

# FREE PRODUCTS OF TWO REAL CYCLIC MATRIX GROUPS

by R. J. EVANS

(Received 20 April, 1973)

**1. Introduction.** We exhibit a large class  $K^*$  of real  $2 \times 2$  matrices of determinant  $\pm 1$  such that, for nearly all  $A$  and  $B$  in  $K^*$ , the group generated by  $A$  and  $B'$  (the transpose of  $B$ ) is the free product of the cyclic groups  $\langle A \rangle$  and  $\langle B' \rangle$ . It is shown that  $K^*$  contains all matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  of determinant  $\pm 1$  with integer entries satisfying  $|b| > |a|, |c|, |d|$ . This gives a generalization of a theorem of Goldberg and Newman [2]. We also prove related results concerning the dominance of  $b$  and the discreteness of the free products  $\langle A \rangle * \langle B' \rangle$ .

The matrices  $A$  will be identified with linear fractional transformations on  $\mathbb{R}^*$  (the extended reals), except in §5.

## 2. Definitions and notation.

(1) A matrix  $M$  is *unimodular* if  $\det M = \pm 1$ .

(2)  $A$  will always denote the real unimodular matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ .

(3)  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the integers.

(4) An entry of  $A$  is called *dominant* if its absolute value is larger than that of each other entry.

(5)  $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $g = 2^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(6)  $\Gamma$  denotes the interval  $(-1, 1)$ .

(7)  $\Delta = \mathbb{R}^* - [-1, 1]$ .

(8) If  $C$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix and  $S$  is a set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices, then  $S^C = \{B^C : B \in S\}$ , where  $B^C = CBC^{-1}$ .

(9)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$  means that either the matrix  $A$  or  $-A$  has the indicated sign pattern, i.e.  $a, b \geq 0, c, d \leq 0$  or  $a, b \leq 0, c, d \geq 0$ .

(10) A real linear fractional transformation is called *minimal* if it has a matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  of determinant 1 which satisfies the conditions  $c > 0, a+d = 2 \cos(\pi/q) (q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \geq 2)$ . If a transformation  $A$  has period  $q \geq 2$  and  $\det A = 1$ , then  $\langle A \rangle$  has a unique minimal member of period  $q$  which can be found as follows. Write  $|\operatorname{tr} A| = 2 \cos(\pi p/q)$ , where  $(p, q) = 1, q \geq 2$ . Choose  $r$  such that  $rp \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then either  $A^r$  or  $A^{-r}$  is minimal.

(11)  $J = \{A : |a+b| \geq |c+d|, |a-b| \geq |c-d| \text{ and } |b| > |a|\}$ .

(12)  $K_1 = \{A \in J : |\operatorname{tr} A| \geq 2, \det A = 1\}$ .

(13)  $K_2 = \{A : A^r \in J \text{ and } A^r \text{ is minimal, for some } r\}$ .

It will be shown in Lemma 7 that  $K_2 \subset J$ . On the other hand, not every  $A \in J$  of determinant 1 and finite period is in  $K_2$ . For example, if  $\lambda = 2 \cos(\pi/5)$ , we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 1-\lambda & \lambda-1 \end{bmatrix} \in J, A^2 \notin J, \text{ and } A^2 \text{ is minimal.}$$

(14)  $K_3 = \{A \in J : \det A = -1, \operatorname{tr} A = 0\}$ . Observe that  $K_3$  consists of all  $A \in J$  of determinant  $-1$  with finite period.

(15)  $K_4 = \{A \in J : \det A = -1, A^2 \in J\}$ .

(16)  $K = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3 \cup K_4$ .

### 3. Free products of transformations.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A, B \in K, C = B'$ . Then  $\langle A, C \rangle = \langle A \rangle * \langle C \rangle$  if and only if, for every pair  $r, s$  satisfying  $s^2 - r^2 = 1$ , we have  $\{A, C\} \not\subset \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -s & -r \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .*

Before proving this theorem, we prove Proposition 1. The following lemmas lead up to Proposition 1.

**LEMMA 1.**  *$A \in J$  if and only if  $A(\Gamma) \subset \Delta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in J$ ; then  $|A(1)| \geq 1, |A(-1)| \geq 1$ , and  $A(x)$  does not vanish for  $x \in \Gamma$ . Moreover,  $A(x)$  is monotone on the intervals  $(-\infty, -d/c)$  and  $(-d/c, \infty)$ , since  $d/dx(A(x)) = \det A/(cx+d)^2$ . It is thus readily seen that  $\min\{|A(x)| : x \in [-1, 1]\}$  is attained at  $x = 1$  or  $x = -1$ . Thus  $A(\Gamma) \subset \Delta$ . The converse is readily verified.

**LEMMA 2.**  *$A \in J$  if and only if  $A^{-1} \in J$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 1.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\det A = -1$  (so that the fixed points of  $A$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^*$ ). Then  $A \notin J$  if and only if there is a fixed point of  $A$  in  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A \notin J$ . Then the graph of  $A(x)$  must intersect the open square whose vertices are  $(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1)$ , and  $(-1, -1)$ . Since  $A(x)$  is monotone decreasing on  $(-\infty, -d/c)$  and on  $(-d/c, \infty)$ , the graph of  $A(x)$  must intersect the line  $y = x$  inside the square. Thus  $A$  has a fixed point in  $\Gamma$ . The converse is obvious.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\det A = -1$ . Then, if  $A^2 \in J, A \in J$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A \notin J$ , then, by Lemma 3, there exists  $x \in \Gamma$  such that  $A(x) = x$ . Thus  $A^2(x) = x$ , so that  $A^2 \notin J$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $A \in K_1$ . Then  $A^n \in J$  for all  $n > 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, the fixed points of  $A$  must lie in  $\mathbb{R}^* - \Gamma$ . For any  $x \in \Gamma$ , the sequence  $x, Ax, A^2x, \dots$  converges to one of these fixed points in that cyclic order on  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Thus, for all  $n > 0, A^n(x) \in \Delta$ , and so  $A^n(\Gamma) \subset \Delta$ .

LEMMA 6. *Let  $A \in K_4$ . Then  $A^n \in J$  for all  $n > 0$ .*

*Proof.* An easy calculation shows that  $A^2 \in K_1$ . By Lemma 5,  $A^{2n} \in J$  for all  $n > 0$ . By Lemma 4,  $A^n \in J$  for all  $n > 0$ .

LEMMA 7. *Let  $A \in K_2$ . Then  $A^n \in J$  for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \neq I$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \langle A \rangle$  be minimal of period  $q$ , so that  $B \in J$ . Fix  $x \in \Gamma$ . The points  $x, Bx, B^2x, \dots, B^{q-1}x$  occur in that cyclic order on  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . If one of these points other than  $x$  were in  $[-1, 1]$ , then either  $Bx \in [-1, 1]$  or  $B^{-1}x \in [-1, 1]$ . This is impossible since  $B \in J$ . Thus  $\{Bx, B^2x, \dots, B^{q-1}x\} \subset \Delta$ . Therefore, for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \neq I$ , we have  $A^n(x) \in \Delta$ , and so  $A^n(\Gamma) \subset \Delta$ .

LEMMA 8. *Let  $A \in K_3$ . Then  $A^n \in J$  for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \notin I$ .*

*Proof.* Since each  $A \in K_3$  is an involution, the assertion is obvious.

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $A \in K$ , then  $A^n \in J$  for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \neq I$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose that  $B^m \neq I$ . By Proposition 1,  $B^{-m} \in J$ . Thus

$$C^m(\Delta) = TB^{-m}T(\Delta) \subset TB^{-m}(\Gamma) \subset T(\Delta) = \Gamma.$$

Thus, by the Lemma in [4, p. 161],  $\langle A, C \rangle = \langle A \rangle * \langle C \rangle$  unless  $A$  and  $C$  are involutions such that  $(AC)^n = I (n > 0)$ . Suppose that the latter event occurs. We must show that

$$\{A, C\} \subset \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -s & -r \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

for some pair  $r, s$  satisfying  $s^2 - r^2 = 1$ . Let  $E = \{-1, 1\}$ . Assume that  $C(E) \neq E$ . Then there exists  $e \in E$  such that  $C(e) \in \Gamma$ , so that  $AC(e) \in \Delta$ . By induction,  $e = (AC)^n(e) \in \Delta$ , a contradiction. Thus  $C(E) = E$ . Since  $(CA)^n = I$ , similar reasoning shows that  $A(E) = E$ . Therefore

$$A^g(g(E)) = C^g(g(E)) = g(E) = \{0, \infty\}.$$

It follows that  $A^g$  and  $C^g$  each have one of the forms  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & u \\ -1/u & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & -1/v \end{bmatrix}$ . (The forms

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & u \\ 1/u & 0 \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{vmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1/v \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{are ruled out because} \quad \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u \\ 1/u & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{g^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{vmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1/v \end{vmatrix}^{g^{-1}}$$

are not in  $J$ , by definition of  $J$ .) The latter form is an involution only if  $v = 1$ . Suppose that  $A^g$  and  $C^g$  both have the former form, say

$$A^g = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u \\ -1/u & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad C^g = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & w \\ -1/w & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then  $A^g = C^g$ , since otherwise  $(AC)^g$  has infinite period. Therefore we conclude that, for some  $u$ ,

$$\{A^g, C^g\} \subset \left\{ \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & u & 1 & 0 \\ -1/u & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

i.e.

$$\{A, C\} \subset \left\{ \left| \begin{array}{cc|cc} r & s & 0 & 1 \\ -s & -r & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

for some pair  $r, s$  satisfying  $s^2 - r^2 = 1$ .

**4. Discreteness.** The free products  $\langle A \rangle * \langle C \rangle$  in Theorem 1 are, in fact, discrete. We shall prove this now in the special case in which  $\det A = \det C = 1$ ; we prove the result in full generality in a paper to be submitted later. First we establish some propositions.

If we could find a larger class  $K' \supset K$  for which Proposition 1 held, we would be able to improve Theorem 1. The next result (the converse of Proposition 1) shows that no such  $K'$  exists.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $A \neq I$  satisfy  $A^n \in J$  for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \neq I$ . Then  $A \in K$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\det A = 1$ . If  $|\operatorname{tr} A| \geq 2$ , then  $A \in K_1$ . Suppose that  $|\operatorname{tr} A| < 2$ . If  $A$  had infinite period, then  $\{A^n(0) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  would be dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ ; so there would exist an  $n > 0$  such that  $A^n \notin J$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|\operatorname{tr} A| = 2 \cos(\pi p/q)$ , with  $(p, q) = 1$ ,  $q \geq 2$ . Since the power of  $A$  that is minimal is in  $J$  by hypothesis,  $A \in K_2$ .

Now suppose that  $\det A = -1$ . If  $A$  has finite period, then  $A \in K_3$ . If  $A$  has infinite period, then, since  $A^2 \in J$  by hypothesis,  $A \in K_4$ .

**LEMMA 9.**  *$A \in J^g$  if and only if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A \in J^g$ . Then

$$A[(0, \infty)] = A[g(\Gamma)] \subset g(\Delta) = (-\infty, 0).$$

It follows that  $\{A(0), A^{-1}(0), A(\infty)\} \subset [-\infty, 0]$ . This shows that  $A = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$ .

Conversely, if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $A[(0, \infty)] \subset (-\infty, 0)$ , so that  $A \in J^g$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $A \neq I$ . Then  $A \in K^g$  if and only if  $A^n = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$  for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \neq I$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Propositions 1 and 2 and Lemma 9.

The next theorem implies that  $\langle A, B' \rangle$  is the discrete free product  $\langle A \rangle * \langle B' \rangle$  for all  $A, B \in K$  of determinant 1. Another consequence is that all the real groups investigated by Lyndon and Ullman in [4] are discrete.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}^*$  and let  $\bar{\Gamma}_0$  be its closure. Let  $\Delta_0 = \mathbb{R}^* - \bar{\Gamma}_0$ . Suppose that  $A$  and  $C$  are real  $2 \times 2$  matrices of determinant 1 satisfying the conditions*

- (1)  $A^n(\Gamma_0) \subset \Delta_0$  for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \neq I$ , and
- (2)  $C^n(\Delta_0) \subset \Gamma_0$  for all  $n$  such that  $C^n \neq I$ .

*Then  $\langle A, C \rangle$  is the discrete free product  $\langle A \rangle * \langle C \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* By conjugating  $A$  and  $C$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$  and  $\Delta = \Delta_0$ . Let  $B = C'$ . Since  $B = TC^{-1}T$ , we have  $B^n(\Gamma) \subset \Delta$  for all  $n$  such that  $B^n \neq I$ . Thus, by Proposition 2,  $A, B \in K$ . By Proposition 3, we have  $A^g, B^g = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$ . Define  $A_1 = (A^g)^j$  where  $j$  is chosen as follows. If  $A \in K_1$ , choose  $j \in \{1, -1\}$  so that  $A_1$  has a matrix whose upper entries are  $\leq 0$  and whose trace is  $\geq 2$ . If  $A \in K_2$ , choose  $j$  so that  $A_1$  has a matrix whose upper entries are  $\leq 0$  and whose trace is  $2 \cos(\pi/q)$  with  $q \geq 2, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Define  $B_1$  analogously. It is readily seen that  $\langle A_1, B_1' \rangle$  is the discrete free product  $\langle A_1 \rangle * \langle B_1' \rangle$  if and only if  $\langle A^g, (B^g)'$  is the discrete free product  $\langle A^g \rangle * \langle (B^g)'\rangle$ . Since  $(B^g)' = (B')^g$ , it suffices to show that  $\langle A_1, B_1' \rangle$  is the discrete free product  $\langle A_1 \rangle * \langle B_1' \rangle$ . This follows immediately from Newman's theorem [6, p. 159]. (For a proof of Newman's theorem, see [7, p. 212].) This completes the proof.

The next theorem shows that, if  $A$  and  $C$  satisfy certain conditions given in [7, p. 210], one can always find an interval  $\Gamma_0$  for which the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $A$  and  $C$  be real  $2 \times 2$  matrices of determinant 1, neither of which is elliptic of infinite period. If  $A$  has infinite period, let  $A_1$  be the matrix for  $A$  satisfying  $\text{tr } A_1 \geq 2$ ; if  $A$  has finite period, let  $A_1$  be the matrix for the minimal transformation in  $\langle A \rangle$  satisfying  $\text{tr } A_1 = 2 \cos(\pi/q)$  with  $q \geq 2, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Define  $C_1$  analogously. Suppose that  $A_1 \neq -C_1$  and  $\text{tr}(A_1^{-1}C_1) \leq -2$ . Then  $A$  and  $C$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 for some  $\Gamma_0$ .*

*Proof.* View  $A_1$  and  $C_1$  as transformations. It suffices to prove the conclusion with  $A$  and  $C$  replaced by  $A_1$  and  $C_1$ , respectively. As shown in [7, pp. 210–211], we may assume, by conjugation, that  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\rho \\ 1/\rho & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$  and  $C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\rho_1 \\ 1/\rho_1 & \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $\rho\rho_1 < 0$ . Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\rho > 0$ . Letting  $B_1 = C_1'$ , we have  $A_1, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$ . By Lemma 9,  $A_1, B_1 \in J^g$ , so that  $A_1, B_1 \in K^g$  by definition of  $K$ . The result now follows from Proposition 1.

**5. Free products of matrices.** In this section, unless otherwise specified, we interpret matrices as elements of the real unimodular  $2 \times 2$  matrix group  $G$  rather than the group  $\bar{G}$  of real linear fractional transformations. We define  $\bar{A}$  in  $\bar{G}$  as the image of  $A \in G$  under the natural homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ . Define  $K^* = \{A : \bar{A} \in K\}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $A, B \in K^*, C = B^t$ . Then  $\langle A, C \rangle = \langle A \rangle * \langle C \rangle$  if and only if*

$$\{A, B\} \not\subset \left\{ \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

*and neither  $A$  nor  $B$  has even period  $\geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  or  $C$ , say  $A$ , has period  $2n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Then  $A^n = -I$ . Consequently,  $A^n C A^n C^{-1} = I$ , so that  $\langle A, C \rangle \neq \langle A \rangle * \langle C \rangle$ . Conversely, suppose that

$$\{A, B\} \subset \left\{ \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

and neither  $A$  nor  $B$  has even period  $\geq 4$ . Then it follows from Theorem 1 that  $\langle \bar{A}, \bar{C} \rangle = \langle \bar{A} \rangle * \langle \bar{C} \rangle$ . Assume that a reduced word  $\dots A^n C^m \dots$  in  $\langle A, C \rangle$  equals  $I$ . Then  $\dots \bar{A}^n \bar{C}^m \dots$  equals  $\bar{I}$ , which is impossible because  $-I \notin \langle \bar{A} \rangle, -I \notin \langle \bar{C} \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle A, C \rangle = \langle A \rangle * \langle C \rangle$ . This completes the proof.

Let  $L^*$  be the set of unimodular matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  with integer entries, infinite period, and  $b$  dominant. Let  $L = \{\bar{A} : A \in L^*\}$ . Goldberg and Newman [2] proved that, for all  $A, B \in L^*, \langle A, B^t \rangle$  is free. The next theorem shows that this result is a special case of Theorem 4.

**THEOREM 5.**  $L^* \subset K^*$ .

*Proof.* We must show that  $L \subset K$ . Let  $A \in L$ . As is mentioned in [2, p. 446],  $|b-a| \geq |d-c|$ . If the same reasoning is applied to  $\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{bmatrix} \in L$ , we obtain  $|a+b| \geq |c+d|$ . Hence  $A \in J$ . This proves, incidentally, that  $L \subset J$ .

Suppose that  $\det A = 1$ . Since  $A$  has infinite period,  $|\operatorname{tr} A| \geq 2$ . Thus  $A \in K_1$ . Now suppose that  $\det A = -1$ . It remains to show that  $A^2 \in L$ , for then  $A^2 \in J$  and consequently  $A \in K_4$ . Since  $A$  has infinite period,  $t = \operatorname{tr} A \neq 0$ . Observe that  $A^2 = tA + I = t \begin{bmatrix} a+t^{-1} & b \\ c & d+t^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ . We may assume that  $|a+t^{-1}| \geq |d+t^{-1}|$ , because there is no loss of generality in replacing  $A^2$  by its inverse, since  $L = \{A^{-1} : A \in L\}$ . It remains to show that  $|b| > |a+t^{-1}|$ . Clearly,  $|a+t^{-1}| \leq |a|+1 \leq |b|$ . Assume that  $|a+t^{-1}| = |b|$ . Then  $t = \operatorname{sgn}(a)$  and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & \pm(1+|a|) \\ c & -a+\operatorname{sgn}(a) \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $\pm c = -1+a^2/(1+|a|)$ . Since  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we must have  $a = 0$ . Therefore  $|b| = 1$ , which contradicts the fact that  $b$  is dominant in  $A$ .

**6. Dominance.** For each  $A$ , write  $A^n = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{bmatrix}$ . In [2] it is proved that, if  $A \in L$ , then  $b_n$  is dominant in  $A^n$  for all  $n \neq 0$ . The next theorem generalizes this result. We first prove one lemma.

LEMMA 10. Let  $A \in K$  and suppose that  $(A^n)^n \in J$  for some  $n$ . Then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & -a \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B = A^n$ . Since  $B^t \in J$ ,  $B \neq I$ . Thus  $B \in K$ , by Propositions 1 and 2. By Lemma 9,  $B^g, (B^g)^t = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ - & - \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus  $B^g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u \\ -1/u & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & -1/v \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $u, v$ . If  $B^g$  has the latter form, then  $(B^g)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} + & + \\ + & + \end{bmatrix} \notin J^g$ . Hence  $B \notin K_4$ ; so we must have  $B \in K_3$  and consequently  $v = 1$ . We have thus shown that  $B^g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & u \\ -1/u & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , i.e.,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -s & -r \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $r, s$ . In either case  $A$  has even period  $2m$ . If  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\det A = -1$ , so that  $A \in K_3$ . Then  $A = B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , the desired result. Suppose therefore that  $B = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -s & -r \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $M \in \langle A \rangle$  be minimal. The sequence  $1, M(1), \dots, M^{2m-1}(1)$  occurs in  $\mathbb{R}^*$  in that cyclic order and each term lies outside of  $\Gamma$  by Lemma 7. However,  $-1$  must be in the sequence because  $B(1) = -1$  and  $B$  is a power of  $M$ . This is possible only if  $-1 \in \{M(1), M^{-1}(1)\}$ . Thus  $B = M$  or  $B = M^{-1}$ , so that  $M^2 = I$ . Therefore  $A^2 = I$  and  $A = B$ , the desired result.

THEOREM 6. Let  $A \in K$ . Then  $b_n$  is dominant in  $A^n$  for all  $n$  such that  $A^n \neq I$ , unless  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & -a \end{bmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = A^n \neq I$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $b_n > 0$ . Since  $B(0)$  and  $B^{-1}(0)$  are not in  $\Gamma$ , by Proposition 1,  $b_n > |a_n|, |d_n|$ . Assume that  $b_n$  is not dominant in  $B$ . Then we have  $|c_n| \geq b_n > |a_n|, |d_n|$ . Since  $B \in J$ , we have

- (1)  $b_n + a_n \geq (c_n + d_n)s$ , and
- (2)  $b_n - a_n \geq (c_n - d_n)s$ ,

where  $s = \text{sgn}(c_n)$ . Adding, we have  $b_n \geq |c_n|$ . Thus  $b_n = |c_n|$  and equality must hold in (1) and (2). It follows that  $B = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ sb_n & sa_n \end{bmatrix}$ . Hence  $B^t \in J$ . By Lemma 10,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & -a \end{bmatrix}$ , the desired result.

7. **Comments on the literature.** In [1], Brenner showed that  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  generate a free group if  $|m| \geq 2$ . Brenner asked if there were any algebraic  $m \in (0, 2)$  for which  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is free ( $\langle A, B \rangle$  is easily seen to be free for transcendental  $m$ ). In fact, Brenner's

work answers his own question. For (as pointed out in [5]), it follows immediately that  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is free when  $m$  has an algebraic conjugate of absolute value  $\geq 2$ . Since each  $m \in S = \{4 \cos \pi \theta : \theta \text{ rational, } \theta \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})\}$  has a conjugate of absolute value  $\geq 2$ , we have a dense set of algebraic  $m \in (0, 2)$  for which  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is free. Thus Knapp [3, p. 304] was incorrect when he claimed (in effect) that  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is free for no value of  $m \in (0, 2)$ .

In [5, p. 1399], it is claimed that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  generate a discontinuous group (on the upper half-plane) when  $u = 2 \cos \pi \alpha$ , with  $\alpha$  rational. The condition “ $\alpha$  rational” should be replaced by the condition “ $\alpha = 1/q$ , with  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ”.

In [4, p. 165], the description of a minimal transformation is rather ambiguous, since, if  $|\operatorname{tr} A|$  is maximal, so is  $|\operatorname{tr} A^{-1}|$ . With our definition of minimal in §2, the ambiguity is eliminated and the theorems in [4] involving minimal transformations are correct. In particular, Purzitsky's counterexample [7, p. 214] does not apply because the transformation  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is not minimal.

Purzitsky's other counterexample [7, p. 213] is incorrect, since  $(3 + \sqrt{5})/2 > (5 - \sqrt{21})/2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Brenner, Quelques groupes libres de matrices, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **241** (1955), 1681–1691.
2. K. Goldberg and M. Newman, Pairs of matrices of order two which generate free groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 446–448.
3. A. Knapp, Doubly generated Fuchsian groups, *Michigan Math. J.* **15** (1968), 289–304.
4. R. Lyndon and J. Ullman, Pairs of real 2-by-2 matrices that generate free products, *Michigan Math. J.* **15** (1968), 161–166.
5. R. Lyndon and J. Ullman, Groups generated by two parabolic linear fractional transformations, *Canadian J. Math.* **21** (1969), 1388–1403.
6. M. Newman, Pairs of matrices generating discrete free groups and free products, *Michigan Math. J.* **15** (1968), 155–160.
7. N. Purzitsky, Two-generator discrete free products, *Math. Z.* **126** (1972), 209–223.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN  
MADISON  
WISCONSIN 53706  
U.S.A.