### Distinct edge weights on graphs

Michael Tait

University of California-San Diego

mtait@math.ucsd.edu

Joint work with Jacques Verstraëte

November 4, 2014

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

don sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound

### Overview

### 1 Sidon sets

- 2 Sum-injective labelings
- Product-injective labelingsLower bound
  - Upper bound

# Sum-injective labelingsAn idea

Injective labelings of graphs Michael Tait Sidon sets Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound

### Sidon sets

#### Definition

Given an abelian group (or monoid)  $\Gamma$ , a Sidon set A is a set  $A \subset \Gamma$  such that  $a, b, c, d \in A$  and

$$a+b=c+d$$

implies that  $\{a, b\} = \{c, d\}.$ 

In this talk we will consider Sidon subsets of  $[N] := \{1, 2, ..., N\}$  of integers under either "+" or "\*".

Injective labelings of graphs

#### Michael Tait

#### Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective

labelings An idea Having the Sidon property forces a Sidon set to be "thin". No progressions of length more than two.

How thin does such a set have to be?

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

#### Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective

An idea

### Sidon sets

Erdős and Turán (1941) showed that if  $A \subset [n]$  is a Sidon set (with addition), then

$$|A| < n^{1/2} + O(n^{1/4}).$$



#### Figure: Erdős and Turán

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

#### Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective

labelings An idea

### Sidon sets

There are still open questions about Sidon sets (with addition). How big can they be? What is the structure of a Sidon set of large size?

Denote by f(n) the largest integer k for which there is a sequence  $1 \le a_1 < \cdots < a_k \le n$ so that all the sums  $a_i + a_j$  are distinct. Turán and I conjectured about 40 years ago [5] that

$$f(n) = n^{1/2} + O(1).$$
(1)

The conjecture seems to be very deep and I offered long ago a prize of 500 dollars for a proof or disproof of (1). The sharpest known results in the direction of (1) state [5]

$$n^{1/2} - n^{1/2-c} < f(n) < n^{1/2} + n^{1/4} + 1.$$
(2)

#### Figure: 500 USD Erdős question

#### Injective labelings of graphs

#### Michael Tait

#### Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound

### Sidon sets: Generalizations

•  $B_h[g]$  sets: The number of solutions to

 $a_1 + \dots + a_h = b_1 + \dots + b_h$ 

is bounded by g. Very little is understood about these sets when h > 2.

• *k*-fold Sidon sets:

$$a+b \neq i(c+d)$$

for  $1 \le i \le k$ . Asymptotics not known for  $k \ge 2$ .

• Restricted Sidon sets: taking only squares, cubes, 5th powers, etc.

$$a^5 + b^5 = c^5 + d^5$$
 ?

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective labelings

7 / 46

An idea

A generalization for graph theorists:

Given a graph G let  $\chi : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  be an injective function (i.e. label the vertices with distinct natural numbers).

#### Definition

A sum-injective coloring of graph G is an injection  $\chi: V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\chi(x) + \chi(y) \neq \chi(u) + \chi(v)$  for distinct edges  $xy, uv \in E(G)$ .

We weight an edge with the sum of its endpoints and require that all the edges have distinct weights.

#### Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

don sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound



Figure: A sum-injective labeling of  $K_3$ 

•

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound



Figure: Not a sum-injective labeling of  $K_4$ 

٠

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound

labelings An idea



Figure: A sum-injective labeling of  $K_4$ 

•

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

lidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound

Note that any graph admits a sum-injective labeling by using a Sidon set. We denote by S(G) the minimum N

such that G admits a sum-injective coloring  $\chi: V(G) \to [N]$ .  $S(K_3) = 3, S(K_4) = 5.$ 

 $S(G) \leq S(K_n) \leq \text{Largest integer in a Sidon set of size } n$ 

Denote by D(G) the minimum N such that G admits a difference-injective coloring  $\chi: V(G) \to [N]$ 

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

lidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective labelings

An idea

Sidon sets of [N] have size at most  $(1 + o(1))\sqrt{N}$ 

$$S(K_n) = D(K_n) = (1 + o(1))n^2.$$

What about other graphs?

Injective labelings of graphs Michael Tait Sidon sets Sum-injective labelings Product-

njective abelings Lower bound Upper bound

## Sum-Injective Coloring

A greedy algorithm gives an upper bound.



The unlabelled vertex cannot receive color 8 (or colors 5, 7, 10). There may be a restricted color for each neighbor and each edge in G.

Injective labelings of graphs Michael Tait Sidon sets Sum-injective labelings Productinjective

Michael Tait (UCSD)

14 / 46

Theorem

Let G be a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then

$$S(G) \le \Delta |E(G)| + n - 1 \le \frac{\Delta^2 n}{2} + n.$$

Note that  $S(G) \leq S(K_n) \leq (1 + o(1))n^2$  by coloring with a Sidon set. Therefore this upper bound is trivial unless  $\Delta$  is less than  $\sqrt{n}$ .

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective abelings

15 / 46

## Lower bounds

### Theorem (Bollobás and Pikhurko 2005)

Let G be a random graph with expected degree d. Then almost surely

$$S(G) \ge \begin{cases} c_1 n^2 & \text{if } d \ge n^{1/2} \log n \\ c_2 \frac{d^2 n}{\log n} & \text{if } d = o\left(\sqrt{n \log n}\right). \end{cases}$$

$$D(G) \ge \begin{cases} (1 - o(1))n^2 & \text{if } d \ge n^{1/2} \log n \\ c_3 \frac{d^2 n}{\log n} & \text{if } d = o\left(\sqrt{n \log n}\right). \end{cases}$$

It is surprising that graphs much less dense than  $K_n$  have  $S(G) = \Omega(n^2)$  and  $D(G) \sim n^2$ . The Sidon condition is too strong. For most graphs with only  $n^{3/2+o(1)}$  edges, labeling with a Sidon set is asymptotically best possible.

Michael Tait (UCSD)

Injective labelings of graphs

#### Michael Tait

#### idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

### Multiplication

Let's switch from  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  to  $(\mathbb{Z}, *)$ .

What is a good Sidon subset (under multiplication) of [N]? i.e. pick a large subset of natural numbers that has no nontrivial solutions to

$$a \cdot b = c \cdot d$$

The primes up to N?

$$\pi(N) \sim \frac{N}{\log N}$$

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings

> Lower bound Upper bound

Sum-injective labelings An idea

17 / 46

## Multiplication

#### Theorem (Erdős, 1938)

Choosing primes is asymptotically best possible. If  $A \subset [N]$  has the property that  $a, b, c, d \in A$  and ab = cd implies that  $\{a, b\} = \{c, d\}$ , then

$$|A| \le (1+o(1))\frac{N}{\log N}$$

Is the restriction that  $ab \neq cd$  for all  $\{a, b\} \neq \{c, d\}$  too strong?

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings

> Lower bound Upper bound

#### Definition

A product-injective coloring of graph G is an injection  $\chi: V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\chi(x) \cdot \chi(y) \neq \chi(u) \cdot \chi(v)$  for distinct edges  $xy, uv \in E(G)$ .

We weight an edge with the product of its endpoints and require that all the edges have distinct weights.

Denote by P(G) the minimum N such that G admits a product-injective coloring  $\chi: V(G) \to [N]$ 

#### Injective labelings of graphs

#### Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

#### Productinjective labelings

Lower bound Upper bound



Figure: Not a product-injective labeling of  $K_6$ 

 $P(K_6) > 6.$ 

Michael Tait (UCSD)

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings

Lower bound Upper bound



Figure: A product-injective labeling of  $K_6$ 

 $P(K_6) = 7.$ 

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings

> Lower bound Upper bound

Sum-injective labelings An idea

21 / 46

Erdős' result says that  $P(K_n) \sim n \log n$ . For all graphs G on n vertices

 $P(G) \le P(K_n) \le (1 + o(1))n \log n.$ 

Recall  $D(K_n) \sim n^2$  but there are graphs G much sparser that also have  $D(G) \sim n^2$ .

An analogous result for products?

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective abelings

Productinjective labelings

> Lower bound Upper bound

Sum-injective labelings An idea

22 / 46

#### Theorem (MT and Verstraëte)

Let G be a random graph with expected degree at least  $\sqrt{n}(\log n)^5$ . Then

 $P(G) \sim n \log n$ 

almost surely.

Erdős:  $P(K_n) \sim n \log n$ . *Proof:* If A is a subset of N, with  $|A| = (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{N}{\log N}$ , then A has a nontrivial solution to ab = cd.

#### Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound

## An auxiliary graph

U \_\_\_\_\_\_  
V \_\_\_\_\_  
Figure: 
$$U = [N^{2/3}] \cup$$
 primes up to  $n$   $V = [N^{2/3}].$ 

• Every 
$$a \in [N]$$
 can be written as  $a = u \cdot v$  with  $u \in U$ ,  $v \in V$ , and  $v \leq u$ .

• For each  $a \in A$ , pick such a representation.

24 / 46

## An auxiliary graph



Figure:  $U = [N^{2/3}] \cup$  primes up to n

$$V = [N^{2/3}].$$

• Every 
$$a \in [N]$$
 can be written as  $a = u \cdot v$  with  $u \in U$ ,  $v \in V$ , and  $v \leq u$ .

- For each  $a \in A$ , pick such a representation.
- The number of edges in this graph is |A|.

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings **Lower bound** Upper bound Sum-injective

labelings An idea

## An auxiliary graph

U  
a c d b  
V  
Figure: 
$$U = [N^{2/3}] \cup$$
 primes up to  $n$   $V = [N^{2/3}].$ 

- Every  $a \in [N]$  can be written as  $a = u \cdot v$  with  $u \in U$ ,  $v \in V$ , and  $v \leq u$ .
- For each  $a \in A$ , pick such a representation.
- The number of edges in this graph is |A|.
- Each  $C_4$  yields a nontrivial solution to ab = cd.

Erdős showed there is at least one  $C_4$  in this graph.

Injective labelings of graphs Michael Tait idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings **Lower bound** Upper bound Sum-injective labelings

An idea

Michael Tait (UCSD)

### A Lemma

In fact there are many  $C_4$ 's in this graph.

#### Lemma

If  $A \subset [N]$  with  $|A| \ge (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{N}{\log N}$ , then the number of nontrivial solutions to ab = cd in A is

$$\Omega\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^8}\right).$$

How do we use this to prove the lower bound?

Injective labelings of graphs Michael Tait Sidon sets Sum-injective labelings Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound

### Lower Bound

We show that if G is a random graph with expected degree at least  $n^{1/2}(\log n)^5$ , then  $P(G) \ge (1-\epsilon)n\log n$  almost surely.

#### Strategy:

- Fix a coloring  $\chi$  from  $[(1 \epsilon)n \log n]$ .
- Show that the probability that G is product-injectively colored by  $\chi$  is o(1/number of colorings). (use the lemma here)
- The union bound gives that  $P(G) \ge (1 \epsilon)n \log n$ .

#### Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound

### Lower Bound

Fix a coloring  $\chi$  from  $[(1 - \epsilon)n \log n]$ . Look at solutions to  $\chi(x)\chi(y) = \chi(u)\chi(v)$ .



Lemma: At least  $\delta n^2 (\log n)^{-8}$  such solutions.

Injective

labelings of graphs Michael Tait

Lower bound

### Lower Bound

Fix a coloring  $\chi$  from  $[(1 - \epsilon)n \log n]$ . Look at solutions to  $\chi(x)\chi(y) = \chi(u)\chi(v)$ .



For each picture like this, at most one edge can be generated.

#### Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings **Lower bound** Upper bound

An idea

Michael Tait (UCSD)

Injective labelings of graphs

November 4, 2014

30 / 46

What about an upper bound? Recall

 $S(G) \leq \Delta |E(G)| + n.$ 

#### This bound also holds for P(G), but it is very poor.

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound **Upper bound** 

Sum-injective labelings An idea

31 / 46

#### Theorem (MT and Verstraëte)

Let G be any graph with maximum degree less than  $\sqrt{n}(\log n)^{-1}$ . Then

 $P(G) \sim n.$ 

Recall  $n \leq P(G) \leq n$ 'th prime number  $\sim n \log n$ .

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound **Upper bound** 

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound **Upper bound** Sum-injective

An idea

Let G be a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta \leq \sqrt{n}(\log n)^{-1}$ . We will label it with maximum label (1+o(1))n such that no two edges have the same product.

#### Strategy:

• Throw away highly divisible numbers.

#### Theorem (Hardy and Ramanujan 1917)

Let  $\Omega(k)$  be the number of prime power divisors of k. Then for  $\omega$  any function that tends to infinity

$$\left|\left\{x \le N : |\Omega(x) - \log \log N| > \omega \sqrt{\log \log N}\right\}\right| = o(N).$$

Almost every number up to n has less than  $\log n$  divisors.

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective abelings Lower bound **Upper bound** 

#### Strategy:

- Throw away highly divisible numbers.
- Color from a set of size n + w, choosing n colors randomly.
- Choose w strategically so that the probability that any two edges share a weight is small, but w is still o(n).
- Local Lemma?

#### Injective labelings of graphs

#### Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound **Upper bound** 

## Local Lemma

- For edges uv and xy, let  $A_{uv,xy}$  be the event that  $\chi(u)\chi(v) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ .
- We've chosen w large enough so that  $\mathbb{P}(A_{uv,xy})$  is small.
- If  $A_{uv,xy}$  does not occur for any pair uv and xy, then  $\chi$  is a product-injective labeling.
- However, all of the pairs of events are dependent.

#### Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound **Upper bound** Sum-injective labelings

## Local Lemma



Figure: Almost independent events

• If  $\{uv, xy\}$  and  $\{jk, rs\}$  are disjoint, then  $A_{uv,xy}$  and  $A_{jk,rs}$  are dependent but only superficially.

Injective labelings of graphs Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound **Upper bound** Sum injective

An idea

## Local Lemma



Figure: Highly dependent events

- If  $\{uv, xy\}$  and  $\{jk, rs\}$  are disjoint, then  $A_{uv,xy}$  and  $A_{jk,rs}$  are dependent but only superficially.
- If  $\{uv, xy\}$  and  $\{jk, rs\}$  are not disjoint, then  $A_{uv,xy}$  and  $A_{jk,rs}$  are highly dependent.
- Let  $K_{uv,xy}$  be all of the not highly dependent events for  $A_{uv,xy}$ .

Let K be an arbitrary subset of  $K_{uv,xy}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(A_{uv,xy}|K)$  is still small enough. The proof of the Local Lemma goes through.

Michael Tait (UCSD)

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound **Upper bound** 

labelings An idea

#### Strategy:

- Throw away highly divisible numbers.
- Color from a set of size n + w, choosing n colors randomly.
- Choose w strategically so that the probability that any two edges share a weight is small, but w is still o(n).
- Apply the Modified Local Lemma to show that there is a positive probability that none of the edges have the same product.

#### Injective labelings of graphs

#### Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound **Upper bound** 

### Sums again

Back to working in  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ . Edges have weight the sum of their endpoints.

Theorem (Bollobás and Pikhurko 2005) Let G be a random graph with expected degree  $d = o(\sqrt{n \log n})$ , then

$$S(G) = \Omega\left(\frac{d^2n}{\log n}\right)$$

almost surely.

Recall that a greedy algorithm gives  $S(G) \leq \Delta^2 n + n$ .

#### Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound

## Which bound?

#### Which bound is closer?

Theorem (Bollobás and Pikhurko 2005)

Let G be a random graph with expected degree  $d \gg \log n$ . Then

$$S(G) \le (1+o(1))\frac{d^2n}{\log d}.$$

Is there an analogous result for general graphs of maximum degree d?

#### Injective labelings of graphs

#### Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound

Sum-injective labelings An idea

41 / 46

### Sum-injective coloring

The greedy upper bound  $S(G) \leq \Delta^2 n + n$  should be improved, as many of the restrictions are the same.

Conjecture

Let G be a graph with maximum degree d. Then

$$S(G) = O\left(\frac{d^2n}{\log d}\right)$$

*Proof Idea:*  $d^2n$  restrictions but many are repeated. Use a semi-random method to color.

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

Sidon sets

Sum-injective abelings

Productnjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective

An idea

### Rödl nibble



• Label about  $\frac{n}{\log d}$  vertices at a time and label randomly.

## Rödl nibble



- Label about  $\frac{n}{\log d}$  vertices at a time and label randomly.
- Work in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  so that all weights are equally likely.
- Both the weights and the labels of a vertex's neighbors are uniformly distributed.
- The labels that a vertex is restricted from using should look uniformly distributed.
- We can always find a label for a vertex unless  $C \frac{d^2n}{\log d}$  unique restrictions have been made.

## Coupon Collector Problem

The expected time to collect n coupons drawing uniformly, independently, and with replacement is asymptotic to  $n \log n$ .

Theorem (Erdős and Rényi, 1961) Let  $T_n$  be the time to collect n coupons. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(T_n < n \log n + cn) \to e^{-e^{-c}}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

Heuristically, it should be very unlikely that there is enough time to "collect" all  $C \frac{d^2n}{\log d}$  "coupons". There is not enough time to run out of available labels.

Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

idon sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective abelings Lower bound Upper bound Sum-injective abelings

An idea

## Open problems

- Prove conjecture:  $S(G) = O\left(\frac{d^2n}{\log d}\right)$ .
- 2 Sidon sets (with addition) of squares, cubes.

# Thank you!

#### Injective labelings of graphs

Michael Tait

don sets

Sum-injective labelings

Productinjective labelings Lower bound Upper bound