

Math200b, lecture 19

Golsefidy

Algebraic closure.

In the previous lecture we proved:

Theorem 1 *Suppose F is a field. Then there is a field extension E/F such that E is algebraically closed.*

Today first we prove:

Proposition 2 *Suppose E/F is a field extension and E is algebraically closed. Let $L \subseteq E$ be the algebraic closure of F in E . Then L is algebraically closed.*

Proof. Suppose $p(x) \in L[x] \setminus L$. Since E is algebraically closed, there is $\alpha \in E$ such that $p(\alpha) = 0$. Hence α is algebraic over L ;

and so $L[\alpha]/L$ is algebraic. Since L/F is algebraic, we deduce that $L[\alpha]/F$ is algebraic. Hence α is in the algebraic closure L of F in E , which implies that $\alpha \in L$. Therefore $p(x)$ has a zero in L , which implies that L is algebraically closed. ■

The following is an immediate corollary:

Theorem 3 *Suppose F is a field. Then there is an algebraic field extension E/F such that E is algebraically closed.*

E is called **an algebraic closure of F** if it satisfies properties of the previous theorem. Next we want to show that up to an isomorphism an algebraic closure is unique. We start with an important proposition.

Proposition 4 *Suppose F is a field, Ω is algebraically closed and $\sigma : F \rightarrow \Omega$ is an embedding. Suppose $f(x) \in F[x] \setminus F$ and E is a splitting field of $f(x)$ over F . Then there is $\tilde{\sigma} : E \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$.*

Proof. Since Ω is algebraically closed, there are α_i 's in Ω such that $\sigma(f) = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)$; notice that $\alpha_0 \in \sigma(F)$. Let $E' := \sigma(F)[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \subseteq \Omega$. Then it is easy to see that E' is a splitting

field of $\sigma(f)$ over $\sigma(F)$. Hence by a theorem that we have proved earlier, there is a field isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : E \rightarrow E'$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$; and claim follows. ■

Proposition 5 *Suppose F is a field, \bar{F} is an algebraic closure of F , Ω is algebraically closed, and $\sigma : F \rightarrow \Omega$ is an embedding. Then there is $\tilde{\sigma} : \bar{F} \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma := \{(K, \theta) \mid F \subseteq K \subseteq \bar{F}, \theta : K \hookrightarrow \Omega, \theta|_F = \sigma\}$. We define a partial ordering on Σ : we say $(K_1, \theta_1) \leq (K_2, \theta_2)$ if $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ and $\theta_2|_{K_1} = \theta_1$. It is easy to see that (Σ, \leq) is a POSet.

Claim. Σ has a maximal element.

Proof of Claim. By Zorn's lemma it is enough to show that any chain $\{(K_i, \theta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ in Σ has an upper bound. Let $K := \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$ and $\theta : K \rightarrow \Omega, \theta(k) = \theta_i(k)$ if $k \in K_i$.

Step 1. K is a subfield of \bar{F} .

Proof of Step 1. For any $\alpha, \beta \in K \setminus \{0\}$, there are $i_0, j_0 \in I$ such that $\alpha \in K_{i_0}$ and $\beta \in K_{j_0}$. Since $\{(K_i, \theta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a chain, either $(K_{i_0}, \theta_{i_0}) \leq (K_{j_0}, \theta_{j_0})$ or $(K_{j_0}, \theta_{j_0}) \leq (K_{i_0}, \theta_{i_0})$. W.L.O.G. we can and will assume that $(K_{i_0}, \theta_{i_0}) \leq (K_{j_0}, \theta_{j_0})$; and so $K_{i_0} \subseteq K_{j_0}$. Hence $\alpha, \beta \in K_{j_0}$; and so $\alpha \pm \beta, \alpha\beta^{\pm 1} \in K_{j_0}$. Therefore $\alpha \pm \beta, \alpha\beta^{\pm 1} \in K$; and so K is a subfield of \bar{F} .

Step 2. θ is well-defined.

Proof of Step 2. Suppose $k \in K_{i_0} \cap K_{j_0}$. Since $\{(K_i, \theta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a chain, either $(K_{i_0}, \theta_{i_0}) \leq (K_{j_0}, \theta_{j_0})$ or $(K_{j_0}, \theta_{j_0}) \leq (K_{i_0}, \theta_{i_0})$. W.L.O.G. we can and will assume that $(K_{i_0}, \theta_{i_0}) \leq (K_{j_0}, \theta_{j_0})$; and so $K_{i_0} \subseteq K_{j_0}$ and $\theta_{j_0}|_{K_{i_0}} = \theta_{i_0}$. Therefore $\theta_{j_0}(k) = \theta_{i_0}(k)$; and so θ is well-defined.

Step 3. $\theta : K \rightarrow \Omega$ is a ring homomorphism.

Proof of Step 3. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in K \setminus \{0\}$. By a similar argument as in Step 1, there is $i_0 \in I$ such that $\alpha, \beta \in K_{i_0}$. And so $\alpha \pm \beta, \alpha\beta^{\pm 1} \in K_{i_0}$. Hence $\theta(\alpha) = \theta_{i_0}(\alpha)$, $\theta(\beta) = \theta_{i_0}(\beta)$, $\theta(\alpha \pm \beta) = \theta_{i_0}(\alpha \pm \beta)$, and $\theta(\alpha\beta^{\pm 1}) = \theta_{i_0}(\alpha\beta^{\pm 1})$. Since θ_{i_0} is a ring homomorphism, we can deduce claim of Step 3.

Step 4. Finishing proof of Claim.

It is clear that $(K_i, \theta_i) \leq (K, \theta)$ for any $i \in I$; and so $(K, \theta) \in \Sigma$ is an upper bound for the chain $\{(K_i, \theta_i)\}_{i \in I}$; and claim follows. \square

Suppose (K, θ) is a maximal element. Next we prove that $K = \bar{F}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\alpha \in \bar{F} \setminus K$. Then α is a zero of $f(x) \in F[x] \setminus F$. Let E be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over K . By the previous proposition, there is $\theta' : E \rightarrow \Omega$ such that

$\theta'|_K = \theta$; and so $(K, \theta) \preceq (E, \theta')$ and $K \subsetneq E$, which contradicts maximality of (K, θ) ; and claim follows. ■

Proposition 6 *Suppose E is an algebraic closure of F , E' is an algebraic closure of F' , and $\sigma : E \hookrightarrow E'$ is an embedding such that $\sigma(F) = F'$. Then σ is surjective.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is $\alpha \in E' \setminus \sigma(E)$. Then α is algebraic over $F' \subseteq \sigma(E)$. Let $K \subseteq E'$ be a splitting field of the minimal polynomial of α over $\sigma(E)$. Then by a result that we have proved earlier, there is an embedding $\theta : K \rightarrow E$ such that $\theta|_{\sigma(E)} = \sigma^{-1}$. Then $\theta(\alpha) \in E = \theta(\sigma(E))$. Since θ is injective, we deduce that $\alpha \in \sigma(E)$, which is a contradiction. ■

Theorem 7 *Suppose $\sigma : F \rightarrow F'$ is a field isomorphism, E is an algebraic closure of F , and E' is an algebraic closure F' . Then there is a field isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : E \rightarrow E'$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5, there is $\tilde{\sigma} : E \hookrightarrow E'$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$. By Proposition 6, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is surjective and so it is an isomorphism; and claim follows. ■

Normal extensions.

A lot of mathematics is about understanding symmetries of the field extension \bar{F}/F where \bar{F} is an algebraic closure of F . For a field extension E/F , we let

$$\text{Aut}(E/F) := \{\sigma : E \rightarrow E \mid \sigma|_F = \text{id}_F\}.$$

The following observation is the key in understanding $\text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$.

Lemma 8 *Suppose $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$ and $\alpha \in \bar{F}$ is a zero of $f(x) \in F[x] \setminus F$. Then $\sigma(\alpha)$ is a zero of $f(x)$; and so σ permutes zeros of $f(x)$.*

Proof. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^i$. Since α is a zero f , we have $\sum_{i=0}^n f_i \alpha^i = 0$; and so $\sigma(\sum_{i=0}^n f_i \alpha^i) = 0$. Therefore

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^n \sigma(f_i) \sigma(\alpha)^i = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \sigma(\alpha)^i,$$

which means that $\sigma(\alpha)$ is a zero of $f(x)$. So σ sends zeros of f to zeros of f ; and as σ is injective and the set of zeros of f is a finite set, we deduce that σ permutes zeros of f . ■

An immediate corollary of this observation is the following:

Lemma 9 Suppose \bar{F} is an algebraic closure of F and $f(x) \in F[x] \setminus F$. Suppose $E \subseteq \bar{F}$ is a splitting field of $f(x)$ over F . Then for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$ we have $\sigma(E) = E$.

Proof. By definition, there are $c \in F^\times$ and $\alpha_i \in E \subseteq \bar{F}$ such that $f(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)$ and $E = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$. By the previous lemma, for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$, we have that

$$\{\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n)\} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}.$$

And so $\sigma(E) = \sigma(F)[\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n)] = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = E$. ■

In order to get a kind of converse of the above Lemma, we need to consider more general splitting fields:

suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq F[x] \setminus F$ is a non-empty subset. We say E is a **splitting field of \mathcal{F} over F** if there are $\alpha_{p,i} \in E$ for any $p \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

(a) $p(x) = c_p \prod_i (x - \alpha_{p,i})$ for some $c_p \in F^\times$, and

(b) $E = F[\alpha_{p,i} \mid p \in \mathcal{F}]$.

Theorem 10 Suppose F is a field, \bar{F} is an algebraic closure of F , and $F \subseteq E \subseteq \bar{F}$ is a subfield. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$, $\sigma(E) = E$.
2. For any $\alpha \in E$, there are $\alpha_i \in E$ such that

$$m_{\alpha, F}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i).$$

3. There is a non-empty subset \mathcal{F} of $F[x] \setminus F$ such that E is a splitting field of \mathcal{F} over F .
4. There is a family $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ of subfields of \bar{F} , and a family of polynomials $\{p_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq F[x] \setminus F$ such that
 - (a) $E_i \subseteq \bar{F}$ is a splitting field of $p_i(x)$.
 - (b) For any $i, j \in I$, there is $k \in I$ such that $E_i \cup E_j \subseteq E_k$.
 - (c) $E = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose $\alpha' \in \bar{F}$ is a zero of $m_{\alpha, F}(x)$. Then there is an isomorphism $\sigma : F[\alpha] \rightarrow F[\alpha']$ such that $\sigma|_F = \text{id}_F$ and $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha'$. Notice that \bar{F} is an algebraic closure of $F[\alpha]$ and also an algebraic closure of $F[\alpha']$. Hence by Theorem 7 there is an isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F}$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_{F[\alpha]} = \sigma$; in particular, $\tilde{\sigma}|_F = \text{id}_F$. This implies that $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(\bar{F}/F)$. Therefore by our

assumption $\tilde{\sigma}(E) = E$. Since $\alpha \in E$, we deduce that $\tilde{\sigma}(\alpha) \in E$; and so $\alpha' = \sigma(\alpha) = \tilde{\sigma}(\alpha) \in E$. Thus all the zeros of $m_{\alpha, F}(x)$ are in E ; and claim follows.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\mathcal{F} := \{m_{\alpha, F}(x) \mid \alpha \in E\}$. Then by our assumption, E is a splitting field of \mathcal{F} .

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let I be the set of all the finite subsets of \mathcal{F} . For $i \in I$, let $p_i(x) = \prod_{p \in i} p$, and $E_i \subseteq \bar{F}$ be a splitting field of $p_i(x)$ over F . For $i, j \in I$, $k := i \cup j$ is also a finite subset of \mathcal{F} ; and it is easy to see that $E_i \cup E_j \subseteq E_k$. Let $E' := \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$.

Claim. E' is a subfield of \bar{F} .

Proof of claim. For $\alpha, \beta \in E' \setminus \{0\}$, there are $i, j \in I$ such that $\alpha \in E_i$ and $\beta \in E_j$. We know that there is $k \in I$ such that $E_i \cup E_j \subseteq E_k$. Hence $\alpha, \beta \in E_k$. Therefore $\alpha \pm \beta, \alpha\beta^{\pm 1} \in E_k$; and so $\alpha \pm \beta, \alpha\beta^{\pm 1} \in E$. And claim follows. \square

It is clear that $E' \subseteq E$. On the other hand, E is generated by F and all the zeros of polynomials in \mathcal{F} ; and E' contains F as a subfield and all the zeros of polynomials in \mathcal{F} . Hence $E \subseteq E'$. Altogether we deduce that $E' = E$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) will be proved in the next lecture. ■

We say E/F is a **normal extension** if, for some algebraic

closure \bar{F} of F , $E \subseteq \bar{F}$ satisfies the above properties (in particular E/F is an algebraic extension).