

• A finite extension k of \mathbb{Q} is called a number field.

Let $\mathcal{O}_k := \left\{ \alpha \in k \mid \alpha \text{ is integral over } \mathbb{Z}, \right\}$
 i.e. $\exists a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha^m + a_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$

Theorem. \mathcal{O}_k is a finitely generated, free \mathbb{Z} -module, And

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_k = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} k.$$

Used without proof: $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ integral over $\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha \cdot \beta$ are integral over \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma. $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ integral over $\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ the minimal polynomial $f(t)$ of α over \mathbb{Q} has integer coefficients.

Proof. Suppose $g(\alpha) = 0$ where $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a monic polynomial.

Then for any other root α_i of f we have $g(\alpha_i) = 0$

as $f(t) \mid g(t)$. Hence α_i 's are integral. Therefore all the

coefficients of f are integral over \mathbb{Z} . Since \mathbb{Z} is UFD,

it is integrally closed, i.e. $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and x integral over \mathbb{Z}

implies $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $f \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. ■

Corollary. $N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{O}_k) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{O}_k) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma $\forall \alpha \in k, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, n\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{b} \alpha^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_1}{b} \alpha + \frac{a_0}{b} = 0$ where $a_i, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $(b\alpha)^m + a_{m-1} (b\alpha)^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 b^{m-2} (b\alpha) + a_0 b^{m-1} = 0$

$\Rightarrow b\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k$. ■

Used without proof: $B: k \times k \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, B(x, y) := \text{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(xy)$ is a non-degenerate bilinear form.

Definition. For any \mathbb{Z} -submodule M of k , let

Definition. For any \mathbb{Z} -submodule M of k , let

$$M^* := \{x \in k \mid B(x, M) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Lemma ① M^* is a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of k .

$$\textcircled{1} M_1 \subseteq M_2 \Rightarrow M_1^* \supseteq M_2^*$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Suppose } k = \mathbb{Q}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}\alpha_d, \text{ and } M = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_d.$$

Then $\exists \alpha_i^* \in k$ s.t. $B(\alpha_i^*, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$

(the dual basis with respect to B) and

$$M^* = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_d^*.$$

Proof. ① Since B is bilinear, $\forall x_1, x_2 \in M^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_1 - x_2, M) &\subseteq B(x_1, M) - B(x_2, M) \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{1} x \in M_2^* \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} B(x, M_2) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \\ B(x, M_1) \subseteq B(x, M_2) \end{array} \Bigg\} \Rightarrow x \in M_1^*.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Let } V_j := \{x \in k \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, i \neq j, B(x, \alpha_i) = 0\}.$$

$\Rightarrow V_j$ is a non-zero vector space.

Since B is non-degenerate, $B(V_j, \alpha_j) \neq 0$.

Let $\tilde{\alpha}_j \in V_j$ s.t. $B(\tilde{\alpha}_j, \alpha_j) \neq 0$. Let

$$\alpha_j^* := \frac{1}{B(\tilde{\alpha}_j, \alpha_j)} \tilde{\alpha}_j.$$

So $B(\alpha_i^*, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Therefore

$$B(\oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^*, \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j) \subseteq \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$k = \oplus \mathbb{Q}\alpha_i^*.$$

If $\sum q_i \alpha_i^* \in M^*$, then

$$q_j = B(\sum_i q_i \alpha_i^*, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus $M^* = \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^*$. ■

a free \mathbb{Z} -module.

Proof of theorem. Suppose $k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Q} \alpha_i$. Then, $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$,

$n_i \alpha_i, \dots, n_d \alpha_d \in \mathcal{O}_k$. Let $M := \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z} n_i \alpha_i$. So

$$M \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{O}_k^* \subseteq M^* \\ M^* \text{ is a f.g. free } \mathbb{Z}\text{-mod} \\ \mathcal{O}_k \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k^* \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_k \text{ is a f.g. free } \mathbb{Z}\text{-mod.}$$

Since $S^\perp \mathcal{O}_k = k$ where $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_k = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} k. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary. \mathcal{O}_k is a Noetherian ring.

(it is in fact Noeth. \mathbb{Z} -module.)

Corollary. \mathcal{O}_k is a Dedekind domain.

[This we did not prove in class.] Let \mathfrak{p} be a non-zero prime ideal

of \mathcal{O}_k . Then $\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is a non-zero prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} . So $\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}$

for some prime p . $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is an integral extension of $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Since \mathbb{F}_p is a field, $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a field. Hence any non-zero prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_k is maximal, i.e. it has Krull dimension 1.

Since \mathcal{O}_k is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in k , it is integrally closed.

And \mathcal{O}_k is Noetherian.]

Definition/Lemma. Suppose $k = \mathbb{Q} \alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q} \alpha_d$. Let

$$D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) = \det [\text{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)] \in \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

[Since $B(w, w) := \text{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(ww)$ is non-degenerate $D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \neq 0$.]

Lemma. Suppose $k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Q} \alpha_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Q} \beta_i$. Then $\exists g \in GL_d(\mathbb{Q})$ st.

$$\textcircled{1} \beta_i = \sum_j g_{ij} \alpha_j \quad \textcircled{2} D(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) = D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \det(g)^2.$$

Pf. Since α_i 's and β_i 's are \mathbb{Q} -basis, $\exists g \in GL_d(\mathbb{Q})$ that

$$\text{satisfy } \textcircled{1} [B(\beta \ \beta)] = [\sum g \quad / \quad B(\alpha \ \alpha)]$$

satisfy ①.
$$[B(\beta_i, \beta_j)] = \left[\sum_{l, l'} g_{il} g'_{jl} B(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \right]$$

$$= g [B(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)] g^t$$

$$\Rightarrow D(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_d) = \det(g)^2 \cdot D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d). \quad \blacksquare$$

Def/Lemma. Suppose $O_k = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$. Then $D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ does NOT depend on the choice of $\{\alpha_i\}$. It is called the discriminant of k .

Pf. If $O_k = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z} \beta_i$, then $\exists g \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ s.t. $\beta_i = \sum g_{ij} \alpha_j$.

$$\Rightarrow D(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) = \det(g)^2 D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) = D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d). \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r: k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_1, \bar{\tau}_1, \dots, \tau_s, \bar{\tau}_s: k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be all the embeddings of k into \mathbb{C} .

Proposition $k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}}_r \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{C} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}}_s =: L_{r,s}$ as \mathbb{R} -algeb.

where $a \otimes 1 \mapsto (\sigma_1(a), \dots, \sigma_r(a), \tau_1(a), \dots, \tau_s(a))$.

Proof. By primitive element theorem, $\exists \alpha \in k$, $k = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$. Let

$f(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ be the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} . So

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} &= \mathbb{Q}[\alpha] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[t] / \langle f(t) \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{R}[t] / \langle f(t) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\bullet \quad f(t) = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^r (t - \sigma_i(\alpha))}_{\text{irr. / } \mathbb{R}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \underbrace{[(t - \tau_j(\alpha))(t - \bar{\tau}_j(\alpha))]}_{\text{irreducible / } \mathbb{R}}$$

• By Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \otimes 1 &\mapsto \bar{t} \otimes 1 \\ k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} &\simeq \mathbb{Q}[t] / \langle f(t) \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \\ &\mapsto \bar{t} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{R}[t] / \langle f(t) \rangle \quad (t, \dots, t) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}[t] / \langle t - \alpha_i \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \mathbb{R}[t] / \langle (t - \beta_j)(t - \bar{\beta}_j) \rangle \\ &\mapsto (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s, \bar{\beta}_s) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \mathbb{C}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \mathbb{C}.$$

Corollary. $a_1, \dots, a_d \in k$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent

\iff

$T(a_1), \dots, T(a_d) \in L_{r,s}$ are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent

where $T(a) = (\sigma_1(a), \dots, \sigma_r(a), \tau_1(a), \dots, \tau_s(a))$.

Proof. a_i 's are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independ. \iff

$a_i \otimes 1$'s are \mathbb{R} -linearly independ. \iff

$T(a_i)$'s are \mathbb{R} -linearly independ. \blacksquare

Corollary. $T(\mathcal{O}_k)$ is a lattice in $L_{r,s}$.

Proof. We have proved that $\mathcal{O}_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Z} a_i$. So

$T(a_i)$'s are linearly independ. over \mathbb{R} . \implies

$$T(\mathcal{O}_k) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Z} T(a_i) \subseteq L_{r,s} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{R} T(a_i). \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem. $\text{vol}(L_{r,s}/T(\mathcal{O}_k)) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{D}_k|}/2^s$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $L_{r,s}$.

Proof. $\text{vol}(L_{r,s}/T(\mathcal{O}_k))$

$$= \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(a_1) & \dots & \sigma_r(a_1) & \text{Re}(\tau_1(a_1)) & \text{Im}(\tau_1(a_1)) & \dots & \text{Re}(\tau_s(a_1)) & \text{Im}(\tau_s(a_1)) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(a_d) & \dots & \sigma_r(a_d) & \text{Re}(\tau_1(a_d)) & \text{Im}(\tau_1(a_d)) & \dots & \text{Re}(\tau_s(a_d)) & \text{Im}(\tau_s(a_d)) \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

\downarrow
 $A(a_1, \dots, a_d)$

$$A(a_1, \dots, a_d) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(a_1) & \dots & \sigma_r(a_1) & \tau_1(a_1) & \overline{\tau_1(a_1)} & \dots & \tau_s(a_1) & \overline{\tau_s(a_1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(a_d) & \dots & \sigma_r(a_d) & \tau_1(a_d) & \overline{\tau_1(a_d)} & \dots & \tau_s(a_d) & \overline{\tau_s(a_d)} \end{bmatrix}$$

s -copies of
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{bmatrix}$

Notice that $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, \tau_1, \overline{\tau_1}, \dots, \tau_s, \overline{\tau_s}\} = \{\theta: k \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \text{embed.}\}$

$$\text{So } \text{vol}(L_{r,s}/T(\mathcal{O}_k)) = \frac{1}{2^s} |\det [\theta_j(a_i)]|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{On the other hand, } [\theta_j(a_i)] [\theta_j(a_i)]^t &= \left[\sum_{k=1}^d \theta_k(a_i a_j) \right] \\ &= [\text{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(a_i a_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det [\theta_j(a_i)]^2 = \mathcal{D}_k \Rightarrow |\det [\theta_j(a_i)]| = \sqrt{|\mathcal{D}_k|}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{vol}(L_{r,s}/T(\mathcal{O}_k)) = \sqrt{|\mathcal{D}_k|} / 2^s. \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem. For any $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_k$, $\exists a \in \mathfrak{a}$ s.t.

$$|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(a)| \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^s \cdot \frac{d!}{d^d} \cdot \sqrt{|\mathcal{D}_k|} \cdot N(\mathfrak{a}),$$

$$\text{where } N(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{a}|.$$

Lemma (Minkowski's convex body) Let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice,

\mathcal{C} a convex symmetric subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If $\text{vol}(\mathcal{C}) > 2^n \text{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/\Delta)$,

then $\exists 0 \neq v \in \Delta \cap \mathcal{C}$.

Proof of lemma. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\Delta$. Since

$$\text{vol}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}\right) > \text{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/\Delta), \quad \exists c_1 \neq c_2 \in \mathcal{C} \text{ s.t. } \pi\left(\frac{1}{2}c_1\right) = \pi\left(\frac{1}{2}c_2\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \neq \frac{c_1 - c_2}{2} \in \Delta. \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow 0 \neq \frac{c_1 - c_2}{2} \in \Delta \cap \mathcal{C}.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} c_2 \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow -c_2 \in \mathcal{C} \\ c_1 \in \mathcal{C} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \frac{c_1 - c_2}{2} \in \mathcal{C}$$

\mathcal{C} : convex

Proof of theorem.

$$\bullet \text{ Let } \mathcal{C}_c := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_r; y_1, \dots, y_s) \in L_{r,s} \mid \sum_{i=1}^r |x_i| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s |y_j| \leq c \right\}$$

So \mathcal{C}_c is a symmetric convex subset of $L_{r,s}$.

$$\bullet \text{ For } (x_1, \dots, x_r; y_1, \dots, y_s) \in L_{r,s}, \text{ let } N(\vec{x}; \vec{y}) := \prod_{i=1}^r |x_i| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s |y_j|^2.$$

$$\bullet |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(a)| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^r \sigma_i(a) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \tau_j(a) \cdot \overline{\tau_j(a)} \right|$$

$$= N(T(a)).$$

• For any $(\vec{x}; \vec{y}) \in \mathcal{C}_c$, we have

• For any $(\vec{x}; \vec{y}) \in C_c$, we have

$$d \sqrt{N(\vec{x}; \vec{y})} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |x_i| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s |y_j| \right) / d \leq \frac{c}{d}.$$

$$\Rightarrow N(\vec{x}; \vec{y}) \leq \left(\frac{c}{d} \right)^d.$$

$$\cdot \text{vol}(C_c) = 2^r \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right)^s \cdot \frac{1}{d!} \cdot c^d \quad (?)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \text{vol}(L_{r,s}/T(\mathcal{O}_k)) &= N(\mathcal{O}_k) \cdot \text{vol}(L_{r,s}/T(\mathcal{O}_k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} \sqrt{|D_k|} \cdot N(\mathcal{O}_k). \end{aligned}$$

• We choose c such that $\text{vol}(C_c) = 2^d \text{vol}(L_{r,s}/\mathcal{O})^+$.

$$\Rightarrow c^d = \frac{2^d}{2^r} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^s} \cdot d! \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|} \cdot N(\mathcal{O})^+.$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^s \cdot d! \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|} \cdot N(\mathcal{O})^+.$$

\Rightarrow by Minkowski's convex body theorem, $\exists (v_0; w_0) \in T(\mathcal{O}_k) \cap C_c$

$$\Rightarrow N(v_0; w_0) \leq \frac{c^d}{d^d} = \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^s \cdot \frac{d!}{d^d} \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|} \cdot N(\mathcal{O})^+$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists 0 \neq a \in \mathcal{O}, \quad |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(a)| \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^s \cdot \frac{d!}{d^d} \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|} \cdot N(\mathcal{O}). \quad \blacksquare$$

Here are a few properties of a Dedekind domain.

Theorem Let D be a Dedekind domain. Then

① $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(D) \setminus \{0\}$, $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}D$ is a Discrete Valuation Ring

where $S_{\mathfrak{p}} := D \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. i.e. $\exists v_{\mathfrak{p}}: k^x \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

ⓐ $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(xy) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(y)$

ⓑ $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x+y) \geq \min \{ v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x), v_{\mathfrak{p}}(y) \}$ if $x+y \neq 0$.

ⓒ $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}D = \{ x \in k \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \geq 0 \}$

Here k is the field of fractions of D .

② $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(D) \setminus \{0\}$, $\exists \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$ s.t. $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}D \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$

($S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is a principle ideal.)

③ Any \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal is a power of \mathfrak{p} .

③ Any \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal is a power of \mathfrak{p} .

④ Any non-zero ideal can be uniquely written as a product of non-zero prime ideals.

(Using primary decomposition and part ③.)

$$\textcircled{5} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Max}(\mathcal{D})} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}.$$

⑥ A \mathcal{D} -submodule M of the field F of fractions of \mathcal{D} is called a fractional ideal if $\exists a \in F^\times$ s.t. $aM \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.

The set \mathcal{F} of fractional ideals form a group under multiplication: $M_1 \cdot M_2 := \{m_1 m_2 \mid m_i \in M_i\}$.

Definition. The class group Cl_k of k is \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{P} where

\mathcal{F} is the group of fractional ideals and \mathcal{P} is the group of principal fractional ideals, i.e. $\mathcal{P} := \{a \mathcal{O}_k \mid a \in k^\times\}$.

Observe. $\forall M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, $M_1 \equiv M_2 \pmod{\mathcal{P}} \iff \exists a \in k^\times, M_2 = a M_1$.

Theorem $\forall M \in \mathcal{F}$, $\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k$ s.t. ① $M \sim \alpha$

$$\textcircled{2} N(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^s \frac{d!}{d^d} \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k$ be s.t. $M^{-1} \sim \mathfrak{b}$. By the previous result

$$\exists \mathfrak{b}' \neq \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{b}, |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{b}')| \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^s \frac{d!}{d^d} \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|} \cdot N(\mathfrak{b}).$$

$\Rightarrow \langle \mathfrak{b}' \rangle = \mathfrak{b} \cdot \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k$.

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M \sim \mathfrak{b} M = M \cdot \mathfrak{b} \cdot \alpha \sim \alpha \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{b}')| = N(\mathfrak{b}) \cdot N(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^s \frac{d!}{d^d} \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|} \cdot N(\mathfrak{b}). \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M \sim \alpha \\ N(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^s \frac{d!}{d^d} \cdot \sqrt{|D_k|}. \end{array} \right.$$

Corollary. The class number $h := |\text{Cl}_k| < \infty$.

Pf. Each class has a representative α s.t.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} |\mathcal{O}_k/\alpha| \ll_k 1 \\ \mathcal{O}_k : \text{f.g. free abelian gp} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{the \# of such ideals is finite.}$$

. Let $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of k with respect to $|x|_{\mathfrak{p}} := \left(\frac{1}{|\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}|}\right)^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}$

where $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$. [We did not prove the next result in class, but similar argument was presented for \mathbb{Q}_p .]

Proposition Let $\{\alpha_0 = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{q-1}\}$ be a set of representatives

of $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ in \mathcal{O}_k . Let $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p} + x_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Then any $x \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ can be uniquely written as

$$x = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} D_n(x) x_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$$

where $D_n(x) = \alpha_j$ for some j , $D_{n_0}(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. By the definition of x , \exists a Cauchy sequence $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subseteq k$

s.t. $x = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m$.

$$\forall l, \exists n_l \text{ s.t. } m \geq n_l \Rightarrow x_m - x_{n_l} \in \left(S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k\right) x_{\mathfrak{p}}^l$$

$$\Rightarrow v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_m - x_{n_l}) \geq l.$$

So either $x=0$ or $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_m)$ is constant for large enough m .

\Rightarrow After passing to a subseq., we have

$$\textcircled{1} y_m := x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n_0} x_m \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k \setminus S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}.$$

$$\textcircled{2} y_{m+1} - y_m \in \left(S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k\right) x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m+1} \text{ for any } m \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Since $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p} \cong S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k/S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$, $\forall m \exists!$ i_{m+1} s.t.

$$y_{m+1} - y_m \in \alpha_{i_{m+1}} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m+1} + \left(S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k\right) x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m+2}.$$

So by induction we have $y_m \in \alpha_{i_0} + \alpha_{i_1} x_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dots + \alpha_{i_m} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^m + \left(S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k\right) x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m+1}$

so by induction we have $y_m = \alpha_{i_0} + \alpha_{i_1} x_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dots + \alpha_{i_m} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^m + (\sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_k) x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m+1}$

Hence $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i_j} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_0+j}$.

One can get the uniqueness, first by getting \underline{n}_0 (which is essentially $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$.) and proving the uniqueness inductively. \square

Corollary. \mathcal{O}_k is dense in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{x \in k_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \geq 0\}$

and $\mathcal{O}_{k/\mathfrak{p}}$ is naturally isomorphic with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$

and $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in k_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) > 0\} = x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. By the above proposition, since α_i 's and $x_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are in \mathcal{O}_k ,

we have that \mathcal{O}_k is dense in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \{x \in k_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) > 0\} &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i_n} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \right\} \\ &= x_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in k_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) > 0\}.$$

$$\cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ can be represented by } \alpha_i \text{'s} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{k/\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Theorem. \mathcal{O}_k is dense in $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_f(k)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. Let $(y_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \prod \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let U be an open nbhd of 0 .

$$\Rightarrow U = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{ where } S \text{ is a finite set.}$$

Now we need to find $x \in \mathcal{O}_k$ s.t.

$$x - y_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for any } \mathfrak{p} \in S.$$

By the previous corollary, $\exists y'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_k$ s.t.

$$y_{\mathfrak{p}} - y'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

So it is enough to find $x \in \mathcal{O}_k$ s.t. $x - y'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{O}_k \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathcal{O}_k / \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

is onto, which is equivalent to

$$\exists x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^l \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \text{ s.t. } x-1 \in \mathfrak{p}_0^{n_0} \iff \mathcal{O}_k = \underbrace{\bigcap_{i=1}^l \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathfrak{p}_0^{n_0}.$$

$\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_0^{n_0} \implies$ the only maximal ideal that can contain \mathcal{A} is \mathfrak{p}_0 .

$\mathcal{A} \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^l \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \implies$ the only maximal ideals that can contain \mathcal{A} are $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_l$ } $\implies \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_k$. ■

Corollary. k is dense in $\prod'_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_f(k)} k_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{ (y_{\mathfrak{p}}) \mid N(\mathfrak{p}) \gg 1 \implies y_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \}$.

Proof. Let $(y_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_f(k)} \in \prod' k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $U = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

By enlarging S , if necessary, we can and will assume that

$y_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. So we need to find $x \in k$ such that

$$\textcircled{1} \quad x - y_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \in S$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \notin S.$$

By the above proposition, $\exists y'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_k$ st.

$$y_{\mathfrak{p}} - x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-m_{\mathfrak{p}}} y'_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

So we need to find

$$\textcircled{1} \quad x \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x - \frac{y'_{\mathfrak{p}}}{x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k \cdot x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{p} \in S.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \iff \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right) x - y''_{\mathfrak{p}} \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k \cdot \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right) x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k \cdot x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m'_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

By the previous theorem, $\exists y \in \mathcal{O}_k$ st.

$$y - y''_{\mathfrak{p}} \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k \cdot x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m'_{\mathfrak{p}}} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{p} \in S.$$

$$y - y_{\mathfrak{p}}'' \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k \cdot x_{\mathfrak{p}}' \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{p} \in S.$$

So we need to find $x \in k$ s.t.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad x \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad ax - y \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k \cdot x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}''} \quad \textcircled{\ast}$$

$$\text{where } a = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}'} \quad \text{and } m_{\mathfrak{p}}'' > m_{\mathfrak{p}}'.$$

By the above theorem $\exists x' \in \mathcal{O}_k$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} x' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot a & \forall \mathfrak{p} \notin S \\ x' - y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m_{\mathfrak{p}}''} & \forall \mathfrak{p} \in S \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = a^{-1} x' \text{ satisfies } \textcircled{\ast}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary. $k + \prod_{v \in V_{\infty}} k_v \cdot \prod_{v \in V_f} \mathcal{O}_v = \mathbb{A}_k.$

Proof. k is dense in $\prod_{v \in V_f} k_v$ and $\prod_{v \in V_f} \mathcal{O}_v$ is a non-empty open subset. So $k + \prod_{v \in V_f} \mathcal{O}_v = \prod_{v \in V_f} k_v \rightsquigarrow \checkmark. \quad \blacksquare$

Proposition. k is a lattice in $\mathbb{A}_k.$

Proof. We have proved that \mathcal{O}_k is a lattice in $\prod_{v \in V_{\infty}} k_v.$ Let \mathcal{F}_{∞} be a fundamental domain of \mathcal{O}_k in $\prod_{v \in V_{\infty}} k_v$ which contains a nbhd of 0. So it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_f} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a fundamental domain of k in $\mathbb{A}_k.$

Step 1. $k + \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_f} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{A}_k.$

Proof of step 1.

$$\forall (y_v)_{v \in V(k)}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \exists x_1 \in k \text{ s.t. } (y_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_f} - x_1 \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \exists x_2 \in \mathcal{O}_k \text{ s.t. } (y_v - x_1)_{v \in V_{\infty}} - x_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}.$$

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_2 \cup_k \text{st} \cdot (\mathcal{O}_v \sim 1)_{v \in V_{\infty}} \sim \mathcal{O}_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$$

$$\Rightarrow (y_v)_{v \in V} \sim (x_1 + x_2) \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \cdot \prod \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\text{Step 2. } (y_v)_{v \in V}, x + (y_v)_{v \in V} \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \cdot \prod \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \Big\} \Rightarrow x = 0.$$

$$x \in k$$

Proof of step 2. $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in V_f(k), y_{\mathfrak{p}}, x + y_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} (k \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} (S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_k) = \mathcal{O}_k \Big\} \Rightarrow x = 0.$$

$$\cdot (y_v)_{v \in V_{\infty}}, x + (y_v)_{v \in V_{\infty}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$$

We normalize the Haar measures of local fields as follows:

$$\cdot \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} |dx|_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1 \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{p} \in V_f(k)$$

$$\cdot \int_{[0,1]} |dx|_v = 1 \quad \text{for a real embedding } v.$$

$$\cdot |dx|_v = i \, dz \, d\bar{z} \quad \text{if } k_v \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} i \, dz \wedge d\bar{z} &= i (dx + i dy) \wedge (dx - i dy) \\ &= - (dy \wedge dx - dx \wedge dy) \\ &= 2 \, dx \wedge dy. \end{aligned}$$

twice the usual Lebesgue measure.

On A_k we take the induced (restricted product) measure.

Proposition $|\omega|(A_k/k) = \sqrt{|D_k|}$.

Proof. In the proof of the above proposition, we saw that

$\mathcal{F}_{\infty} \cdot \prod \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a fundamental domain of k in A_k . So

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega|(A_k/k) &= |\omega|(\mathcal{F}_{\infty} \cdot \prod \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = |\omega|_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{\infty}) \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} |\omega|_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &= |\omega|_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{\infty}) = 2^s \cdot \frac{\sqrt{|D_k|}}{2^s} = \sqrt{|D_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

where s is the number of complex embedding of k up to

conjugation. [Notice that $|\omega|_{\infty} = 2^s$. Lebesgue measure

$$\prod_{v \in V_\infty} \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot j$$

Remark. The adelic point of view is the best way to avoid emphasizing on Archimedean places. This way one gets a better connection between number fields and global function fields, i.e. finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.

Remark. Suppose k is a global function field with constant field \mathbb{F}_q and genus g . Then using Riemann-Roch theorem one can prove

$$|\omega(A_k/k)| = q^{g-1}.$$