

Proposition \textcircled{H} : $GL_n(\mathbb{R})/GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\textcircled{H}(g GL_n(\mathbb{Z})) := g \mathbb{Z}^n$
 is a homeomorphism.

Pf.

We have already proved that \textcircled{H} is a $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant bijection.

so it is enough to show it is conti. and open around identity.

• \textcircled{H} is continuous.

$$g_m GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow \exists \gamma_m \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$g_m \gamma_m \rightarrow I. \quad \textcircled{*}$$

$$g_m \mathbb{Z}^n = \overbrace{g_m}^{g'_m} \gamma_m \mathbb{Z}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} v_m^{(i)} \text{ where}$$

$$g_m \gamma_m = [v_m^{(1)} \dots v_m^{(n)}]. \text{ So by } \textcircled{*} \text{ we have}$$

$$v_m^{(i)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} e_i$$

$$\bullet B_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}) \cap g'_m \mathbb{Z}^n = g'_m (g'^{-1}_m B(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$$

Since $g'_m \rightarrow I$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $m \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon_R}$ we have

$$g'^{\pm 1}_m B(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq B(2\mathbb{R})$$

$$\text{and } \forall v \in B(2\mathbb{R}), \|g'^{\pm 1}_m v - v\| \leq \epsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{\text{Haus}}(g_m \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B(\mathbb{R})) \leq \epsilon.$$

• \textcircled{H} is open.

Suppose $g_m \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$, i.e., for any $R > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

suppose $g_m \xrightarrow{R, \epsilon} \mathbb{Z}^n$, i.e., for any $R > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

if $m \gg_{R, \epsilon} 1$, then

Ⓘ $\text{dist}_{\text{Haus}} \left(g_m \mathbb{Z}^n \cap (-R, R)^n, \mathbb{Z}^n \cap (-R, R)^n \right) \leq \epsilon \implies \text{if } m \gg_{R, \epsilon} 1,$

$\forall v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap (-R, R)^n, \exists! w \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap g_m^{-1}(-R, R)^n$

$$\|g_m w - v\| \leq \epsilon.$$

(Existence is clear; uniqueness?)

If $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ satisfy these properties, then

$$g_m \omega_1 - g_m \omega_2 = g_m(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \in g_m \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B(2\epsilon, 0)$$

\implies For some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^0$,

$$k g_m(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \in g_m \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \left(B(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, 0) \setminus B(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, 0) \right)$$

$\implies \exists v' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap (-R, R)^n$ s.t.

$$\|k g_m(\omega_1 - \omega_2) - v'\| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{Ⓙ}$$

$$\implies \|v'\| \leq \frac{1}{2} + 2\epsilon.$$

$\implies v' = 0 \stackrel{\text{Ⓙ}}{\implies} \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon \leq \epsilon$ which is a contradiction. \blacksquare)

Let $f_{R, \epsilon, m}(\vec{v}) := \vec{w}$ for $m \gg_{R, \epsilon} 1$.

Let $\gamma_m^{(\epsilon)} := \left[f_{2, \epsilon, m}(e_1) \cdots f_{2, \epsilon, m}(e_n) \right] \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ for $m \gg_{\epsilon} 1$.

Notice that $\gamma_m^{(\epsilon_1)} = \gamma_m^{(\epsilon_2)}$ if $m \geq g(\epsilon)$ (by uniqueness.)

So $\|g \gamma^{(\epsilon)T}\| < \epsilon$ for any $m > g(\epsilon)$

So $\|g_m \gamma_m^{(\varepsilon)} - I\| \leq \varepsilon$ for any $m \geq g(\varepsilon)$

Let $\gamma_m = \gamma_m^{(2^{-k})}$ if $g(2^{-k}) \leq m < g(2^{-k-1})$

(if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} g(\varepsilon) \neq \infty$, then let $\gamma_m = \gamma_m^{(0)}$ for $m \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} g(\varepsilon)$.)

Hence $\|g_m \gamma_m - I\| \leq 2^{-k}$ if $m \geq g(2^{-k})$

$\Rightarrow g_m \gamma_m \rightarrow I$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Claim $\gamma_m \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ for $m \gg 1$.

Pf of claim. It is enough to show $\gamma_m^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Suppose $\gamma_m(\sum c_i^{(m)} \vec{e}_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. w.l.o.g we can and will

assume $|c_i^{(m)}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\frac{1}{4} \leq \max |c_i^{(m)}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$

We can assume $\max |c_i^{(m)}| = |c_1^{(m)}|$ (passing to a subseq & rearm).

- $g_m \gamma_m(\sum c_i^{(m)} \vec{e}_i) \in g_m \mathbb{Z}^n$

- passing to a subseq. if needed, $\sum c_i^{(m)} \vec{e}_i \rightarrow \sum c_i \vec{e}_i$

$$\Rightarrow g_m \gamma_m(\sum c_i^{(m)} \vec{e}_i) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum c_i \vec{e}_i \oplus$$

Take an ε -nbhd \mathcal{O} of $\sum_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_i \vec{e}_i$. By \oplus , $g_m \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$

for $m \gg 1$. Since $g_m \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{Z}^n$, we conclude that

$\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction as

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \|\vec{c}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad \blacksquare$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \|z\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary. $SL_n(\mathbb{R})/SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ \Delta \in \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/\Delta) = 1 \}$.

Pf. • Θ induces a bijection: $* g \in SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \text{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/g\mathbb{Z}^n) = |\det(g)| = 1$.

$$* \text{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/g\mathbb{Z}^n) = 1 \Rightarrow |\det(g)| = 1.$$

$$g\mathbb{Z}^n = g\omega\mathbb{Z}^n$$

where $\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & \\ & \mathbb{I} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\det(g\omega) = -\det(g)$.

• Since Θ was a homeomorphism, we are done. \blacksquare

Lemma. $\delta: \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\delta(\Delta) := \min \{ \|v\| \mid v \in \Delta \setminus \{0\} \}$
is continuous.

Pf. $\Delta_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta$.

• Let $v \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $\|v\| = \delta(\Delta)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$

and $m \gg \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, $\Delta_m \cap \mathcal{B}(\varepsilon; v) \neq \emptyset$.

$$\Rightarrow \delta(\Delta_m) \leq \delta(\Delta) + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta(\Delta_m) \leq \delta(\Delta).$$

• Let $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta(\Delta_m) = \delta_0$. Passing to a subsequence $\exists v_m \in \Delta_m$

\downarrow δ \downarrow

that $v_m \rightarrow v'$ and $\|v'\| = \delta_0$.

. If $\delta_0 \neq 0$, then $\left. \begin{array}{l} \Delta_m \rightarrow \Delta \\ \cup \\ v_m \rightarrow v' \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow v' \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$
 $\Rightarrow \delta_0 \geq \delta(\Delta)$

and we are done.

. If $\delta_0 = 0$, then $\|v_m\| \rightarrow 0$ & $\|v_m\| \neq 0$

\Rightarrow after multiplying by a suitable integer k_m we

have $\delta(\Delta)/4 \leq \|k_m v_m\| \leq \delta(\Delta)/2$

Passing to a subseq., $\left. \begin{array}{l} k_m v_m \rightarrow v'' \\ \cap \\ \Delta_m \rightarrow \Delta \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} v'' \in \Delta \\ \delta(\Delta)/4 \leq \|v''\| \leq \delta(\Delta)/2 \end{array} \right\}$

which is a contradiction. ■

Corollary. If $X \subseteq \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is precompact, then

$\exists \delta_0 > 0 : \delta_0 \leq \delta(X) \leq 1/\delta_0$.