

Lecture 17, 18: Γ -equivariant QI between symmetric spaces

Tuesday, March 7, 2017 9:02 AM

Pf. Since Γ is torsion-free, it acts freely on X_i 's. So

$M_i := X_i / \Gamma$ are compact manifolds. So they can be triang. and are finite simplicial complexes \Rightarrow

$\exists \phi: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ which is Γ -equivariant (continuous)

$\bar{\phi}: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is simplicial.

Since any simplicial map satisfies the Lipschitz condition, we get

$$\exists \lambda > 1, \quad d(\bar{\phi}(\bar{x}), \bar{\phi}(\bar{y})) \leq \lambda d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

So for any curve $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow M_1$ with finite length we

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have } l(\bar{\phi}(c)) &= \sup_{0=t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1} \sum d(\bar{\phi}(c(t_i)), \bar{\phi}(c(t_{i+1}))) \\ &\leq \lambda \sup_{t_i} \sum d(c(t_i), c(t_{i+1})) \\ &= \lambda l(c). \end{aligned}$$

Since the metric on X_i is the covering metric $X_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} M_i$,

we have: $\forall x, y \in X_1, \quad d(x, y) = \inf_{\substack{c: [0, 1] \rightarrow X_1 \\ c(0)=x, c(1)=y}} l(\pi_1(c)).$

$$\Rightarrow d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = \inf_{\substack{c: [0, 1] \rightarrow X_2 \\ c(0)=\phi(x), c(1)=\phi(y)}} l(\pi_2(c)) \leq \inf_{\substack{c: [0, 1] \rightarrow X_1 \\ c(0)=x, c(1)=y}} l(\pi_2(\phi(c)))$$

Lecture 18: Γ -equivariant QI

Tuesday, March 7, 2017 11:09 AM

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \inf_{\substack{c: [0,1] \rightarrow X_1 \\ c(0)=x, c(1)=y}} l(\overline{\Phi}(\pi_1(c))) \\
 &\leq \lambda \inf_{\substack{c: [0,1] \rightarrow X_1 \\ c(0)=x, c(1)=y}} l(\pi_1(c)) = \lambda d(x, y).
 \end{aligned}$$

• $d(x, y) \leq d(y, \gamma_0 y) + d(\gamma_0 y, x)$ where $d(\gamma_0 y, x) \leq \text{diam } M_1$

$$d(\phi(x), \phi(\gamma_0 y)) \leq \lambda d(x, \gamma_0 y) \leq \lambda \text{diam } M_1.$$

• $d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \geq d(\phi(y), \gamma_0 \phi(y)) - \lambda \text{diam } M_1$

Švarc-Milnor
lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \lambda'^{-1} d_{\Omega}(e, \gamma_0) - C' \\
 &\geq \lambda''^{-1} d(y, \gamma_0 y) - C'' \geq \lambda''^{-1} d(x, y) - C'''.
 \end{aligned}$$

• We get the quasi-surjectivity again Švarc-Milnor's lemma and a single orbit. ■

Lecture 18: Uniform injectivity radius

Thursday, March 9, 2017 11:06 AM

• A closed curve in M up to homotopy determines a conjugacy class $C(\gamma_0)$ of Γ . And the infimum of the length of these curves is

$$d_{\gamma_0} := \inf_{x \in X} d(x, \gamma_0 x), \text{ the displacement of } \Gamma.$$

Since Γ is a cocompact lattice, all of its elements are semisimple. So $d_{\gamma_0} > 0$, as Γ has no torsion-element.

- Let $S := \{ c \subseteq M_{\perp} \mid \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} c \text{ is a closed curve,} \\ \textcircled{2} \ell(c) \leq \ell_0, \\ \textcircled{3} c \text{ is homotopically non-trivial} \end{array} \}$.

Since M_{\perp} is compact, S is compact with respect to the Chabauty topology on closed subsets of M_{\perp} : (Hausdorff distance topology.). So there is a smallest length curve among elements

of S . Hence $\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} d_{\gamma} = d_{\gamma_0} = r_0 > 0$ for some $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$.

- So any point of M_i has an injectivity radius of $\geq r_0/2$, i.e.

$\forall x \in X_i, \pi_i|_{B(x, r_0/2)}$ is injective.

Lecture 18: Space of flats

Thursday, March 9, 2017 10:07 AM

Let \mathcal{F}_i be the space of maximal flats of X_i ; with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. Our next goal is to show that ϕ induces a homeomorphism $\bar{\Phi}: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$.

To define the $\bar{\Phi}$ we will prove:

Proposition ① $\forall F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, \exists! F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ s.t.

$$\text{hd}(\phi(F_1), F_2) \underset{\phi}{\leq} 1.$$

② Define $\bar{\Phi}(F_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ s.t.

$$\text{hd}(\phi(F_1), \bar{\Phi}(F_1)) \underset{\phi}{\leq} 1.$$

Then $\bar{\Phi}$ is a homeomorphism and it is clearly Γ -equivariant.

We start by a subset of \mathcal{F}_1 :

$$\mathcal{F}_{1, \Gamma} := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \mid \begin{array}{l} F \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-compact} \\ \text{ie. } \prod_{\Gamma} F \text{ is compact} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma. $\mathcal{F}_{1, \Gamma}$ is dense in \mathcal{F} .

Pr. $F \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow F = Ax_0$ where A is a maximal polar subgp.

Suppose $a \in A_t$, i.e. $\forall \alpha \in \Delta, \alpha(a) > t$ where Δ is a set of simple roots of A .

Lecture 18: Γ -compact flats are dense in the space of flats

Thursday, March 9, 2017 10:17 PM

We proved the following Proposition for $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$. The same argument works in general.

Proposition. \forall nbhd \mathcal{O}_G of I in G ,
 \forall nbhd \mathcal{O}_A of I in A ,
 $t > 1$, $\exists U_G$, a nbhd of I in G , s.t.
 $\forall a \in A_t, U_G a U_G \subseteq \{g a' m g^{-1} \mid a' \in \mathcal{O}_A, g \in \mathcal{O}_G, m \in M\}$
where M is the maximum compact subgroup of $C_G(A)$.

Choose \mathcal{O}_A small enough, e.g. $\{a' \in A \mid \alpha(a') \leq \sqrt{t} \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Delta\}$,

s.t. $A_t \mathcal{O}_A \subseteq A_{t'}$ for some $t' > 1$, e.g. for the above

choice we get $A_t \mathcal{O}_A \subseteq A_{\sqrt{t}}$.

Let U_G be a nbhd of I which is given by the above proposition for an arbitrarily small nbhd \mathcal{O}_G and \mathcal{O}_A as above.

By Selberg's argument, $\exists 0 < n$ s.t. $U_G a^n U_G \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap U_G a^n U_G$. Hence $\exists g \in \mathcal{O}_G, a' \in A_{\sqrt{t}}, m \in M \subseteq C_G(A)$

$$\gamma = g a' m g^{-1}.$$

Lecture 18: Γ -compact flats are dense in the space of flats

Thursday, March 9, 2017 10:31 PM

So $\text{pol}(\gamma) = g a g^{-1}$ is regular, and

$$\begin{aligned} C_G(\text{pol}(\gamma)) &= C_G(g a g^{-1}) = g C_G(a) g^{-1} \\ &= g C_G(A) g^{-1} \supseteq g A g^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

• By another Selberg's lemma (that we proved earlier) we have that $C_\Gamma(\gamma)$ is a cocompact lattice in $C_G(\gamma)$.

• On the other hand, $C_G(A) = M \cdot A$ (see below), and so

$$C_G(\gamma) = g (C_M^{(m)} A) g^{-1}.$$

• Therefore $\Gamma \cap M A^g / M' A^g$ is compact where $M' := C_M^{(m)}$ and $A^g := g A g^{-1}$.

Claim If A is a maximal polar subgroup, then

① $C_G(A) = M A$ where M is the maximal compact subgroup

② If $F = A \cdot x$ is a flat, then $M \subseteq \text{Stab}(x)$. So $F = C_G(A) \cdot x$.

Pf of claim ① After conjugating A , we can assume that A is a maximal abelian subgroup of $P := P_{\text{cm}} \cap G$. So $C_G(A)$ is

self-adjoint and $C_G(A) = C_K(A) \cdot \underbrace{(C_G(A) \cap P_{\text{cm}})} = C_K(A) \cdot A$.

Lecture 18: Γ -compact flats are dense in the space of flats

Thursday, March 9, 2017 11:11 PM

Since $A \cap K = 1$, we get $C_G(A) \simeq C_K(A) \times A$. And so

$M := C_K(A)$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $C_G(A)$.

② After moving x and conjugating A , we can assume

$x = x_K$. So $F = A \cdot x_K$ is a flat which passes through x_K .

Hence $A \subseteq P := G \cap P(m)$. So, by part 1, $M \subseteq K$, which

implies $M \cdot x_K = x_K$ and $C_G(A) \cdot x_K = x_K$.

Since $F = A \cdot x_0$ is a maximal flat, $F = C_G(A) \cdot x_0$ and $M \cdot x_0 = x_0$.

Hence $F_\gamma := C_G(\gamma) g x_0 = (g C_M(m) A g^{-1}) g x_0$

$= g A C_M(m) x_0 = g F$ is a flag; and

Since $C_G(\gamma) / C_M(m)$ is compact, $I \setminus C_G(\gamma) F_\gamma$ is compact.

So $F_\gamma = g F \in \mathcal{F}_I$ for some $g \in \mathcal{O}_G$. Since \mathcal{O}_G is an

arbitrary nbhd of I , we get the desired result. ■