

Lecture 4: Selberg's local rigidity

Monday, January 16, 2017 10:56 AM

Our main goal today is to prove Selberg's local rigidity.

Theorem (Selberg) A cocompact lattice of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ has local rigidity for $n \geq 3$.

Let Γ be a cocompact lattice of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\rho_t: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a deformation of the natural embedding $\rho_0: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

We'd like to prove $\exists g_t \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\rho_t(\gamma) = g_t \gamma g_t^{-1}$.

Here is the outline of proof:

Step 1. If $\text{tr}(\rho_t(\gamma)) = \text{tr}(\gamma) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, then ρ_t is a trivial deformation. (It is enough to prove trace rigidity.)

Step 2. Let $\Gamma^{(m)} := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \text{all of eigenvalues } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \text{ of } \gamma \text{ are real and } |\lambda_i| \neq |\lambda_j| \text{ if } i \neq j \}$.

If $\text{tr}(\rho_t(\gamma)) = \text{tr}(\gamma) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma^{(m)}$, then ρ_t is a trivial deformation. (It is enough to prove trace rigidity for \mathbb{R} -regular elements.)

Step 3. If $\rho_t(\Gamma)$ is a cocompact lattice and $\ker(\rho_t) = \{ \pm 1 \}$, then, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(m)}$, $\rho_t(\gamma) \in \Gamma^{(m)}$ and

$\log |\lambda_{i+1}(\rho_t(\gamma))| / \log |\lambda_i(\rho_t(\gamma))|$ is independent of t .

(Makes use of Weyl chambers in a single flat.)



Lecture 4: Trace rigidity implies rigidity

Wednesday, January 18, 2017 11:20 AM

Step 4. If $\rho_t(\Gamma)$ is a cocompact lattice and $\ker(\rho_t) = 1$, then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^m$, $\lambda_i(\rho_t(\gamma))$ is independent of t .

(Makes use of two neighboring chambers in Tits's geometry.)
In particular, $\text{tr}(\rho_t(\gamma)) = \text{tr}(\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^m$.

Step 5. If ρ_t is a deformation of a cocompact lattice Γ in $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, then $\rho_t(\Gamma)$ is a cocompact lattice and $\ker(\rho_t) = 1$.

Step 1. Borel's density theorem makes this the easiest step.

Lemma. In the above setting, it is enough to prove

$$\text{tr}(\gamma) = \text{tr}(\rho_t(\gamma)).$$

Proof. Let A_Γ be the \mathbb{R} -span of Γ in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Claim 1 $A_\Gamma = M_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Pf. If $A_\Gamma \neq M_n(\mathbb{R})$, then \exists a linear functional $\neq 0: M_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\ell(A_\Gamma) = 0$. So $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, $\ell(\gamma) = 0$.

By Borel's density theorem, Γ is Zariski-dense in $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Hence $\ell(SL_n(\mathbb{R})) = 0$. $\forall X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$\det(X + \lambda I) > 0$. Thus $\exists \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_1(X + \lambda_2 I) \in SL_n(\mathbb{R})$

\Rightarrow The \mathbb{R} -span of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ is $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, which contradicts $\ell \neq 0$. \square

Lecture 4: Trace rigidity implies rigidity

Thursday, January 19, 2017 6:40 PM

① (In class we used step 5 to say $\rho_t(\Gamma)$ is a lattice to deduce that the \mathbb{R} -span $\rho_t(\Gamma)$ is $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Here is an easy way to get this for small t :

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ be an \mathbb{R} -basis of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, and let

$[\gamma_i]_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the vector of γ_i written in the standard

basis $\{E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$\det \begin{bmatrix} [\gamma_1]_{\mathcal{B}} \\ \vdots \\ [\gamma_n]_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$. So by continuity of ρ_t on t

we get $\det \begin{bmatrix} [\rho_t(\gamma_1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \\ \vdots \\ [\rho_t(\gamma_n)]_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$. Hence $X_{\rho_t(\Gamma)} = M_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Lecture 4: Trace rigidity implies rigidity

Monday, January 16, 2017 11:15 AM

Claim 2 For $(\text{small } t)$ $g_1, g_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, if, $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, $\text{tr}(g_1 \rho_t(\gamma)) = \text{tr}(g_2 \rho_t(\gamma))$
then $g_1 = g_2$.

Proof. $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, $\text{tr}((g_1 - g_2) \rho_t(\gamma)) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{tr}((g_1 - g_2) A_{\rho_t(\Gamma)}) = 0$

where $A_{\rho_t(\Gamma)}$ is the \mathbb{R} -span of $\rho_t(\Gamma) \Rightarrow \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$\text{tr}((g_1 - g_2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = 0 \Rightarrow (g_1 - g_2)_{ij} = 0 \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2. \quad \square$$

Claim 3 If, $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, $\text{tr}(\gamma) = \text{tr}(\rho_t(\gamma))$, then

$$T_t: M_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{R}), T_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i \gamma_i\right) := \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \rho_t(\gamma_i)$$

is well-defined where $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$.

Proof. $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(1)} \gamma_i^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(2)} \gamma_i^{(2)}$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \text{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(1)} \gamma_i^{(1)} \gamma\right) = \text{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(2)} \gamma_i^{(2)} \gamma\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(1)} \text{tr}(\gamma_i^{(1)} \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(2)} \text{tr}(\gamma_i^{(2)} \gamma)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(1)} \text{tr}(\rho_t(\gamma_i^{(1)} \gamma)) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(2)} \text{tr}(\rho_t(\gamma_i^{(2)} \gamma))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \text{tr}\left(\underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(1)} \rho_t(\gamma_i^{(1)})\right)}_{g_1} \rho_t(\gamma)\right) = \text{tr}\left(\underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(2)} \rho_t(\gamma_i^{(2)})\right)}_{g_2} \rho_t(\gamma)\right)$$

\Rightarrow using the previous claim, we get $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(1)} \rho_t(\gamma_i^{(1)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^{(2)} \rho_t(\gamma_i^{(2)})$

which implies that T_t is well-defined. \square

Lecture 4: Trace rigidity implies rigidity

Monday, January 16, 2017 11:31 AM

Claim $\oplus \exists g_t \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), T_{\rho_t}(x) = g_t x g_t^{-1}$ for any $x \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Pf. The way T_{ρ_t} is defined, we have that it is \mathbb{R} -linear.

$\forall x_1, x_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), \exists r_i^{(1)}, r_i^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)} \in \Gamma$ such that

$$x_j = \sum_i r_i^{(j)} \gamma_i^{(j)}. \text{ So}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\rho_t}(x_1 x_2) &= T_{\rho_t} \left(\left(\sum_i r_i^{(1)} \gamma_i^{(1)} \right) \left(\sum_i r_i^{(2)} \gamma_i^{(2)} \right) \right) \\ &= T_{\rho_t} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2} r_{i_1}^{(1)} r_{i_2}^{(2)} \gamma_{i_1}^{(1)} \gamma_{i_2}^{(2)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2} r_{i_1}^{(1)} r_{i_2}^{(2)} \rho_t(\gamma_{i_1}^{(1)} \gamma_{i_2}^{(2)}) \\ &= \left(\sum_i r_i^{(1)} \rho_t(\gamma_i^{(1)}) \right) \left(\sum_i r_i^{(2)} \rho_t(\gamma_i^{(2)}) \right) \\ &= T_{\rho_t}(x_1) T_{\rho_t}(x_2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $T_{\rho_t} : M_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra

homomorphism. Hence $\exists g_t \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), T_{\rho_t}(x) = g_t x g_t^{-1}$ for any

$x \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$. (why?). □

$$\text{Hence } \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \rho_t(\gamma) = T_{\rho_t}(\gamma) = g_t \gamma g_t^{-1}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark (Skolem-Noether) If A is a k -central simple algebra, then any k -automorphism

$f: A \rightarrow A$ is inner, i.e. $\exists a \in U(A)$ (units), $\forall x \in A, f(x) = a x a^{-1}$.

Lecture 4: \mathbb{R} -regular elements

Monday, January 16, 2017 11:52 AM

Step 2 relies on our better understanding of \mathbb{R} -regular elements of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Later we will their connection with flats in the symm. space.

Definition Let $G \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be Zariski-closed defined over \mathbb{R} . We say $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ is \mathbb{R} -regular in G if $\exists g' \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ s.t.

$$g' C_G(g) g'^{-1} \subseteq \text{diagonal matrices,}$$

where $C_G(g) := \{x \in G \mid gx = xg\}$ is the centralizer of g in $G(\mathbb{R})$

Lemma. $g \in SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ is \mathbb{R} -regular in $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if eigenvalues of g are distinct real numbers.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow) \exists g' \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), g' C_{SL_n(\mathbb{R})}(g) g'^{-1} \subseteq \text{diag.}$

$\Rightarrow g' g g'^{-1} \subseteq \text{diag. matrices in } M_n(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow$ all eigenvalues

of g are real numbers. So g is similar to a matrix of the

form $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{n_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m I_{n_m} \end{bmatrix}$. The centralizer of $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{n_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m I_{n_m} \end{bmatrix}$

$(\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j)$

in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is $\begin{bmatrix} M_{n_1}(\mathbb{R}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & M_{n_m}(\mathbb{R}) \end{bmatrix}$. So $C_{SL_n(\mathbb{R})}(g)$ is a conjugate

of $\left\{ d \in \begin{bmatrix} GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{R}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & GL_{n_m}(\mathbb{R}) \end{bmatrix} \mid \det d = 1 \right\}$ which is commutative

if and only if $n_1 = \dots = n_m = 1$. This implies eigenvalues of g are distinct.

Lecture 4: \mathbb{R} -regular elements

Monday, January 16, 2017 12:12 PM

\Leftrightarrow Since all the eigenvalues of g are distinct, the Jordan form of g is a diagonal matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$. Since all the eigenvalues of g are real, $\exists g' \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$g' g g'^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j.$$

So $C_{SL_n(\mathbb{R})}(g' g g'^{-1}) = \text{diag. matrices in } SL_n(\mathbb{R})$

$\Rightarrow g' C_{SL_n(\mathbb{R})}(g) g'^{-1} \subseteq \text{diag. matrices.}$ ■

Remark. Centralizer of a diagonal matrix can be understood

using the following equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \dots & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} x_{ij} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} x_{ij} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following property of \mathbb{R} -regular elements is crucial in the proof of the 2nd step.

Proposition. Let $A := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}$ and, for $c \geq 1$, let

$A_c := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda_i / \lambda_{i+1} \geq c \right\}$. Then, for any nbhd O_G of I in

$SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, any nbhd O_A of I in A , and any $c > 1$, there is a nbhd

U_G of I in $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that, $\forall a \in A_c$,

$$U_G a U_G \subseteq \left\{ g a d g^{-1} \mid g \in O_G, d \in O_A \right\}.$$

Lecture 4: Stability of \mathbb{R} -regularity

Tuesday, January 17, 2017 3:59 PM

Corollary. If g is \mathbb{R} -regular with positive eigenvalues, and $\eta > 1$, then there is a nbhd U_G of I in G such that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

and any $x \in U_G g^i U_G$ the eigenvalues $\lambda_j(x)$ of x satisfy:

- ① $\lambda_1(x) > \lambda_2(x) > \dots > \lambda_n(x) > 0$
- ② $\frac{1}{\eta} \lambda_j(g)^i < \lambda_j(x) < \eta \lambda_j(g)^i$ where $\lambda_1(g) > \dots > \lambda_n(g) > 0$ are eigen-values of g .

Proof. Since g is \mathbb{R} -regular, $\exists g' \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$g' g g'^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j. \text{ And by our assumption}$$

$\lambda_i > 0$. After conjugating by a permutation matrix, if needed,

we can and will assume $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n$.

Hence w.l.o.g. we can and will assume $g \in A_c$ for some $c > 1$. So, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $g^i \in A_c$.

Now let $O_A := \{ \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{c}} < \alpha_i < \sqrt[n]{c} \}$. Then for any $a \in A_c$ and $d \in O_A$, we have

$$ad = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n) = \text{diag}(a_1 d_1, \dots, a_n d_n)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{a_i d_i}{a_{i+1} d_{i+1}} = \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \cdot d_i \cdot d_{i+1}^{-1} \geq c \cdot (\sqrt[n]{c})^{-1} \cdot (\sqrt[n]{c})^{-1} = \sqrt{c} > 1$$

So $ad \in A_{\sqrt{c}}$ is \mathbb{R} -regular. Moreover $\frac{1}{\eta} a_i < a_i d_i < \eta a_i$.

Lecture 4: Stability of \mathbb{R} -regularity

Tuesday, January 17, 2017 10:35 AM

Let \mathcal{O}_G be any nbhd of I in $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, and let U_G be the nbhd of I which Proposition gives us for the parameters \mathcal{O}_G , \mathcal{O}_A , and c as above. Then, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $U_G g^i U_G \subseteq \{x g^i d x^{-1} \mid x \in \mathcal{O}_G, d \in \mathcal{O}_A\}$.

So by the above discussion:

- ① $g^i d$ is \mathbb{R} -regular with positive eigen-values.
- ② $\frac{1}{\eta} \lambda_j(g)^2 < \lambda_j(g^i d) < \eta \lambda_j(g)^2$.

And so for any $g' \in SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ we get

- ① $g' g^i d g'^{-1}$ is \mathbb{R} -regular with positive eigen-values
- ② $\frac{1}{\eta} \lambda_j(g)^2 < \lambda_j(g' g^i d g'^{-1}) < \eta \lambda_j(g)^2$

Hence for any $x \in U_G g^i U_G$ we have

- ① x is \mathbb{R} -regular with positive eigen-values.
- ② $\frac{1}{\eta} \lambda_j(g)^2 < \lambda_j(x) < \eta \lambda_j(g)^2$. ■

Remark. The importance of the above corollary is on the fact that a single nbhd U_G of I can work for all of positive powers of g at the same time. It is much easier to show that the set of \mathbb{R} -regular elements of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ is open, but that gives us a nbhd which works only for a single $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.