

Lecture 11: Geometry of symmetry spaces

Thursday, February 9, 2017 10:31 AM

Def. Let $\underline{G} \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski-closed subgroup defined over

\mathbb{R} . Suppose $G := \underline{G}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ is Zariski-dense in \underline{G} .

We say G is semisimple if it does NOT have an infinite

abelian normal subgroup.

Ex. $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $\prod_{i=1}^k SL_{n_i}(\mathbb{R})$ are semisimple Lie groups.

Fact Any semisimple group G is an almost product of almost simple

Lie groups, i.e. $G = G_1 \cdot G_2 \cdots G_k$ where

$|Z(G_i)| < \infty$ and $G_i/Z(G_i)$ has no proper normal subgroup;

$G_i \cap \prod_{j \neq i} G_j \subseteq Z(G_i)$ and so finite.

Fact G° is of finite-index in G ; and so $G^\circ = [G^\circ, G^\circ]$.

So $G^\circ \hookrightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Fact (Mostow) there is an embedding $G^\circ \hookrightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ s.t.

$$\forall g \in G^\circ, g^t \in G^\circ.$$

From this point we will assume:

$G \subseteq SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, connected, semisimple without compact factor
and $g \in G \Rightarrow g^t \in G$.

Lecture 11: Two models of X and the action of G

Thursday, February 9, 2017 10:50 AM

Let $K := G \cap O(n)$ where $O(n) := \{g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid gg^t = I\}$;

Let $X := G \cap P(n)$ where $P(n) := \{g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid g \text{ is positive-definite}\}$

- $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow (gg^t)^{1/2} \in P(n)$ and $(gg^t)^{-1/2}g \in O(n)$.
- $a \in G \cap P(n) \xrightarrow{?}$ the 1-parameter group $s \mapsto a^s$ is in G .

Lemma $G = X \cdot K$ and $X \cap K = \{I\}$.

Pf. $g = (gg^t)^{1/2} \cdot ((gg^t)^{-1/2}g)$ $\Rightarrow G = X \cdot K$.
 $gg^t \in G \cap P(n) \Rightarrow (gg^t)^{1/2} \in G$

$g \in X \cap K \Rightarrow I = g \cdot g^t = g^2$ $\Rightarrow g = I$. \square
 g is positive-definite

Cor. $G/K \rightarrow X, gK \mapsto gg^t$ is a homeomorphism.

Pf. well-defined. $g_1K = g_2K \Rightarrow \exists k \in K, g_1 = g_2k$

$$\Rightarrow g_1g_1^t = g_2kk^tg_2^t = g_2g_2^t$$

$$\underline{1-1} \cdot g_1g_1^t = g_2g_2^t \Rightarrow g_1^{-1}g_2g_2^t(g_1^t)^{-1} = I$$

$$\Rightarrow g_1^{-1}g_2 \in K \Rightarrow g_1K = g_2K$$

onto. $g \in X \Rightarrow g^{1/2} \in G$ and $g^{1/2}K \mapsto g^{1/2}g^{1/2} = g$.

It is clearly continuous; The inverse map is $x \mapsto x^{1/2}K$ which is continuous. \blacksquare

Lecture 11: Two models of and a metric on X

Thursday, February 9, 2017 12:05 PM

• $G \curvearrowright G/K$ by left multiplication; we define $G \curvearrowright X$ in a way that makes $G/K \rightarrow X, gK \mapsto gg^t$, G -equivariant.

$$g \cdot x := g x g^t \quad (\text{Notice } g(g'K) = (gg')K \mapsto (gg')(gg')^t = g(g'g'^t)g^t.)$$

• $\mathbb{P}(n) \xrightleftharpoons[\exp]{\log} \text{Sym} := \{x \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid x = x^t\}$ are analytic homeom.

So $\log : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \text{Lie}(G)$ is a bianalytic homeomorphism.

Def. On $\mathbb{P}(n)$ we define the following Riemannian metric:

$$\left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 = \text{Tr}\left(\left(\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \dot{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^2\right),$$

where $\mathfrak{p}(t)$ is a differentiable path in $\mathbb{P}(n)$.

Equivalently we can identify the tangent space $T_{\mathfrak{p}}X$ with the symmetric spaces and define the Riemannian dot product

as $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}} := \text{tr}(\mathfrak{p}^{-1} x_1 \mathfrak{p}^{-1} x_2)$. Notice that $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is

clearly bilinear, and $\langle x, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{tr}(\mathfrak{p}^{-1} x \mathfrak{p}^{-1} x)$
 $= \text{tr}(\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} x \mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} x \mathfrak{p}^{-1/2})$

and $(\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} x \mathfrak{p}^{-1/2})^t = \mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} x \mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}$. So $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is positive definite.

Lemma. $G \curvearrowright X$ preserves this Riemannian structure.

Lecture 11: Why is X symmetric?

Tuesday, February 14, 2017 8:49 AM

Pf. $\langle g \cdot x_1, g \cdot x_2 \rangle_{g \cdot p} = \text{tr}((g_p g^t)^{-1} (g x_1 g^t) (g_p g^t)^{-1} (g x_2 g^t))$
 $= \text{tr}((g^t)^{-1} p^{-1} \cancel{g} \cancel{g} x_1 \cancel{g^t} (g^t)^{-1} p^{-1} \cancel{g} \cancel{g} x_2 g^t)$
 $= \text{tr}((g^t)^{-1} p^{-1} x_1 p^{-1} x_2 (g^t))$
 $= \text{tr}(p^{-1} x_1 p^{-1} x_2) = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_p$ ■

Lemma (Symmetric) $\forall p \in X, \exists \sigma_p \in \text{Isom}(X), \sigma_p(p) = p$ and $(d\sigma_p)_p(x) = -x$.

Pf. Since $G \curvearrowright X$ transitively and isometrically, it is enough to prove this for $I \in X$.

For G/K model, let $\sigma_I(gK) = (g^t)^{-1} K$ (why is it well-defined?)

For $\mathbb{P}(n)$ model, let $\sigma_I(p) = p^{-1}$. □

So X is a symmetric Riemannian space.

The following lemma helps us to get a better understanding of geodesics in X .

Theorem. Along any differentiable path $p(t)$ in $\mathbb{P}(n)$,

$$\text{Tr} \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} \log p(t) \right)^2 \right) \leq \text{Tr} \left((p^{-1} \dot{p})^2 \right),$$

with equality if and only if p and \dot{p} commute.