

I. Schwartz Space

* We define $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as all functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

with $\|x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

* This is a Fréchet space w/ seminorms $p_{\alpha, \beta}(f) = \|x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta f\|_{L^\infty}$.

* Note that $C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ & $C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense ($\chi(\varepsilon x) f(x)$),
while $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

ex: $e^{-|x|^2} \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ but not $C_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma: $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is closed under products and $*$.

pf: Note $|x^\alpha| \leq C_x \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} |\beta|! |x^\beta|$, thus $\|p_{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)\| \leq C_x \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} \|f\|_{p_{\alpha, \beta}} \cdot \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} \|g\|_{p_{\alpha, \beta}}$.

Lemma: The operators $x^\alpha, \partial_x^\alpha$ are continuous in the Fréchet Topology.

pf: In general if X, Y are TVS with $\mathcal{F}_{X, P}, \mathcal{F}_{Y, Q}$, then

$T: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous iff for each $q \in Q$ $\exists p_1, \dots, p_N$ with

$$|q(Tx)| \leq C_q \sum_{i=1}^N |p_i(x)|.$$

II. The FT on $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

* Let $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and define $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$.

Lemma: $|\hat{f}| \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

Proof: For $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ one has $\hat{f} \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and in fact $\mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ is

continuous. Furthermore:

i) $\widehat{D_x^\alpha f} = \xi^\alpha \hat{f}$, $D_\xi^\alpha \hat{f} = \frac{1}{i^{|\alpha|}} \widehat{\partial_x^\alpha f}$. Also $\tau_\lambda f(x) = f(x - \lambda)$, then $\widehat{\tau_\lambda f} = e^{-ix \cdot \lambda} \hat{f}$.

ii) $\widehat{x^\alpha f} = (-i)^{|\alpha|} D_\xi^\alpha \hat{f}$. Also $\widehat{e^{ix \cdot \xi} f} = \tau_{\xi_0} \hat{f}$

pf: First show $\hat{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $\Delta_k \hat{f} = \int \Delta_k(e^{ix \cdot \xi}) f(\xi) d\xi$, $|\Delta_k(e^{ix \cdot \xi})| \leq |x|$.

By DCT $\partial_k \hat{f} = -i \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} x_k f(\xi) d\xi$. By induction $\hat{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

and (r) holds.

To get (i) we use IBP $\Rightarrow \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \partial_k f d\xi = i x_k \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} f d\xi = i x_k \hat{f}(x)$.

By lemma $\|f\|_{p, \alpha} \leq \| \partial^\alpha (x^\beta f) \|_1 \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{|\mu| \leq |\alpha|} \|f\|_{p, \alpha - \mu}$

Remark: If $f \in C^\infty_{comp}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\hat{f}(\xi)$ is analytic in ξ , and extends to a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^d . Thus $\hat{f} \notin C^\infty_{comp}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Thm: $\mathcal{F}: S \rightarrow S$ is bijective and $f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$ all $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

proof: Let $Rf(x) = f(-x)$, and consider the operator $T: S \rightarrow S$ given by

$T = R\mathcal{F}^2 = R \circ \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}$. Now $\mathcal{F}(D_j) = x_j \mathcal{F}(\cdot)$, $\mathcal{F}(x_j \cdot) = -i \partial_j \mathcal{F}(\cdot)$, so $\mathcal{F}^2(x_j \cdot) = -x_j \mathcal{F}^2(\cdot)$

$\mathcal{F}^2(D_j \cdot) = D_j \mathcal{F}^2(\cdot)$. Also $R(x_j \cdot) = -x_j R(\cdot)$, $R(D_j \cdot) = -i \partial_j R(\cdot)$. Thus $[T, x_j] = [T, D_j] = 0$.

Now if $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $f(x) = f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d h_i(x; x_0) (x - x_0)_i$.

Letting $\chi(x) \in C^\infty_{comp}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ we have $f(x) = f(x_0) \chi(x - x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \phi_i(x; x_0) (x - x_0)_i$.

Thus $Tf(x) = f(x_0) \phi(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d (x - x_0)_i T \phi_i(\cdot; x_0)$, $\phi(x) = T \chi(\cdot - x_0)$.

Setting $x = x_0$ we get $Tf(x_0) = \phi(x_0) f(x_0)$ all x . Now $[T, x_j] = [T, D_j] = 0$, so $\phi \equiv \phi_0$.

Thus $f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$. To compute ϕ_0 use a lemma.

Lemma: If $f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}$ then $\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2}$. In particular $\phi_0 = (2\pi)^d$.

pf: Taking a product reduce to $d=1$. Then completing the square $\frac{1}{2}x^2 + i\xi x = \frac{1}{2}(x+i\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\xi^2$.

Thus $\hat{f}(\xi) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\xi^2} \int e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+i\xi)^2} dx = e^{\frac{1}{2}\xi^2} \int e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2}$.

Thm: Let $f, g \in S$, then $\int f \bar{g} dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \hat{f} \bar{\hat{g}} d\xi$. Thus $\|f\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2}$.

pf: Note that $\int h k = \int h \widehat{k}$. Also $\widehat{\widehat{g}} = R \widehat{g}$. So if $\widehat{h} = f$, $k = \widehat{g}$ we

get $h = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} R \widehat{f}$, $\widehat{k} = R \widehat{g}$, so $\int f \widehat{g} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int R \widehat{f} \cdot R \widehat{g} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}$.

Note: This also gives $\int f g = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \widehat{f} R \widehat{g}$ thanks to $\widehat{\widehat{g}} = R \widehat{g}$

Thm: If $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\widehat{f \cdot g} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}$. $\widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}$

pf: $\int e^{ix \cdot \eta} f(x) g(x) dx = \int \widehat{e^{-ix \cdot \eta} f(x)}(\eta) \widehat{g}(-\eta) d\eta$. Also $\widehat{e^{-ix \cdot \eta} f(x)}(\eta) = \widehat{f}(\eta + \eta)$.

After change of variables set first identity. The second is a direct

calculation using $e^{ix \cdot \eta} = e^{i(x-\eta) \cdot \eta} e^{i\eta \cdot \eta}$ and change of variables.

Thm: The FT extends as a continuous operator $\mathbb{F}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, with

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{p'} \leq (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_p.$$

pf: For $p=1$ we have an extension w/ this bound. For $p=2$ let $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^2

with $f_n \in S$. Then \widehat{f}_n is Cauchy in L^2 , so define $\widehat{f} = \lim \widehat{f}_n$.

Then $\|\widehat{f}\|_2 = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_2$. The general result follows by Riesz-Thorin.

Remark: If $f \in L^2$, then $\mathbb{1}_{B_R} f \in L^1$ so $\widehat{\mathbb{1}_{B_R} f}$ makes sense.

We see $\widehat{\mathbb{1}_{B_R} f} \rightarrow \widehat{f}$ in L^2 .