## A Fundamental Region for Hecke's Modular Group

RONALD EVANS

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

Communicated by P. T. Bateman

Received October 1, 1970; revised December 1, 1971

Hecke proved analytically that when  $\lambda \ge 2$  or when  $\lambda = 2 \cos(\pi/q)$ ,  $q \in Z$ ,  $q \ge 3$ , then  $B(\lambda) = \{\tau: \operatorname{Im} \tau > 0, |\operatorname{Re} \tau| < \lambda/2, |\tau| > 1\}$  is a fundamental region for the group  $G(\lambda) = \langle S_{\lambda}, T \rangle$ , where  $S_{\lambda}: \tau \to \tau + \lambda$  and  $T: \tau \to -1/\tau$ . He also showed that  $B(\lambda)$  fails to be a fundamental region for all other  $\lambda > 0$  by proving that  $G(\lambda)$  is not discontinuous. We give an elementary proof of these facts and prove a related result concerning the distribution of  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent points.

For each  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $G(\lambda)$  be the group generated by the transformations  $S_{\lambda}: \tau \to \tau + \lambda$  and  $T: \tau \to -1/\tau$  defined on  $H = \{\tau: \operatorname{Im} \tau > 0\}$ . Let  $B(\lambda) = \{\tau \in H: | \operatorname{Re} \tau | < \lambda/2, |\tau| > 1\}$ . Let Z denote the integers. Hecke [1, pp. 11–20; 2, pp. 599–616] proved analytically that  $B(\lambda)$  is a fundamental region (as defined in [3, p. 22]) for  $G(\lambda)$  when  $\lambda \ge 2$  or when  $\lambda = 2\cos(\pi/q)$  for some  $q \in Z, q \ge 3$  (in the latter case we write  $\lambda \in C$ ). We give an elementary proof of this fact. When  $0 < \lambda < 2, \lambda \notin C$ , Hecke [2, pp. 609, 613–614] proved that  $G(\lambda)$  is not discontinuous (so that there can be no fundamental region for  $G(\lambda)$ ). We present here a slightly simplified version of his proof and show, moreover, that for any  $\tau \in H$ , the set of all points  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent to  $\tau$  is dense in H.

THEOREM 1. Each  $\gamma \in H$  is  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent to a point in  $\overline{B(\lambda)}$ , (the closure of  $B(\lambda)$ ).

*Proof.* Define the following transformations on *H*:

 $T_1: \tau \to \tau/|\tau|^2 \text{ (reflection in the unit circle),}$   $T_2: \tau \to -\bar{\tau} \text{ (reflection in the line Re } \tau = 0\text{),}$  $T_3: \tau \to -(\bar{\tau} + \lambda) \text{ (reflection in the line Re } \tau = -\lambda/2\text{).}$ 

Since  $S_{\lambda} = T_2 T_3$  and  $T = T_1 T_2$ , it is easily seen that  $G(\lambda)$  consists of the

Copyright () 1973 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. words in  $\langle T_1, T_2, T_3 \rangle$  of even length. Hence, it suffices to find  $V \in \langle T_1, T_2, T_3 \rangle$  such that  $V_{\gamma} \in \overline{B(\lambda)}$ , for if  $V \notin G(\lambda)$ , then  $T_2 V \in G(\lambda)$ .

Define a sequence of points  $\tau_n = x_n + iy_n$  inductively as follows: apply  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ , if necessary, to move  $\gamma$  horizontally to a point  $\tau_1$  in the strip  $E_{\lambda} = \{\tau \in H: -\lambda/2 \leq \text{Re } \tau \leq 0\}$ . Given  $\tau_n \ (n \geq 1)$ , apply  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ to move  $T_1 \tau_n$  horizontally to a point  $\tau_{n+1} \in E_{\lambda}$ . We will assume that  $|\tau_n| < 1$  for each *n*, otherwise the theorem is proved. Thus,  $y_{n+1} =$  $y_n/|\tau_n|^2 > y_n$ . Let w be a cluster point of  $\{\tau_n\}$ . Note Im w > 0. If |w| < 1, then  $\{\tau_n\}$  has an infinite subsequence  $\{\tau_{n_k}\}$  such that  $|\tau_{n_k}| \leq c < 1$ , so that  $y_{n_k} \ge y_{n_1}/c^{2(k-1)} \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ , a contradiction. Hence, |w| = 1. When  $\lambda < 2$ , let v denote the point of intersection between the unit circle and the line Re  $\tau = -\lambda/2$ . We will assume that  $\lambda < 2$  and that w = v is the unique cluster point of  $\{\tau_n\}$ , otherwise  $T_1 \tau_n \in B(\lambda)$  for some large *n*. If arg  $\tau_n \leq \arg v$  for some *n*, then Im  $\tau_{n+1} > \text{Im } v$ , contradicting the fact that  $y_n \uparrow \text{Im } v$ . Hence,  $\arg \tau_n > \arg v$ for each *n*. Now there exists an *N* such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $\tau_{n+1} = T_3 T_1 \tau_n$ , so that  $x_{n+1} = -\lambda - x_n/(x_n^2 + y_n^2)$ . Let  $n \ge N$ . Note that  $x_n < 0$ , since  $x_{n+1} \ge -\lambda/2 > -\lambda$ . Letting  $\pi \theta = \pi - \arg v$  (so that  $\lambda = 2 \cos \pi \theta$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_n &= \frac{1}{x_n} \left( -\lambda x_n - \frac{x_n^2}{x_n^2 + y_n^2} - x_n^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{x_n} \left( \lambda x_n + \cos^2 \left( \arg \tau_n \right) + x_n^2 \right) \\ &> -\frac{1}{x_n} \left( \lambda x_n + \cos^2 \left( \arg v \right) + x_n^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{x_n} \left( x_n + \cos \pi \theta \right)^2 \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $x_{n+1} > x_n$  for each  $n \ge N$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x_n \rightarrow \text{Re } v$ .

Thus,  $B(\lambda)$  is a fundamental region for  $G(\lambda)$  if and only if no two distinct points of  $B(\lambda)$  are  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent. We now show this is the case when  $\lambda \ge 2$  or  $\lambda \in C$ .

THEOREM 2. When  $\lambda \ge 2$ , no two distinct points of  $B(\lambda)$  are  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent.

*Proof.* Choose  $V \neq I$  (*I* is the identity) in  $G(\lambda)$  and  $\tau \in B(\lambda)$ . We will show that  $V\tau \notin B(\lambda)$ . We can write V in the form  $V = S_{\lambda}^{k_r} T S_{\lambda}^{k_{r-1}} T \cdots S_{\lambda}^{k_2} T S_{\lambda}^{k_1}$ , where  $r \geq 1$ , each  $k_i \in Z$ , and  $k_i \neq 0$  if  $2 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Let  $\tau_i =$ 

 $TS_{\lambda}^{k_i}TS_{\lambda}^{k_{i-1}}\cdots TS_{\lambda}^{k_1}\tau$ . It is easily seen that  $|\tau_i| < 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Thus,  $V\tau = S_{\lambda}^{k_r}\tau_{r-1} \notin B(\lambda)$ .

In order to handle the case  $\lambda \in C$ , we shall need two lemmas. Whenever  $\lambda \in C$ , we shall write  $\lambda = 2 \cos(\pi/q)$ , where  $q \in Z$ ,  $q \ge 3$ .

LEMMA 1. When  $\lambda \in C$ , no two points of  $B(\lambda)$  are equivalent under a nonidentity transformation in  $\langle T_1, T_3 \rangle$ .

**Proof.** If the lemma is false, then there exist points  $\tau$ ,  $\tau' \in B(\lambda)$  with, say, Im  $\tau' \ge \text{Im } \tau$  and a word  $V \ne I$  in  $\langle T_1, T_3 \rangle$  such that  $V\tau = \tau'$ . Note  $V \ne T_3$ , as  $T_3\tau \notin B(\lambda)$ . Hence, as  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  have order 2, V can have either the form  $T_3^{\alpha}(T_1T_3)^n$  or  $T_3^{\alpha}(T_3T_1)^n$ , where  $n \in Z$ ,  $n \ne 0$ , and  $\alpha = 0$ or 1. If V has the latter form, then  $V = T_3^{\alpha}(T_1T_3)^{-n}$  because  $T_3T_1 = (T_1T_3)^{-1}$ . Thus, in any case V has the former form. Now for all  $n \in Z$ ,  $(T_1T_3)^n$  is the linear fractional transformation with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sin \pi \theta} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \pi \theta (1-n) & -\sin \pi \theta n \\ \sin \pi \theta n & \sin \pi \theta (n+1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $(T_1T_3)^q = I$ , we may write  $V = T_3^{\alpha}(T_1T_3)^n$ , where  $\alpha = 0$  or  $1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq q-1$ . Write  $\tau = x + iy$ . As  $c_n d_n \geq 0$ , we have

$$|c_n \tau + d_n|^2 = c_n^2 |\tau|^2 + d_n^2 + 2c_n d_n x > c_n^2 + d_n^2 - \lambda c_n d_n = 1,$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Im} \tau' = \operatorname{Im}(T_1 T_3)^n \tau = \frac{y}{|c_n \tau + d_n|^2} < y = \operatorname{Im} \tau,$$

a contradiction.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\lambda \in C$ , let  $x + iy = \tau \in H$ , and let  $W \in \langle T_1, T_3 \rangle$ ,  $W \neq I$ ,  $W \neq T_1$ . If either

(i) Re  $\tau > 0$ 

or

(ii)  $\tau \in B(\lambda)$ ,

then Re  $W\tau < 0$ .

*Proof.* We can write W in the form  $W = T_1^{\alpha}(T_1T_3)^n$ , where  $\alpha = 0$  or 1,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq q-1$ . To show that Re  $W\tau < 0$ , it suffices to show that Re $(T_1T_3)^n \tau < 0$ . We have (in the notation of the previous lemma)

$$\operatorname{Re}(T_{1}T_{3})^{n}\tau = \frac{(a_{n}x + b_{n})(c_{n}x + d_{n}) + a_{n}c_{n}y^{2}}{|c_{n}\tau + d_{n}|^{2}}$$

Note that  $a_n \leq 0$ ,  $b_n \leq 0$ ,  $c_n \geq 0$ , and  $d_n \geq 0$ . Hence, if (i) holds,  $a_nc_n y^2 \leq 0$  and  $(a_nx + b_n)(c_nx + d_n) < 0$ , so  $\operatorname{Re}(T_1T_3)^n \tau < 0$ . If (ii) holds, then

$$\operatorname{Re}(T_{1}T_{3})^{n} \tau = \frac{b_{n}d_{n} + a_{n}c_{n} |\tau|^{2} + (a_{n}d_{n} + b_{n}c_{n})x}{|c_{n}\tau + d_{n}|^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{b_{n}d_{n} + a_{n}c_{n} + (a_{n}d_{n} + b_{n}c_{n})(-\lambda/2)}{|c_{n}\tau + d_{n}|^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{-\cos(\pi/q)}{|c_{n}\tau + d_{n}|^{2}} < 0.$$

**THEOREM 3.** If  $\lambda \in C$ , no two distinct points of  $B(\lambda)$  are  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that no two points of  $B(\lambda)$  are equivalent under a transformation  $V \in \langle T_1, T_2, T_3 \rangle$ , where  $V \neq I$ ,  $V \neq T_2$ . If the contrary is true, choose a word v for V in  $\langle T_1, T_2, T_3 \rangle$  of minimal length Lfor which  $V \neq T_2$ ,  $V \neq I$ , and there exists  $\tau \in B(\lambda)$  such that  $V\tau \in B(\lambda)$ . By Lemma 1, such a word must contain  $T_2$ . No word for V of length Lcan begin or end with  $T_2$ . For if  $V = T_2Y$ , then  $Y \neq T_2$ ,  $Y \neq I$ , and  $Y\tau \in B(\lambda)$ , which contradicts the minimality of L; similarly, if  $V = YT_2$ , then  $Y \neq T_2$ ,  $Y \neq I$ , and  $Y(T_2\tau) \in B(\lambda)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $v = W_1T_2W_2T_2 \cdots W_kT_2W_{k+1}$  ( $k \ge 1$ ), where  $I \neq W_i \in \langle T_1, T_3 \rangle$  for each *i*. Moreover, for each *i*,  $W_i \neq T_1$ . For if  $W_1$  or  $W_{k+1}$  equals  $T_1$ , then since  $T_1T_2 = T_2T_1$ , V would equal a word of length L which begins or ends with  $T_2$ ; if  $W_i = T_1$  for some *i* such that  $2 \le i \le k$ , then since  $T_2T_1T_2 = T_1$ , V would equal a word of length smaller than L.

Let  $\tau_i = T_2 W_i T_2 W_{i+1} \cdots T_2 W_{k+1} \tau$ . We will show by induction on *i* that Re  $\tau_i < 0$ ,  $(2 \le i \le k+1)$ . Since  $V \tau \in B(\lambda)$ , Re  $\tau_2 = \text{Re } W_1^{-1} V \tau < 0$ by Lemma 2. Assume Re  $\tau_m < 0$  for an *m* such that  $2 \le m \le k$ . Then Re  $T_2 \tau_m > 0$ , so by Lemma 2, Re  $\tau_{m+1} = \text{Re } W_m^{-1} T_2 \tau_m < 0$ , completing the induction. As  $\tau \in B(\lambda)$ , Re  $W_{k+1} \tau < 0$  by Lemma 2. Hence, Re  $\tau_{k+1} = \text{Re } T_2 W_{k+1} \tau > 0$ , a contradiction.

We now investigate the distribution of  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent points in H when  $0 < \lambda < 2, \lambda \notin C$ .

LEMMA 3. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

be the matrix of the linear fractional transformation  $W \in G(\lambda)$ . Then W has a fixed point in H if and only if |a + d| < 2.

L

EVANS

*Proof.*  $W\tau = \tau$  if and only if  $\tau = \{a - d \pm \sqrt{(d+a)^2 - 4}\}/2c$ .

LEMMA 4. Suppose  $W \in G(\lambda)$  has infinite order and W has a fixed point  $\tau_1 \in H$ . Let  $t(\tau) = (\tau - \tau_1)/(\tau - \overline{\tau}_1)$ , where  $\overline{\tau}_1$  is the complex conjugate of  $\tau_1$ . Then for each  $\tau \in H - \{\tau_1\}$ , the set  $J_{\tau} = \{W^n \tau : n \in Z\}$  is dense on the circle  $K_{\tau} = \{\sigma : |t(\sigma)| = |t(\tau)|\}$ .

Proof. Let

 $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ 

be the matrix of W. Note that  $\rho = c\tau_1 + d$  is the characteristic value of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding to the characteristic vector  $\binom{\tau_1}{1}$ .

Since  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  are the roots of the characteristic equation

$$x^2 - (a+d)x + 1 = 0$$
,

we have  $\rho \bar{\rho} = 1$ . Now for any  $\tau$ ,  $t(W\tau) = (W\tau - W\tau_1)/(W\tau - W\bar{\tau}_1)$ since  $\tau_1$  and  $\bar{\tau}_1$  are fixed by W. Thus,

$$t(W\tau) = \frac{\tau - \tau_1}{(c\tau + d)(c\tau_1 + d)} \Big/ \frac{\tau - \overline{\tau}_1}{(c\tau + d)(c\overline{\tau}_1 + d)} = \frac{\overline{\rho}}{\rho} t(\tau) = \rho^{-2}t(\tau).$$

Thus, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $t(W^n \tau) = \rho^{-2n} t(\tau)$ . Since  $\tau_1$  is nonreal and W has infinite order,

 $\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^n \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho^n \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , for each  $n \ge 1$ .

Otherwise, writing

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} a^{(n)} & b^{(n)} \\ c^{(n)} & d^{(n)} \end{pmatrix},$$

we would have  $(a^{(n)} - 1) \tau_1 = -b^{(n)}$  and  $c^{(n)}\tau_1 = 1 - d^{(n)}$ , so that  $a^{(n)} = d^{(n)} = 1$  and  $b^{(n)} = c^{(n)} = 0$ , a contradiction.

Therefore,  $\rho$  is not a root of unity, and, consequently,  $\{t(W^n\tau): n \in Z\}$  is dense on the circle  $\{z: |z| = |t(\tau)|\}$ . Thus,  $J_{\tau}$  is dense on  $K_{\tau}$ .

LEMMA 5. If  $0 < \lambda < 2$ ,  $\lambda \notin C$ , then there exists a  $W \in G(\lambda)$  such that W has infinite order and W has a fixed point in H.

**Proof.** Case 1.  $\theta$  is irrational. Choose  $W = TS_{\lambda}$  so that W has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(in the notation of the proof of Lemma 1). By Lemma 3, W has a fixed point in H. Since  $\theta$  is irrational,  $c_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Thus W has infinite order.

Case 2.  $\theta = p/q$ , (p,q) = 1,  $2 \le p < q/2$ . Choose  $W = T(TS_{\lambda})^k$ , where  $kp \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Note that W has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -c_k & -d_k \\ a_k & b_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -c_k & -d_k \\ a_k & -c_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$|c_k| = \left|\frac{\sin(\pi pk/q)}{\sin(\pi p/q)}\right| = \frac{\sin(\pi/q)}{\sin(\pi p/q)} < 1,$$

W has a fixed point by Lemma 3.

To show that W has infinite order, we will show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -c_k & -d_k \\ a_k & -c_k \end{pmatrix}$$

has a characteristic value  $\rho$  which is not a root of unity. Let  $c_k'$  be any algebraic conjugate of  $c_k$ . Since  $\rho$  satisfies the characteristic equation  $x^2 + 2c_kx + 1 = 0$ , a root  $\rho'$  of  $x^2 + 2c_k'x + 1 = 0$  is a conjugate of  $\rho$ . When (j, 2q) = 1,  $(\sin(\pi pkj/q))/(\sin(\pi pj/q))$  is a conjugate of  $c_k$ . If we let  $c_k' = (\sin(\pi pkj/q))/(\sin(\pi pj/q))$ , where j is odd and  $jp \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , then  $|c_k'| = |(\sin(\pi k/q))/\sin(\pi/q)| \ge 1$ . Thus,  $\rho'$  is real. Now suppose  $\rho$  is a root of unity. Then so is  $\rho'$ , so  $\rho' = \pm 1$ . Thus,  $\rho = \pm 1$ , which contradicts  $|c_k| < 1$ . Thus,  $\rho$  is not a root of unity.

It follows from Lemmas 4 and 5 that  $G(\lambda)$  is not discontinuous when  $0 < \lambda < 2, \lambda \notin C$ . We can prove a bit more.

THEOREM 4. Let  $A(\tau)$  be the set of points which are  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent to  $\tau$ . If  $0 < \lambda < 2$ ,  $\lambda \notin C$ , then for each  $\tau \in H$ ,  $A(\tau)$  is dense in H.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5, we can find a  $W \in G(\lambda)$  such that W has infinite order and W has a fixed point  $\tau_1 \in H$ . Define  $t(\tau) = (\tau - \tau_1)/(\tau - \overline{\tau_1})$  as before. Assume there is a  $\tau \in H$  for which  $A(\tau)$  is not dense in H. Then there is an open disk  $N \subset H - \{\tau_1\}$  such that  $N \cap A(\tau) = \emptyset$ . If  $\sigma \in K_{\alpha} \cap A(\tau)$  for some  $\alpha \in N$ , then N would contain a point in  $J_{\sigma}$  by Lemma 4, a contra-

**EVANS** 

diction. Thus,  $K_{\alpha} \cap A(\tau) = \emptyset$ , for each  $\alpha \in N$ . We can, therefore, find  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  such that

$$\{\sigma \in A(\tau): e_1 < |t(\sigma)| < e_2\} = \emptyset$$

Let  $e_3$  be the largest number for which  $\{\sigma \in A(\tau): e_1 < |t(\sigma)| < e_3\} = \emptyset$ . Note  $e_3 < 1$ , since  $|t(S_{\lambda}^m \tau)| \rightarrow 1$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $\beta$  to be the point with the largest real part satisfying  $|t(\beta)| = e_3$ . Note that  $\beta$  is the rightmost point on  $K_{\beta}$ . The circles  $K_{\beta}$  and  $S_{\lambda}^{-1}K_{\beta+\lambda}$  intersect at  $\beta$  but they are not tangent because the center of  $S_{\lambda}^{-1}K_{\beta+\lambda}$  is higher than the center of  $K_{\beta}$ . (The center of  $K_{\beta}$  is  $(x_1, y_1[(2/(1 - e_3^2)) - 1])$  and the center of  $K_{\beta+\lambda}$  is  $(x_1, y_1[(2/(1 - e_4^2)) - 1])$ , where  $\tau_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $e_3 < e_4 = |t(\beta + \lambda)| < 1$ ). By definition of  $e_3$ , there are points of  $A(\tau)$  arbitrarily close to  $K_{\beta}$ . Hence, there are circles  $K_{\nu}(\nu \in A(\tau))$  in any small annulus containing  $K_{\beta}$ . Lemma 4, thus, shows that  $\beta$  is a cluster point of  $A(\tau)$ . Choose  $\mu \in A(\tau)$  so close to  $\beta$  that  $K_{\beta}$  and  $S_{\lambda}^{-1}K_{\mu+\lambda}$  intersect but are not tangent. Then there are points of  $S_{\lambda}^{-1}J_{\mu+\lambda}$  in  $\{\sigma: e_1 < |t(\sigma)| < e_3\}$ , a contradiction.

We conclude with some remarks concerning the distribution of  $G(\lambda)$ -fixed points in H. A  $G(\lambda)$ -fixed point is a point in H fixed by some nonidentity element of  $G(\lambda)$ . When  $\lambda \ge 2$  or  $\lambda \in C$ , it is clear that  $B(\lambda)$  contains no  $G(\lambda)$ -fixed points. (For suppose  $V\tau = \tau$ , where  $V \in G(\lambda)$ ,  $\tau \in B(\lambda)$ . As Vis continuous at  $\tau$ , V maps a neighborhood N of  $\tau$  into  $B(\lambda)$ . As no two distinct points of  $B(\lambda)$  are  $G(\lambda)$ -equivalent, V acts as the identity on N. By the identity theorem, V = I.)

The following corollary shows that the situation is quite different when  $0 < \lambda < 2, \lambda \notin C$ .

COROLLARY. If  $0 < \lambda < 2$ ,  $\lambda \notin C$ , then the set F of  $G(\lambda)$ -fixed points is dense in H.

**Proof.** Let  $\tau \in A(i)$ , so that  $\tau = Vi$  for some  $V \in G(\lambda)$ . Then  $VTV^{-1}\tau = \tau$ , so  $\tau \in F$ . Thus,  $A(i) \subset F$  and since A(i) is dense in H by Theorem 4, F is dense in H.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Professors P. T. Bateman, Bruce Berndt, and Joseph Lehner for their valuable ideas and suggestions.

## A FUNDAMENTAL REGION

## References

- 1. E. HECKE, "Dirichlet Series, Modular Functions, and Quadratic Forms," Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI, 1938.
- E. HECKE, "Mathematische Werke," Vanderhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1959.
   J. LEHNER, "A short course in automorphic functions," Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.