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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a field of $q$ elements, where $q$ is a power of an odd prime $p$. The polynomial $f(y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[y]$ defined by $$
f(y):=(1+\sqrt{y})^{(q+1) / 2}+(1-\sqrt{y})^{(q+1) / 2}
$$ has the property that $$
f(1-y)=\rho(2) f(y)
$$ where $\rho$ is the quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. This univariate identity was applied to prove a recent theorem of N. Katz. We formulate and prove a bivariate extension, and give an application to quadratic residuacity.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a field of $q$ elements, where $q$ is a power of an odd prime $p$. Fix

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=(q+1) / 2 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define a polynomial $f(y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[y]$ of degree $[n / 2]$ by

$$
f(y):=(1+\sqrt{y})^{n}+(1-\sqrt{y})^{n} .
$$

The leading coefficient of $f$ is

$$
\tau= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } q \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ 2, & \text { if } q \equiv 3 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

In $\mathbb{F}_{q}[y]$,

$$
f(y)=D_{n}(2,1-y)
$$

where $D_{n}(2,1-y)$ is a reversed Dickson polynomial [2, p. 436], and

$$
f(y)=2 z^{n} T_{n}(1 / z), \quad z=\sqrt{1-y}
$$

where $T_{n}$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind [4, (1.49)].
By virtue of our choice of $n$ in (1.1), the polynomial $f$ has some interesting number-theoretic properties; for example [3, Lemma 16.6],

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(1-y)-\rho(2) f(y)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Identity (1.2) was instrumental in the proof of a recent theorem of Katz [3, Theorem 16.3]. The purpose of this paper is to extend (1.2) by proving the following pair of bivariate polynomial identities in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x, y]$ :

$$
(f(y)+f(x)) / \tau \equiv \begin{cases}\prod_{c \in \mathcal{A}} g(x, y, c), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8  \tag{1.3}\\ (y+x-1) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{A}} g(x, y, c), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
(f(y)-f(x)) / \tau \equiv \begin{cases}(y-x)(y+x-1) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{B}} g(x, y, c), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8  \tag{1.4}\\ (y-x) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{B}} g(x, y, c), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(x, y, c):=(x+y-c)^{2}+4 x y(c-1) \\
\mathcal{A}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}: \rho(c)=1, \rho(1+\sqrt{c})=\rho(1-\sqrt{c})=-1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}: \rho(c)=1, \rho(1+\sqrt{c})=\rho(1-\sqrt{c})=1\right\} .
$$

Here the symbol $\equiv$ signifies that the polynomials on both sides are identical. Substitution of $1-y$ for $x$ in these identities immediately yields (1.2), since $\rho(2)$ equals 1 or -1 according as $q$ is $\pm 1$ or $\pm 3$ modulo 8 .

The difference of Dickson polynomials $D_{n}(y, a)-D_{n}(x, a) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x, y]$ has been factored by Bhargava and Zieve [1]. In contrast, for $n=(q+1) / 2$, (1.4) yields a factorization of the difference of reversed Dickson polynomials

$$
D_{n}(2, y)-D_{n}(2, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x, y]
$$

in view of (1.2).
Identities (1.3) and (1.4) will follow from Theorem 3.1, whose proof depends on a series of lemmas in Section 2. Theorem 3.1 has an application to quadratic residuacity: for example, Corollary 3.2 shows that when $q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d \in \mathcal{B}$, then

$$
\rho(1+\sqrt{c-c d}+\sqrt{d-c d})=-1
$$

for every possible choice of signs of the square roots. Our demonstration of Theorem 3.1, though intricate, is elementary and entirely self-contained.

## 2 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 appears in [3, Lemma 16.6], but we include it here for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. We have $f(y)^{2} \equiv 2\left(1+y^{n}+(1-y)^{n}\right)$ and $f(y) \equiv \rho(2) f(1-y)$.
Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. By the definition of $f$,

$$
f(1-x)^{2}=(1+\sqrt{1-x})^{q+1}+(1-\sqrt{1-x})^{q+1}+2 x^{n} .
$$

If $\rho(1-x)=1$, then

$$
f(1-x)^{2}=(1+\sqrt{1-x})^{2}+(1-\sqrt{1-x})^{2}+2 x^{n}=2\left(2-x+x^{n}\right) .
$$

If $\rho(1-x)=-1$, then since $(\sqrt{1-x})^{q}=-\sqrt{1-x}$,

$$
f(1-x)^{2}=2\left(x+x^{n}\right)
$$

Thus if $x \neq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(1-x)^{2} & =\left(1+(1-x)^{n-1}\right)\left(2-x+x^{n}\right)+\left(1-(1-x)^{n-1}\right)\left(x+x^{n}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& =2+2 x^{n}+(1-x)^{n-1}(2-2 x)=2\left(1+x^{n}+(1-x)^{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This holds as well for $x=1$, so it holds for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since the polynomials on both sides of (2.1) have degree less than $q$, it follows that

$$
f(1-y)^{2} \equiv 2\left(1+y^{n}+(1-y)^{n}\right) \equiv f(y)^{2} .
$$

Therefore $f(y) \equiv \pm f(1-y)$. The constant terms of $f(y)$ and $f(1-y)$ are 2 and $2 \rho(2)$ respectively, which proves that $f(y) \equiv \rho(2) f(1-y)$.

Define

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\{0,1\} \cup\left\{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}: \rho\left(u-u^{2}\right)=1\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.2. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\mathcal{S}|=2[n / 2]+1 \\
|\mathcal{A}|= \begin{cases}{[n / 2] / 2,} & q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8 \\
([n / 2]-1) / 2, & q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8,\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
|\mathcal{B}|= \begin{cases}([n / 2]-2) / 2, & q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8 \\ ([n / 2]-1) / 2, & q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We give only the proof for $|\mathcal{A}|$, since the other proofs are similar. The cardinality $|\mathcal{A}|$ is given by the quadratic character sum

$$
|\mathcal{A}|=\frac{1}{8} \sum(1-\rho(1+x))(1-\rho(1-x))
$$

where the sum is over all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $x \notin\{-1,0,1\}$. Thus

$$
|\mathcal{A}|=\frac{2 \rho(2)-2}{8}+\frac{1}{8} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}(1-\rho(1+x))(1-\rho(1-x)) .
$$

Since $q=2 n-1$, this yields

$$
|\mathcal{A}|=\frac{2 \rho(2)+2 n-3}{8}+\frac{1}{8} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \rho(1+x) \rho(1-x) .
$$

With the change of variable $x=2 t-1$, the sum on $x$ becomes

$$
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \rho(t) \rho(1-t)=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \rho\left(t^{-1}-1\right)=-\rho(-1)
$$

Thus

$$
|\mathcal{A}|=\frac{2 \rho(2)+2 n-3-\rho(-1)}{8}
$$

Lemma 2.2 for $|\mathcal{A}|$ now easily follows.
Lemma 2.3 is the special instance $x=0$ of our identities (1.3) and (1.4).

Lemma 2.3. We have

$$
(f(y)+2) / \tau \equiv \begin{cases}\prod_{c \in \mathcal{A}}(y-c)^{2}, & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8  \tag{2.2}\\ (y-1) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{A}}(y-c)^{2}, & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
(f(y)-2) / \tau \equiv \begin{cases}y(y-1) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{B}}(y-c)^{2}, & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8  \tag{2.3}\\ y \prod_{c \in \mathcal{B}}(y-c)^{2}, & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, the products in (2.2) and (2.3) each have degree $\operatorname{deg} f=[n / 2]$. Since $f(1)=2 \rho(2)$ and the derivatives $f^{\prime}(0)$ and $f^{\prime}(1)$ do not vanish, the factors $y$ and $y-1$ occur with the correct multiplicities in (2.2) and (2.3).

Let $\epsilon= \pm 1$ and choose $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that

$$
\rho(c)=1, \quad \rho(1+\sqrt{c})=\rho(1-\sqrt{c})=\epsilon
$$

By definition of $f$,

$$
f(c)=\rho(1+\sqrt{c})(1+\sqrt{c})+\rho(1-\sqrt{c})(1-\sqrt{c})=2 \epsilon,
$$

so $c$ is a zero of $f(y)-2 \epsilon$. Each such zero $c$ has multiplicity greater than 1 , since the derivative $f^{\prime}(c)$ equals

$$
f^{\prime}(c)=\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{c}}(\rho(1+\sqrt{c})-\rho(1-\sqrt{c}))=0 .
$$

Therefore the products in (2.2) and (2.3) divide the polynomials $f(y)+2$ and $f(y)-2$, respectively. Thus equality holds in (2.2) and (2.3), since the polynomials on both sides are monic with the same degree.

Remark 1. Lemma 2.3 and (1.2) together yield the nontrivial facts that

$$
c \in \mathcal{A} \text { if and only if } 1-c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \text { when } q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8
$$

and

$$
c \in \mathcal{A} \text { if and only if } 1-c \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \text { when } q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8 .
$$

Remark 2. For a fixed $x$ algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $f(x) \neq \pm 2$, the zeros of the polynomials $f(y) \pm f(x)$ are all distinct. This is because Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2 together show that the zeros of the derivative $f^{\prime}(y)$ are precisely the $[n / 2]-1$ elements in $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$.

For each $c$ in the set

$$
\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}=\{0,1\} \cup\left\{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}: \rho(c)=\rho(1-c)=1\right\}
$$

define the pair of functions

$$
\alpha_{c}(x)=(\sqrt{c} \sqrt{1-x}+\sqrt{1-c} \sqrt{x})^{2}, \alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=(\sqrt{c} \sqrt{1-x}-\sqrt{1-c} \sqrt{x})^{2}
$$

If $x \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\alpha_{c}(x)$ and $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)$ are in $\mathcal{S}$, because

$$
\alpha_{c}(x)-\alpha_{c}(x)^{2}=\left((2 c-1) \sqrt{x-x^{2}}+(2 x-1) \sqrt{c-c^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)-\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)^{2}=\left((2 c-1) \sqrt{x-x^{2}}-(2 x-1) \sqrt{c-c^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

Lemma 2.4. If $\alpha_{c}(x)=0$ or $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=0$, then $x=c$. If $\alpha_{c}(x)=1$ or $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=1$, then $x=1-c$.

Proof. If $\alpha_{c}(x)=0$ or $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=0$, then $\sqrt{c-c x}= \pm \sqrt{x-c x}$, so that $x=c$. Now suppose that $\alpha_{c}(x)=1$ or $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=1$. Then

$$
\sqrt{c-c x}= \pm \sqrt{x-c x} \pm 1
$$

so that squaring both sides yields $c-x-1= \pm 2 \sqrt{x-c x}$. Squaring both sides again yields $(c+x-1)^{2}=0$ so that $x=1-c$.

Lemma 2.5. For each $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and each $c \in\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$,

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}(x)\right)^{2}=f\left(\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}=f(x)^{2}
$$

Proof. We consider just $\alpha_{c}(x)$, since the argument for $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)$ is the same. It follows from (1.2) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$
f(c)^{2}=f(1-c)^{2}=f(0)^{2}=f(1)^{2}=4
$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.5 holds when $x \in\{0,1\}$ or $\alpha_{c}(x) \in\{0,1\}$. Thus we may assume that $x \notin\{0,1\}$ and $\alpha_{c}(x) \notin\{0,1\}$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$
f(x)^{2}=2(1+x \rho(x)+(1-x) \rho(1-x))=2(1+\rho(x))
$$

since $\rho(1-x)=\rho(x)$. Since $\alpha_{c}(x) \in \mathcal{S}$, we similarly have

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}(x)\right)^{2}=2\left(1+\rho\left(\alpha_{c}(x)\right)\right)
$$

Thus

$$
f(x)^{2}-f\left(\alpha_{c}(x)\right)^{2}=2\left(\rho(x)-\rho\left(\alpha_{c}(x)\right)\right) .
$$

Finally, the right side above vanishes because

$$
\frac{\alpha_{c}(x)}{x}=c\left(\frac{\sqrt{x-x^{2}}}{x}+\frac{\sqrt{c-c^{2}}}{c}\right)^{2}
$$

is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Lemma 2.6 proves the nontrivial fact that $f\left(\alpha_{c}(y)\right)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[y]$. Since $\alpha_{1}(y)=1-y,(1.2)$ is the special case $c=1$ of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.6. For each fixed $c \in\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$,

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}(y)\right), f\left(\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(y)\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[y]
$$

and

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}(y)\right) \equiv f\left(\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(y)\right) \equiv \rho(1+\sqrt{c}) f(y)
$$

where $\sqrt{c}$ is interpreted as 1 in the case $c=1$.
Proof. For some polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[y]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\alpha_{c}(y)\right)=g+\sqrt{y-y^{2}} h \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(y)\right)=g-\sqrt{y-y^{2}} h . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}(y)\right)^{2}+f\left(\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2}=2 g^{2}+2\left(y-y^{2}\right) h^{2}
$$

and

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}(y)\right)^{2}-f\left(\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2}=4 \sqrt{y-y^{2}} g h
$$

Then by Lemma 2.5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)^{2}=g(x)^{2}+\left(x-x^{2}\right) h(x)^{2}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathcal{S} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-x^{2}\right) g(x) h(x)=0, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathcal{S} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed to show that the polynomials $f(y)^{2}$ and $g(y)^{2}$ have the same leading term.
Case 1: $q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, so 2 divides $n$.
By Lemma 2.3, $f(y)$ has leading term $2 y^{n / 2}$, so by (2.4), the leading term of $g(y)$ must appear among the terms in the expansion of $2 \alpha_{c}(y)^{n / 2}$. By definition of $\alpha_{c}(y)$, for some choice of the square roots,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha_{c}(y)^{n / 2}=2(\sqrt{c-c y}+\sqrt{y-c y})^{n}=2 \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(\sqrt{c-c y})^{n-j}(\sqrt{y-c y})^{j} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only the terms with even $j$ can contribute to the polynomial $g(y)$, so the leading term of $g(y)$ must appear among the terms in the expansion of

$$
2 \sum_{i=0}^{n / 2}\binom{n}{2 i}(c-c y)^{n / 2-i}(y-c y)^{i}
$$

The leading term of $g(y)$ is thus

$$
(-y)^{n / 2} c^{n / 2} 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n / 2}\binom{n}{2 i}(1-1 / c)^{i}
$$

By the definition of $f$ and (1.2),

$$
2 \sum_{i=0}^{n / 2}\binom{n}{2 i}(1-1 / c)^{i}=f(1-1 / c)=\rho(2) f(1 / c)
$$

By the definition of $f$ and Lemma 2.3,

$$
c^{n / 2} f(1 / c)=(1+\sqrt{c})^{n}+(1-\sqrt{c})^{n}=f(c)= \pm 2
$$

Thus $g(y)^{2}$ has leading term $4 y^{n}$, and this matches the leading term of $f(y)^{2}$. Case 2: $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, so 2 divides $n-1$.

By Lemma 2.3, $f(y)$ has leading term $y^{(n-1) / 2}$, so the leading term of $g(y)$ must appear among the terms in the expansion of $\alpha_{c}(y)^{(n-1) / 2}$. Arguing as in Case 1, we see that the leading term of $g(y)$ is

$$
(-y)^{(n-1) / 2} c^{(n-1) / 2} \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1) / 2}\binom{n-1}{2 i}(1-1 / c)^{i} .
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1) / 2}\binom{n-1}{2 i}(1-1 / c)^{i}=(1+\sqrt{1-1 / c})^{n-1}+(1-\sqrt{1-1 / c})^{n-1} \\
& =\rho(1+\sqrt{1-1 / c})+\rho(1-\sqrt{1-1 / c})=2 \rho(1+\sqrt{1-1 / c})
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c^{(n-1) / 2}=\rho(\sqrt{c}), g(y)$ has leading term $\pm \rho(\sqrt{c}+\sqrt{c-1}) y^{(n-1) / 2}$. Hence both $g(y)^{2}$ and $f(y)^{2}$ have the leading term $y^{n-1}$. This completes the demonstration that $f(y)^{2}$ and $g(y)^{2}$ have the same leading term in all cases.

Assume for the purpose of contradiction that the polynomial $h(y)$ is not identically zero. By (2.7), every $x \in \mathcal{S}$ with $x \notin\{0,1\}$ is a zero of $g(y) h(y)$. By Lemma $2.2,|\mathcal{S}|=2[n / 2]+1$, so that $\operatorname{deg} h \geq[n / 2]-1$. On the other hand, one sees that $\operatorname{deg} h \leq[n / 2]-1$ by looking at the expansion of $\alpha_{c}(y)^{[n / 2]}$; for example, in Case 1, one looks at the terms in (2.8) with odd $j$, for they are the terms that can contribute to $h$. Consequently, $\operatorname{deg} h=[n / 2]-1$. Thus the polynomial $f(y)^{2}-g(y)^{2}-\left(y-y^{2}\right) h(y)^{2}$ has degree less than or equal to $2[n / 2]$. However, by (2.6), this polynomial has $|\mathcal{S}|>2[n / 2]$ zeros, so

$$
f(y)^{2}-g(y)^{2} \equiv\left(y-y^{2}\right) h(y)^{2} .
$$

The right side is a polynomial of degree $2[n / 2]$, but the left side has degree less than $2[n / 2]$, because $f(y)^{2}$ and $g(y)^{2}$ have the same leading term. This contradiction shows that $h$ is identically zero.

By (2.6), the polynomial $f(y)^{2}-g(y)^{2}$ has $|\mathcal{S}|>2[n / 2]$ zeros, so we have $f(y)^{2}-g(y)^{2} \equiv 0$. Thus $g(y) \equiv \pm f(y)$. By definition of $f$, its constant term is $f(0)=2$. Since $f\left(\alpha_{c}(y)\right)=f\left(\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(y)\right)=g(y)$ by (2.4) and (2.5), the constant term of $g$ is

$$
g(0)=f\left(\alpha_{c}(0)\right)=f(c)=2 \rho(1+\sqrt{c})
$$

by Lemma 2.3. Thus $g(y) \equiv \rho(1+\sqrt{c}) f(y)$, which yields the desired result.

Lemma 2.7. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ run through all the elements of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. For a fixed $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, let $L$ denote the list

$$
\alpha_{0}(x), \alpha_{1}(x), \alpha_{c_{1}}(x), \alpha_{c_{1}}^{\prime}(x), \alpha_{c_{2}}(x), \alpha_{c_{2}}^{\prime}(x), \ldots
$$

Then no three separate entries in $L$ can be equal. Moreover, at most one entry in $L$ can equal 0, and at most one entry in $L$ can equal 1.

Proof. We first show that at most two entries of $L$ can be equal to some fixed element $u$. Suppose that $\alpha_{c}(x)=u$ or $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=u$ for some $c \in\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Then solving for $c$, we find that

$$
c=u+(1-2 u) x \pm 2 \sqrt{\left(u-u^{2}\right)\left(x-x^{2}\right)}
$$

If $x \in\{0,1\}$ or $u \in\{0,1\}$, then the square root vanishes and there is only one solution $c$, so no three entries in $L$ can equal $u$ in this case. Thus it suffices to assume that neither $x$ nor $u$ is in $\{0,1\}$ and that there are two distinct solutions $c \in\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$, say $c=i$ and $c=j$.

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that there exist three entries in $L$ equal to $u$. Then without loss of generality, these three entries are $\alpha_{i}(x)$, $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}(x)$, and $\alpha_{j}(x)$, where necessarily $i \notin\{0,1\}$ since $L$ does not contain a pair of entries of the form $\alpha_{i}(x), \alpha_{i}^{\prime}(x)$ when $i$ equals 0 or 1 . This yields the desired contradiction, since $\alpha_{i}(x)$ cannot equal $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}(x)$ when $i \notin\{0,1\}$. Thus at most two entries in $L$ can equal $u$.

Suppose that $\alpha_{c}(x)=0$ or $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=0$. Then $c=x$ by Lemma 2.4. Thus if two entries of $L$ were to vanish, they would have to be $\alpha_{x}(x)$ and $\alpha_{x}^{\prime}(x)$ with $x \notin\{0,1\}$. But $\alpha_{x}(x)$ cannot equal $\alpha_{x}^{\prime}(x)$, so at most one entry of $L$ can vanish.

Finally, suppose that $\alpha_{c}(x)=1$ or $\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)=1$. Then $c=1-x$ by Lemma 2.4. Thus if two entries of $L$ were to equal 1 , they would have to be $\alpha_{1-x}(x)$ and $\alpha_{1-x}^{\prime}(x)$ with $x \notin\{0,1\}$. But $\alpha_{1-x}(x)$ cannot equal $\alpha_{1-x}^{\prime}(x)$, so at most one entry of $L$ can equal 1 .

## 3 Main results

The following theorem immediately yields identities (1.3) and (1.4), since

$$
g(x, y, c)=\left(y-\alpha_{c}(x)\right)\left(y-\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)\right), \quad \alpha_{0}(x)=x, \alpha_{1}(x)=1-x
$$

## Theorem 3.1.

$$
\begin{gather*}
(f(y)+f(x)) / \tau \equiv \\
\begin{cases}\prod_{c \in \mathcal{A}}\left(y-\alpha_{c}(x)\right)\left(y-\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)\right), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8 \\
\left(y-\alpha_{1}(x)\right) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{A}}\left(y-\alpha_{c}(x)\right)\left(y-\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)\right), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8\end{cases} \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}(f(y)-f(x)) / \tau \equiv  \tag{3.2}\\ \left(y-\alpha_{0}(x)\right)\left(y-\alpha_{1}(x)\right) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{B}}\left(y-\alpha_{c}(x)\right)\left(y-\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)\right), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8 \\ \left(y-\alpha_{0}(x)\right) \prod_{c \in \mathcal{B}}\left(y-\alpha_{c}(x)\right)\left(y-\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(x)\right), & \text { if } q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. View both sides of (3.1) and (3.2) as polynomials in $y$. For brevity, refer to the left and right sides of these equations as $M(y)$ and $N(y)$, respectively. We begin by proving that $M(y) \equiv N(y)$ for each fixed $x \in$ $\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$.

By Lemma 2.3, $M(y) \equiv N(y)$ when $x=0$. Also, since $f(1)=2 \rho(2)$ and $\alpha_{c}(1)=\alpha_{c}^{\prime}(1)=1-c$, Lemma 2.3 and Remark 1 show that $M(y) \equiv N(y)$ for $x=1$ as well. Now fix $x \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$.

By Lemma 2.6, every zero of $N(y)$ is a zero of $M(y)$. Since $x \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$, we have $f(x)= \pm 2$ by Lemma 2.3. Also by Lemma 2.3, each zero of $M(y)$ equal to 0 or 1 has multiplicity 1 , while every other zero of $M(y)$ has multiplicity 2. By Lemma 2.7 , each zero of $N(y)$ equal to 0 or 1 has multiplicity 1 , and every other zero of $N(y)$ has multiplicity at most 2 . Therefore $N(y)$ divides $M(y)$. Since $M(y)$ and $N(y)$ are both monic of degree $[n / 2$ ], they are equal. Thus we've proved that $M(y) \equiv N(y)$ for each $x \in\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$.

Each monomial of $M(y)-N(y)$ has the form $G_{j}(x) y^{j}$, where $G_{j}(x)$ is a polynomial in $x$ with $\operatorname{deg} G_{j} \leq[n / 2]$. Since each of the $1+[n / 2]$ elements in $\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is a zero of $G_{j}$, we have $G_{j} \equiv 0$. This completes the proof that $M(y) \equiv N(y)$.

Fix an algebraic $x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since

$$
\alpha_{c}(x)=c+x(1-2 c)+2 \sqrt{c-c^{2}} \sqrt{x-x^{2}}
$$

Theorem 3.1 shows that the splitting field of $f(y)^{2}-f(x)^{2}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(x, \sqrt{x-x^{2}}\right)$. In particular, if $x-x^{2}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$, then $f(y)^{2}-f(x)^{2}$ splits completely in $\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$.

We next consider some consequences of Theorem 3.1 when $x-x^{2}$ is a nonsquare in $\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$. When $q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8$, the only value of $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$ for which $f(y)=f(x)$ is $y=x$. When $q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8$, the only values of $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$ for which $f(y)=f(x)$ are $y=x$ and $y=1-x$. When $q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8$, there is no value of $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$ for which $f(y)=-f(x)$. When $q \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8$, the only value of $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$ for which $f(y)=-f(x)$ is $y=1-x$.

Here is an example for $q=23$. First take $x=11+20 I$, where $I$ is a zero of the polynomial $u^{2}+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[u]$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)=\mathbb{F}_{q}(I)$ is a field of 529 elements, and $x-x^{2}$ equals the square $(4+5 I)^{2}$. We have

$$
f(y)=f(x) \text { for } y \in\{13+3 I, 11+20 I, 10+7 I, 15+19 I, 9+4 I, 14+16 I\}
$$

and
$f(y)=-f(x)$ for $y \in\{8+18 I, 22+15 I, 18+10 I, 16+5 I, 6+13 I, 2+8 I\}$.
In contrast, take $x=18+9 I$, so that $x-x^{2}=5+7 I$ is a nonsquare in $\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$. Then $y=x$ and $y=1-x$ are the only values of $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$ for which $f(x)$ equals $f(y)$, while there are no values of $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$ for which $f(x)$ equals $-f(y)$.

Remark 3. For those $x$ with $f(x)=0$, the products in Theorem 3.1 can be written in simpler form. Indeed,

$$
f(y)=\prod(y-s)
$$

where the product is over the $[n / 2]$ values of $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ for which $\rho(s)=\rho(1-s)=$ -1 . To see this, note that $\rho(1-s)=-1$ implies that $(1-s)^{n-1}=-1$, which in turn implies that when $\rho(s)=-1$,

$$
(1-s)^{n}=-(1-s)=-(1-\sqrt{s})^{q+1}
$$

Dividing by $(1-\sqrt{s})^{n}$, we obtain $f(s)=0$.
For the factorization of $f(y) \bmod p$ with some different values of $n$ in place of (1.1), see [5, Section 4].

For $c, d \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$, define

$$
R(c, d):=\sqrt{c-c d}+\sqrt{d-c d}
$$

for an arbitrary fixed choice of signs of the square roots. Note that $R(c, d)$ is in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $R(c, d)^{2} \in\left\{\alpha_{c}(d), \alpha_{c}^{\prime}(d)\right\}$. The following corollary to Theorem 3.1 determines the quadratic residuacity of the nonzero values of $1+R(c, d)$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $c, d \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ with $1+R(c, d) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\rho(1+R(c, d))= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } c, d \in \mathcal{A} \text { or } c, d \in \mathcal{B} \\ -1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.1 with fixed $x=d$, so that each $R(c, d)^{2}$ is a zero of the polynomials in (3.1) and (3.2). Since $f(d)= \pm 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that each $R(c, d)^{2}$ is in $\{0,1\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$.

First consider the case where $R(c, d)^{2} \notin\{0,1\}$. Matching up the zeros in Theorem 3.1 with those in Lemma 2.3, we see that $R(c, d)^{2} \in \mathcal{B}$ if either $c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ or $c, d \in \mathcal{B}$, and $R(c, d)^{2} \in \mathcal{A}$, otherwise. Corollary 3.2 thus follows in this case, since by definition of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}, \rho(1+\sqrt{u})$ and $\rho(1-\sqrt{u})$ are both equal to -1 or both equal to 1 according as $u \in \mathcal{A}$ or $u \in \mathcal{B}$.

Next consider the case where $R(c, d)=0$. In that case, $c=d$, so that Corollary 3.2 again holds. Finally consider the case where $R(c, d)^{2}=1$. Then $d=1-c$. Since $1+R(c, d) \neq 0$, we have $R(c, d)=1$. Since $d=1-c$, it follows from Remark 1 that $\rho(2)=1$ if and only if $c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ or $c, d \in \mathcal{B}$. This completes the proof of the corollary in all cases.
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