

Lecture 18: What we proved in the previous lecture

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 9:00 AM

In the previous lecture we proved the following results:

Suppose M is an (S, R) -bi-module and N is a right S -module.

Then there are a right R -module $N \otimes_S M$ and a function

$$f_0: N \times M \rightarrow N \otimes_S M, \quad f_0(n, m) = n \otimes m \text{ such that}$$

$$(1-a) \quad (n \cdot s) \otimes m = n \otimes (s \cdot m) \quad (S\text{-balanced})$$

$$(1-b) \quad (n_1 - n_2) \otimes m = n_1 \otimes m - n_2 \otimes m \quad (\text{linear in } N)$$

$$(1-c) \quad n \otimes (m_1 r_1 + m_2 r_2) = (n \otimes m_1) r_1 + (n \otimes m_2) r_2 \quad (R\text{-linear in } M)$$

(2) (Universal property) If L is a right R -module, and $f: N \times M \rightarrow L$

satisfies properties (1-a)-(1-c), then $\exists! \varphi: N \otimes_S M \rightarrow L$

that is an R -mod homomorphism and $\varphi(n \otimes m) = f(n, m)$

(3) (Tensor-Hom adjunction)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & N \otimes_S M \\ & \nearrow f_0 & \downarrow \varphi \\ N \times M & & L \\ & \searrow f & \end{array}$$

There is natural transformation between $F_N \circ F_M$ and $F_{N \otimes_S M}$;

that means for

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(N \otimes_S M, L_1) & \xrightarrow[\eta_{L_1}]{\sim} & \text{Hom}_S(N, \text{Hom}_R(M, L_1)) \\ \downarrow F_{N \otimes_S M}(\phi) & & \downarrow F_N(F_M(\phi)) \\ \text{Hom}_R(N \otimes_S M, L_2) & \xrightarrow[\eta_{L_2}]{\sim} & \text{Hom}_S(N, \text{Hom}_R(M, L_2)) \end{array}$$

Lecture 18: Tensor product of projective modules

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 9:16 AM

Corollary. Suppose M is an (S,R) -bi-module and a projective right R -module. Suppose N is a projective right S -module.

Then $N \otimes_S M$ is a projective right R -module.

Proof. Since M is projective, F_M is an exact functor.

Since N is projective, F_N is an exact functor. Hence

$F_N \circ F_M$ is an exact sequence. Let $\eta: F_{N \otimes_S M} \rightarrow F$ be

a natural transformation. Then for any short exact

sequence of right R -modules $0 \rightarrow L_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} L_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} L_3 \rightarrow 0$

we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{S.E.S.} & 0 & \rightarrow & F_N \circ F_M(L_1) & \xrightarrow{F_N \circ F_M(\phi_1)} & F_N \circ F_M(L_2) & \xrightarrow{F_N \circ F_M(\phi_2)} & F_N \circ F_M(L_3) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \eta_{L_1} \uparrow \cong & & \eta_{L_2} \uparrow \cong & & \eta_{L_3} \uparrow \cong & & \\
 & & & F_{N \otimes_S M}(L_1) & \xrightarrow{F_{N \otimes_S M}(\phi_1)} & F_{N \otimes_S M}(L_2) & \xrightarrow{F_{N \otimes_S M}(\phi_2)} & F_{N \otimes_S M}(L_3) & & \\
 & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Hence the 2nd row is a S.E.S. as well. And so $N \otimes_S M$ is

a projective module. ■

Lecture 18: Examples of tensor product

Wednesday, February 14, 2018 11:26 PM

Ex. $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(n,m)\mathbb{Z}$ as abelian groups.

Pf. $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by elements of the form $a \otimes b$
 $a \otimes b = ab (1 \otimes 1)$. So it is a cyclic group, generated by $1 \otimes 1$.

$$n(1 \otimes 1) = (n1) \otimes 1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m(1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes (m1) = 0$$

So $0(1 \otimes 1) \mid \gcd(n,m)$; and there is an onto map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(n,m)\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \\ 1 & \longmapsto & 1 \otimes 1. \end{array}$$

Let $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(n,m)\mathbb{Z}$,

$$f(a+n\mathbb{Z}, b+m\mathbb{Z}) := ab + \gcd(n,m)\mathbb{Z}.$$

One can easily check that (1) f is well-defined.

(2) f is balanced

(3) f is bi-linear

$\Rightarrow \exists \overset{\cong}{f}: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(n,m)\mathbb{Z}$, (homom.)

$$\overset{\cong}{f}(a \otimes b) = ab.$$

So $\overset{\cong}{f}$ is the inverse of g ; this implies the claim.

Lecture 18: Examples of tensor product

Thursday, February 15, 2018 12:01 AM

$$\underline{\text{Ex}} \quad \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0$$

Pf. $r \otimes x = \frac{r}{n} \otimes nx = 0$
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ st. } nx = 0$

Proposition. Suppose $I \triangleleft R$. Then $R/I \otimes_R M \cong M/IM$ as R/I -mod.
 $\bar{r} \otimes x \mapsto rx + IM$

Pf. Let $f: M \rightarrow R/I \otimes_R M$, $f(x) := \bar{1} \otimes x$. Then f is an R -mod. homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} f(r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2) &= \bar{1} \otimes (r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2) = \bar{1} \otimes r_1 m_1 + \bar{1} \otimes r_2 m_2 \\ &= \bar{r}_1 \otimes m_1 + \bar{r}_2 \otimes m_2 = r_1 (\bar{1} \otimes m_1) + r_2 (\bar{1} \otimes m_2) \\ &= r_1 f(m_1) + r_2 f(m_2). \end{aligned}$$

$IM \subseteq \ker f$. Suppose $a \in I$, $m \in M$. Then

$$f(am) = \bar{1} \otimes am = \bar{a} \otimes m = 0.$$

So $\bar{f}: M/IM \rightarrow R/I \otimes_R M$, $\bar{f}(x+IM) = \bar{1} \otimes x$ is a well-def. R -mod. homomorphism.

Let $g: R/I \times M \rightarrow M/IM$, $g(r+I, m) := rm + IM$. One can check that g is a well-defined R -balanced, bilinear.

$$\text{So } \exists \tilde{g}: R/I \otimes_R M \rightarrow M/IM, \tilde{g}(\bar{r} \otimes m) = rm + IM.$$

Hence \tilde{g} is the inverse of \bar{f} ; and the claim follows. ■

Lecture 18: Extension of scalars or base change

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 9:57 AM

Suppose $\phi: S \rightarrow R$ is a ring homomorphism (think about the case $S \hookrightarrow R$ where S is a subring of R), and M is a right S -mod.

Then we can extend the scalar multiplication from S to R or change the base ring from S to R :

we view R as an (S, R) -bi-module: $s \cdot r \cdot r' := \phi(s) r r'$

Now we can consider $M \otimes_S R$ which is a right R -module.

And $f: M \rightarrow M \otimes_S R$, $f(x) := x \otimes 1$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2) &= (x_1 s_1 + x_2 s_2) \otimes 1 \\ &= x_1 \otimes \phi(s_1) + x_2 \otimes \phi(s_2) \\ &= f(x_1) \phi(s_1) + f(x_2) \phi(s_2). \end{aligned}$$

As we have seen in the previous examples, f is not necessarily

injective: $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is the zero homomorphism.

Let M be an (S, R) -bimodule. Then for any right S -module N we get a right R -module $N \otimes_S M$. Do we get a functor from right S -Mod to right R -Mod?

Lecture 18: The tensor of two homomorphisms

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 10:12 AM

Lemma Suppose $f \in \text{Hom}_S(N_1, N_2)$, and $g \in \text{Hom}_{(S, R)}(M_1, M_2)$.

Then $\exists!$ $f \otimes g \in \text{Hom}_R(N_1 \otimes_S M_1, N_2 \otimes_S M_2)$ s.t.

$$(f \otimes g)(n_1 \otimes m_1) = f(n_1) \otimes g(m_1).$$

Pf. Let $\varphi: N_1 \times M_1 \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_S M_2$ be $\varphi(n_1, m_1) := f(n_1) \otimes g(m_1)$.

$$\text{Then } \varphi(n_1 s, m_1) = f(n_1 s) \otimes g(m_1) = f(n_1) s \otimes g(m_1)$$

$$= f(n_1) \otimes s g(m_1) = f(n_1) \otimes g(sm_1)$$

$$= \varphi(n_1, sm_1)$$

$$\varphi(n_1 - n_2, m) = f(n_1 - n_2) \otimes g(m) = (f(n_1) - f(n_2)) \otimes g(m)$$

$$= f(n_1) \otimes g(m) - f(n_2) \otimes g(m)$$

$$= \varphi(n_1, m) - \varphi(n_2, m)$$

$$\varphi(n, m, r_1 + m_2 r_2) = f(n) \otimes g(m, r_1 + m_2 r_2)$$

$$= f(n) \otimes (g(m_1) r_1 + g(m_2) r_2)$$

$$= (f(n) \otimes g(m_1)) r_1 + (f(n) \otimes g(m_2)) r_2$$

$$= \varphi(n, m_1) r_1 + \varphi(n, m_2) r_2.$$

So $\exists!$ $f \otimes g \in \text{Hom}_R(N_1 \otimes_S M_1, N_2 \otimes_S M_2)$, $(f \otimes g)(n_1 \otimes m_1) = f(n_1) \otimes g(m_1)$. \blacksquare

Lecture 18: Tensor functor

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 1:56 PM

Lemma. Let M be an (S, R) -bimodule. Then

$-\otimes_S M: \text{right } S\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{right } R\text{-mod}$ is a functor.

Pf. Suppose $N_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} N_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} N_3$ are right S -module hom.

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N_1 \otimes_S M & \xrightarrow{f_1 \otimes \text{id}_M} & N_2 \otimes_S M & \xrightarrow{f_2 \otimes \text{id}_M} & N_3 \otimes_S M \\ n_1 \otimes m & \longmapsto & f_1(n_1) \otimes m & \longmapsto & f_2(f_1(n_1)) \otimes m \end{array}$$

So by the uniqueness of the previous lemma:

$$(f_2 \otimes \text{id}_M) \circ (f_1 \otimes \text{id}_M) = (f_2 \circ f_1) \otimes \text{id}_M,$$

which shows $-\otimes_S M$ is a functor. ■

In the next lecture we show it is right exact.