

# MATH200C, LECTURE 1

GOLSEFIDY

## GALOIS EXTENSIONS.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $E/F$  is a finite extension and  $\sigma : F \rightarrow E$  is an embedding. Let  $\text{Isom}_\sigma(E, E) := \{\hat{\sigma} : E \rightarrow E \mid \hat{\sigma}|_F = \sigma\}$ . Then*

$$|\text{Isom}_\sigma(E, E)| \leq [E : F];$$

*in particular  $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| \leq [E : F]$ .*

*Proof.* We have already proved this for the case when  $E$  is a splitting field of a polynomial. The same argument gives us the above result. We proceed by the strong induction on  $[E : F]$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in E \setminus F$ ; let

$$\text{Embed}_\sigma(F[\alpha], E) := \{\tilde{\sigma} : F[\alpha] \rightarrow E \mid \tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma\}.$$

Then

$$|\text{Embed}_\sigma(F[\alpha], E)| = \# \text{ of distinct zeros of } \sigma(m_{\alpha, F}(x)) \text{ in } E \leq [F[\alpha] : F].$$

And so

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Isom}_\sigma(E, E)| &= \sum_{\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Embed}_\sigma(F[\alpha], E)} |\text{Isom}_{\tilde{\sigma}}(E, E)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Embed}_\sigma(F[\alpha], E)} [E : F[\alpha]] \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\ &\leq [F[\alpha] : F][E : F[\alpha]] = [E : F] \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $E/F$  is a finite field extension; then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $E$  is a splitting field of a separable polynomial over  $F$ .
- (2)  $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| = [E : F]$ .
- (3)  $E/F$  is a normal separable extension.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), we have already proved. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose  $\alpha \in E$ ; then

$$|\text{Embed}_{\text{id}_F}(F[\alpha], E)| = \# \text{ of distinct zeros of } m_{\alpha, F}(x) \text{ in } E,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Aut}(E/F)| &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Embed}(F[\alpha], E)} |\text{Isom}_{\alpha}(E, E)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Embed}(F[\alpha], E)} [E : F[\alpha]] && \text{(The above lemma)} \\ &= (\# \text{ of distinct zeros of } m_{\alpha, F}(x) \text{ in } E)(F[\alpha], E) \\ &\leq [F[\alpha] : F][E : F[\alpha]] = [E : F]. \end{aligned}$$

Since by our assumption equality holds we have

$$\# \text{ of distinct zeros of } m_{\alpha, F}(x) \text{ in } E = [F[\alpha] : F] = \deg m_{\alpha, F}(x).$$

Hence all the zeros of  $m_{\alpha, F}$  are in  $E$  and they are distinct. Hence  $E/F$  is a normal separable extension.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is an  $F$ -basis of  $E$ . Then  $E$  is a splitting field of  $f(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n m_{\alpha_i, F}(x)$  as  $E/F$  is a normal extension. Since  $E/F$  is a separable extension,  $m_{\alpha_i, F}(x)$  does not have multiple zeros in  $E$  and they are irreducible factors of  $f(x)$  in  $F[x]$ ; and so  $f(x)$  is a separable polynomial.  $\square$

**Definition 3.** An algebraic extension  $E/F$  is called a Galois extension if  $E/F$  is a normal separable extension. When  $E/F$  is a Galois extension, we write  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$  instead of  $\text{Aut}(E/F)$ .

We have seen that if  $E/F$  is a finite Galois extension, then  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$  determines  $[E : F]$ . Next we will see that knowing  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$  as a subgroup  $\text{Aut}(E)$  uniquely determines  $F$ . The following is the key technical lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose  $G$  is a finite group of  $\text{Aut}(E)$ . Suppose  $V$  is a non-zero  $E$ -subspace of  $E^n$ . Suppose for  $\sigma \in G$  and  $v := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V$  we have that  $\sigma(v) := (\sigma(a_1), \dots, \sigma(a_n)) \in V$ . Then

$$V^G := \{v \in V \mid \forall \sigma \in G, \sigma(v) = v\} \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $v \in V$  has the smallest number of non-zero components among the non-zero elements of  $V$ . After reordering its components we can assume that  $v = (a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, \dots, 0)$  for some  $a_i \in E^\times$ . Since  $V$  is an  $E$ -subspace,  $a_1^{-1}v \in V$ .

So W.L.O.G. we can and will assume that the first component of  $v$  is 1. Next we show that  $v \in V^G$ ; and so  $V^G \neq 0$ .

For any  $\sigma \in G$ , we have  $\sigma(v) - v = (0, \sigma(a_2) - a_2, \dots, \sigma(a_k) - a_k, 0, \dots, 0)$  has at most  $k - 1$  non-zero components. Since  $k$  is the smallest number of non-zero components of non-zero elements of  $V$  and  $\sigma(v) - v \in V$ , we deduce that  $\sigma(v) - v = 0$ ; and claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose  $G$  is a finite group of  $\text{Aut}(E)$ . Then*

- (1)  $\text{Fix}(G) := \{e \in E \mid \forall \sigma \in G, \sigma(e) = e\}$  is a subfield of  $E$ .
- (2)  $[E : \text{Fix}(G)] \leq |G|$ .

*Proof.* (1) is clear. (2) Suppose  $|G| = n$  and  $G = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ . It is enough to show that any  $n + 1$  elements of  $E$  are  $F$ -linearly dependent where  $F := \text{Fix}(G)$ . Suppose  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}$  are  $n + 1$  arbitrary elements of  $E$ . We have to show that there are  $c_1, \dots, c_{n+1} \in F$  such that  $c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1} = 0$ . If there are such  $c_i$ 's, for any  $j$  we get

$$0 = \sigma_j(c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1}) = c_1\sigma_j(\alpha_1) + \dots + c_{n+1}\sigma_j(\alpha_{n+1});$$

and so  $v := (c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})$  will be in the left kernel of the matrix  $[\sigma_j(\alpha_i)]$ ; that means

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_{n+1}) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Let  $V \subseteq E^{n+1}$  be the left kernel of the above matrix. We need to show that  $V \cap F^{n+1} \neq 0$ . Notice that  $V \cap F^{n+1}$  is the set  $V^G$  of fixed points of  $G$  in  $V$ . Therefore by the previous lemma, it is enough to show  $V \neq 0$  and  $V$  is  $G$ -invariant. Since  $V$  is the left kernel of an  $(n + 1) \times n$  matrix, it is a non-zero  $E$ -subspace of  $E^{n+1}$ .

Suppose  $v \in V$  and  $\sigma \in G$ ; then  $v[\sigma_j(\alpha_i)] = 0$  implies that  $\sigma(v)[(\sigma \circ \sigma_j)(\alpha_i)] = 0$ . This is equivalent to say  $(\sigma(v))((\sigma \circ \sigma_k)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})^T) = 0$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Notice that  $\{\sigma \circ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma \circ \sigma_n\}$  is just a permutation of  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ . Hence for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have  $(\sigma(v))(\sigma_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})^T) = 0$ , which is equivalent to say  $\sigma(v) \in V$ . Thus  $V$  is invariant under the action of  $G$ ; and claim follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E)$ . Then (1)  $E/\text{Fix}(G)$  is a Galois extension, and (2)  $\text{Gal}(E/\text{Fix}(G)) = G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F := \text{Fix}(G)$ . By the previous lemma,  $[E : F] \leq |G|$ ; and so it is a finite extension. Hence by an earlier lemma, we have  $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| \leq [E : F]$ . And it is clear that  $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(E/F)$ . So overall we have

$$|G| \leq |\text{Aut}(E/F)| \leq [E : F] \leq |G|.$$

Thus all equalities should hold. This implies that  $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| = [E : F]$  and  $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| = |G|$ . Hence  $E/F$  is a Galois extension and  $\text{Aut}(E/F) = G$ .  $\square$

During lecture we gave an alternative argument to show  $E/F$  is a normal extension. Since the idea behind that argument is useful, it is reproduced here: for  $\alpha \in E$ , let  $f_\alpha(x) := \prod_{\sigma \in G} (x - \sigma(\alpha))$ . As any element of  $G$  only permutes the linear factors of  $f_\alpha(x)$ , we get that for any  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma(f_\alpha) = f_\alpha$ . Hence  $f_\alpha(x) \in \text{Fix}(G)[x] = F[x]$ . Since  $f_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$ , we deduce that  $m_{\alpha, F}(x) | f_\alpha(x)$ . Thus zeros of  $m_{\alpha, F}(x)$  are among the  $G$ -orbit of  $\alpha$ ; and so all of them are in  $E$ . This implies that  $E/F$  is a normal extension.

**Corollary 7.** *Suppose  $E/F$  is a finite Galois extension. Then*

$$\text{Fix}(\text{Gal}(E/F)) = F.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F' := \text{Fix}(\text{Gal}(E/F))$ . Then by the above Theorem  $E/F'$  is a Galois extension and  $\text{Gal}(E/F') = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ . Hence

$$(1) \quad [E : F] = |\text{Gal}(E/F)| = |\text{Gal}(E/F')| = [E : F'].$$

It is also clear that  $F \subseteq \text{Fix}(\text{Gal}(E/F)) = F'$ . Therefore by (1) we have that  $[F' : F] = 1$ ; and claim follows.  $\square$

So far we have proved the following:

**Theorem 8.** *Suppose  $E/F$  is a finite extension. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $E$  is a splitting field of a separable polynomial over  $F$ .
- (2)  $|\text{Aut}(E/F)| = [E : F]$ .
- (3)  $E/F$  is a Galois extension.
- (4)  $F = \text{Fix}(\text{Aut}(E/F))$ .
- (5)  $F = \text{Fix}(G)$  for some finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(E)$ .