{f}_2). \quad (\text{I})$$

On the other hand, $\bar{f}_i(x) = c_{f_i} \hat{f}_i(x)$; where $[c_{f_i}] = c(f_i)$

and $\hat{f}_i \in D[x]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 a_2 f(x) &= c_{f_1} \hat{f}_1(x) c_{f_2} \hat{f}_2(x) \\ &= c_{f_1} c_{f_2} \hat{f}_1(x) \hat{f}_2(x) \quad (\text{II}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{I}) \Rightarrow [a_1 a_2] c(f) = c(\bar{f}_1) c(\bar{f}_2) = [c_{f_1} c_{f_2}].$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists d \in D, c_{f_1} c_{f_2} = a_1 a_2 d. \quad (\text{III})$$

$$(\text{II}), (\text{III}) \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 f(x) = a_1 a_2 d \hat{f}_1(x) \hat{f}_2(x) \Rightarrow f(x) = d \hat{f}_1 \cdot \hat{f}_2.$$

Lecture 03: Gauss's lemma

Friday, January 12, 2018 12:20 AM

$$\text{So } f(x) = \underbrace{(d \hat{f}_1(x))}_{\text{in } D[x]} \underbrace{(\hat{f}_2(x))}_{\text{in } D[x]} ; \quad d \hat{f}_1(x) \text{ and } \hat{f}_2(x)$$

are scalar (in F^*) multiples of $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$, respectively

($\hat{f}_i(x) = a_i^{-1} \bar{f}_i = a_i^{-1} c_{f_i} \hat{f}_i$). Say $d \hat{f}_1(x) = c_1 f_1(x)$ and

$\hat{f}_2(x) = c_2 f_2(x)$. Then $f(x) = c_1 c_2 f(x)$ and so $c_1 c_2 = 1$.

(b) We use induction on m . Part (a) gives the case $m=2$.

$$f(x) = (f_1(x) \cdots f_m(x)) f_{m+1}(x) \Rightarrow \exists c_{m+1} \text{ and } c' \in F \text{ st.}$$

$$(c' f_1(x) f_2(x) \cdots f_m(x)) \in D[x] \text{ and } c_{m+1} f_{m+1}(x) \in D[x] \text{ and } c_{m+1} c' = 1.$$

By the induction hypoth. $\exists c'_i \in F$ st.

$$\prod_{i=1}^m c'_i = 1 \text{ and } c'_1 c' f_1(x), c'_2 f_2(x), \dots, c'_m f_m(x) \in D[x].$$

Let $c_1 := c'_1 c'$, $c_2 := c'_2$, \dots , $c_m := c'_m$, $c_{m+1} = c_{m+1}$. Then

$$c_i f_i(x) \in D[x] \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} c_i f_i(x) = f(x); \text{ and so } \prod c_i = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$