

Lecture 13: Going-Down theorem: 2nd proof

Friday, April 27, 2018 2:04 PM

Theorem. A : integral domain, integrally closed, with field of fract. F .

E/F : normal field extension.

B : integral closure of A in E . ($f: A \hookrightarrow B$)

$\Rightarrow \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, $\text{Aut}(E/F) \curvearrowright (f^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ transitively.

• We proved that $\text{Aut}(E/F) \curvearrowright B$ and $(f^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$.

• Let $F' := E^{\text{Aut}(E/F)}$. Then E/F' is Galois and F'/F is purely inseparable.

To see the second part, notice that since E/F is normal, $\text{Aut}(E/F)$ acts transitively on zeros of $\min(\alpha; F)$. And so for $\alpha \in \text{Fix}(G)$,

$g(x) := \min(\alpha; F) = (x - \alpha)^m$ for some m . If $\text{char } F = 0$, then

$\text{Fix}(G) = F$. If $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$ and $m = p^l k$, then

$g(x) = (x^{p^l} - \alpha^{p^l})^k \in F[x]$. And so $h(x) = x^{p^l k} - \alpha^{p^l k} \in F[x]$ and α

is a zero of $h(x)$. Hence $h(x) = g(x)$; thus $(y - \alpha^{p^l})^k = y^k - \alpha^{p^l k}$

which implies $k=1$ as otherwise the LHS has multiple zeros,

but the RHS does not.

Let A' be the integral closure of A in $\text{Fix}(G)$. Then $\exists \mathfrak{p}' \in \text{Spec } A'$

st. $\mathfrak{p}' \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$. $\forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{p}'$, $\alpha^{p^m} \in A$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Hence

Lecture 13: Going-Down Theorem: 2nd proof

Sunday, April 29, 2018 3:17 PM

$\forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{p}'$, $\alpha^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} := \{ \alpha \in A' \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, \alpha^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p} \}.$$

Claim. $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Spec } A'$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$.

PF of Claim. • $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \Rightarrow \exists m_i, \alpha_i^{p^{m_i}} \in \mathfrak{p}$. Let m be $\max \{m_1, m_2\}$. Then $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{p^m} = \alpha_1^{p^m} + \alpha_2^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p}$.

• $\alpha \in A'$, $\beta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \Rightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, \alpha^{p^m} \in F$ and $\beta^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Since α is integral over A and A is integrally closed, $\alpha^{p^m} \in A$. Hence $(\alpha\beta)^{p^m} = \alpha^{p^m} \cdot \beta^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p}$. And so $\alpha\beta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$.

• $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\alpha_i \in A' \Rightarrow \exists m_i$ st. $\alpha_i^{p^{m_i}} \in F$.

Since α_i is integral over A and A is integrally closed,

$\alpha_i^{p^{m_i}} \in A \Rightarrow \alpha_i^{p^m} \in A$ for $m \geq \max \{m_1, m_2\}$. And so $\exists m$

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $(\alpha_i)^{p^m} \in A$. As \mathfrak{p} is prime, either $\alpha_1^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p}$

or $\alpha_2^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence either $\alpha_1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ or $\alpha_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$.

• $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A \Rightarrow \alpha^{p^m} \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$. ■

Hence there is only $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Spec } A'$ that is over \mathfrak{p} .

Lecture 13: Going-Down theorem: 2nd proof

Monday, April 30, 2018 2:52 PM

Hence, as $\text{Aut}(E/F) = \text{Aut}(E/F')$, after changing A with A' and \mathfrak{p} with $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$, we can and will assume E/F is a Galois extension.

Notice that if $\text{char}(F) = 0$, then $F = F'$ and the above argu. is not needed

Case 1. $[E:F] < \infty$ and Galois.

Pf. Suppose to the contrary that $\exists \mathfrak{q}_2 \in (F^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \setminus \text{Gal}(E/F) \cdot \mathfrak{q}_1$.

Since $\dim.$ of $(F^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ is zero, $\mathfrak{q}_2 \not\subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_1) \forall \sigma \in \text{Aut}(E/F)$.

As $|\text{Gal}(E/F)| < \infty$, $\mathfrak{q}_2 \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_1)$. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathfrak{q}_2 \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma} \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_1)$

Then $\prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} \sigma(\alpha) \in F$. At the same time $\sigma(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{b} (\forall \sigma)$

and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{q}_2$; and so $N_{E/F}(\alpha)$ is integral over A and in \mathfrak{q}_2 . As

A is integrally closed, we deduce $N_{E/F}(\alpha) \in A \cap \mathfrak{q}_2 = \mathfrak{p}$.

$\Rightarrow \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} \sigma(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_1 \Rightarrow \sigma(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{q}_1$ for some σ

$\Rightarrow \alpha \in \sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_1)$ for some σ , which is a contradiction.

Case 2. The general case.

$\exists E_i \subseteq E$, $i \in I$ s.t. (1) E_i/F finite Galois

(2) $\forall i, j, \exists k$ s.t. $E_k \supseteq E_i \cup E_j$ (3) $E = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$.

Lecture 13: Going-Down theorem; 2nd proof

Monday, April 23, 2018 12:52 AM

• For $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2 \in \text{Spec}(B)$ s.t. $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$, and any $i \in I$,
by the finite Galois case,

$$\Gamma_i := \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(E_i/F) \mid \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap E_i) = \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap E_i \} \neq \emptyset; \text{ and}$$

clearly, for $E_i \subseteq E_j$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma_j$, $\sigma|_{E_i} \in \Gamma_i$. Hence

$\varprojlim \Gamma_i \neq \emptyset$ and gives us an element σ of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ s.t. $\forall i$

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap E_i) = \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap E_i. \text{ As } \mathfrak{q}_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\mathfrak{q}_j \cap E_i), \text{ we get } \sigma(\mathfrak{q}_1) = \mathfrak{q}_2. \blacksquare$$

2nd proof of the Going-Down Theorem.

Let F be the field of fractions of A and E be the field of fractions of B . Let K be the normal closure of E over F , and C be the integral closure of A in K . So C/B is an integral extension and $\text{Spec } C \rightarrow \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ are onto. Suppose

$\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_1 \in \text{Spec } C$ s.t. $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap B = \mathfrak{q}_1$. By the Going-Up theorem $\exists \mathfrak{p}'_1 \in \text{Spec } C$ s.t. $\mathfrak{p}'_1 \cap B = \mathfrak{q}_1$. As \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}'_1 are two primes in the fiber of \mathfrak{q}_1 , $\exists \sigma \in \text{Aut}(K/E)$ s.t.

$\mathfrak{p}_1 = \sigma(\mathfrak{p}'_1)$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}'_1$ implies $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}_0) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1$, and

Lecture 13: Going-Down theorem: 2nd proof

Monday, April 30, 2018 2:49 PM

$\sigma(\mathfrak{p}_0) \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_0$. Hence $\mathfrak{q}_0 := B \cap \sigma(\mathfrak{p}_0) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_1$, $\mathfrak{q}_0 \in \text{Spec } B$

and $\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_0$. ■