

# Eigenvalues of graphs and a simple proof of a theorem of Greenberg

Sebastian M. Cioabă<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Queen's University at Kingston, Ontario, K7L 3N6,  
Canada*

---

## Abstract

In his Ph.D. thesis, Greenberg proved that if  $\rho(\tilde{X})$  is the spectral radius of the universal cover  $\tilde{X}$  of a finite graph  $X$ , then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , a positive proportion (depending only on  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\epsilon$ ) of the eigenvalues of  $X$  have *absolute value* at least  $\rho(\tilde{X}) - \epsilon$ . In this paper, we show that the same result holds true if we remove *absolute* from the previous result. We also prove an analogue result for the smallest eigenvalues of  $X$ .

*Key words:* spectral radius, universal cover, eigenvalues

AMS Classifications: 05C50, 15A18

---

## 1 Preliminaries

Our graph theoretic notation is standard, see West [18]. The graphs discussed in this paper are simple and connected unless stated otherwise. For a graph  $X$ , we denote by  $\lambda_1(X) \geq \lambda_2(X) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(X)$  the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of  $X$ .

Serre has proved the following theorem (see [4,5,11,17]) using Chebyshev polynomials. The simplest self-contained proof of this theorem is given in [4] and it is fairly involved. See [2,3] for a simple proof of Serre's theorem and other related results.

**Theorem 1 (Serre)** *For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $c = c(\epsilon, k)$  such that for any  $k$ -regular graph  $X$ , the number of eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $X$  with  $\lambda \geq (2 - \epsilon)\sqrt{k - 1}$  is at least  $c|X|$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup> Research partially supported by an Ontario Graduate Scholarship  
*Email address:* [sebi@mast.queensu.ca](mailto:sebi@mast.queensu.ca) (Sebastian M. Cioabă).

Serre's theorem is a generalization of the asymptotic Alon and Boppana theorem, see [1,6,16] for more details.

**Theorem 2** *If  $(X_n)_n$  is an infinite sequence of  $k$ -regular graphs, then  $\liminf \lambda_2(X_n) \geq 2\sqrt{k-1}$ .*

Theorem 1 is also related to a result obtained by Greenberg in [9] whose proof has not appeared to our knowledge in any journal as of yet. Greenberg's result is cited in many places, [14] and [15] for example. For a graph  $X$ , we denote by  $\rho(X)$  its spectral radius and by  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  the family of all finite graphs that are covered by  $X$ . In Section 2, we describe these notions in more detail.

**Theorem 3 (Greenberg)** *Let  $X$  be a connected, infinite graph with finite maximum degree. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c = c(X, \epsilon) > 0$ , such that for every  $Y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ ,*

$$|\{\lambda \in \text{spectrum of } Y : |\lambda| \geq \rho(X) - \epsilon\}| \geq c|V(Y)|. \quad (1)$$

In Section 3, we present a proof of Theorem 3. Note that Theorem 3 implies a weaker form of Serre's theorem. This is because if  $X$  is the infinite  $k$ -regular tree, then  $\rho(X) = 2\sqrt{k-1}$ . Thus, if  $Y$  is a finite  $k$ -regular graph we obtain that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , a positive proportion (that depends only on  $\epsilon$  and  $k$ ) of the eigenvalues of  $Y$  have *absolute value* at least  $(2-\epsilon)\sqrt{k-1}$ . This is slightly weaker than Theorem 1.

In Section 4, we present a slight improvement of Greenberg's theorem. We prove that given  $X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive  $c = c(X, \epsilon)$  such that  $|\{\lambda \in \text{spectrum of } Y : \lambda \geq \rho(X) - \epsilon\}| \geq c|V(Y)|$  for each finite graph  $Y$  covered by  $X$ . We also prove a similar result regarding the smallest eigenvalues of general (not necessarily regular) graphs.

## 2 Graphs and coverings

If  $X$  is a connected graph (not necessarily finite) such that the maximum degree of  $X$  is finite, let  $l^2(X)$  denote the space of functions  $f : V(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\sum_{x \in V(X)} |f(x)|^2 < +\infty$ . Let  $\delta : l^2(X) \rightarrow l^2(X)$  be the adjacency operator of  $X$ , i.e.,  $(\delta f)(x) = \sum_{yx \in E(X)} f(y)$ . If  $x \in V(X)$ , let  $t_s(x)$  denote the number of closed walks of length  $s$  that start at  $x$ . Denote by  $\rho(X)$  the *spectral radius* of  $X$ :

$$\rho(X) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{spectrum of } \delta\}$$

**Lemma 4** *Let  $X$  be a connected graph. Then  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[s]{t_s(x)}$  is independent*

on the vertex  $x \in V(X)$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  is connected, it is enough to prove that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[s]{t_s(x)} = \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[s]{t_s(y)}$$

for any adjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$ . The previous assertion will follow easily from the fact that

$$t_{s+2}(y) \geq t_s(x) \geq t_{s-2}(y)$$

for each  $s \geq 2$ .

It is well known (cf. Lubotzky [13], Chapter 4) that

$$\rho(X) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[s]{t_s(x)}, \quad (2)$$

Given two graphs  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , a *homomorphism* from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  is a function  $f : V(X_1) \rightarrow V(X_2)$  such that  $xy \in E(X_1)$  implies  $f(x)f(y) \in E(X_2)$  for each  $x, y \in V(X_1)$ . If  $f$  is bijective and  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are both homomorphisms, then  $f$  is called an *isomorphism* from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ . An isomorphism from a graph  $X$  to itself is called an *automorphism* of  $X$ . The automorphisms of  $X$  form a group, called the *automorphism group* of  $X$  that we denote by  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . If  $x$  is a vertex of  $X$ , then the *automorphism orbit* of  $x$  is  $\text{Orb}(x) = \{y \in V(X) : \exists f \in \text{Aut}(X) \text{ such that } f(x) = y\}$ . If  $x$  is a vertex in the graph  $X$ , then we denote by  $N_X(x)$  the set of neighbours of  $x$  in  $X$ .

If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are two graphs, a homomorphism  $\pi : V(X_1) \rightarrow V(X_2)$  is called a *cover map* if it is surjective and for each  $x \in V(X_1)$ ,  $\pi$  induces an isomorphism from  $N_{X_1}(x)$  to  $N_{X_2}(\pi(x))$ . It follows from (2) that if  $\pi : V(X_1) \rightarrow V(X_2)$  is a cover map, then  $\rho(X_1) \leq \rho(X_2)$ . If  $\pi$  is a finite cover, then  $\rho(X_1) = \rho(X_2)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  the family of finite graphs covered by  $X$ .

Using a result of Leighton [12], the next theorem is also proved by Greenberg [9] (see also Lubotzky [14]).

**Theorem 5** *Let  $X$  be a connected graph with finite maximum degree. Then for each  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ ,  $\rho(X_1) = \rho(X_2)$ . This common value is denoted by  $\chi(X)$ .*

For a finite graph  $Z$ , its *universal cover*  $\tilde{Z}$  is the graph with the property that for any graph  $Y$  with a cover map  $\pi : V(Y) \rightarrow V(Z)$ , there exists a cover map  $\pi' : V(\tilde{Z}) \rightarrow V(Y)$ . The universal cover of any finite graph is an infinite tree. For example, the universal cover of a  $k$ -regular graph is the infinite  $k$ -regular

tree. However, not every infinite tree  $X$  covers a finite graph. It is easy to see that a necessary condition for covering a finite graph is that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  has finitely many orbits. See Lubotzky [14] for more details on the universal covers of finite graphs.

### 3 A proof of Greenberg's theorem

In his Ph.D. thesis [9], Greenberg proved Theorem 3. This result is also cited in [14] and [15] (Theorem 2.3), but it seems that no proof of it exists in the literature other than in Greenberg's thesis. The proof given below is a simplified version of the original proof.

**Proof of Theorem 3** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . Because  $X$  has finitely many automorphism orbits, it follows that there exists  $r_0 = r(X, \epsilon)$  such that

$$t_{2r}(y) \geq \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{2r}$$

for each vertex  $y \in V(Y)$  and  $r \geq r_0$ . Let  $c$  be the proportion of eigenvalues of  $Y$  that have absolute value  $\geq \rho(X) - \epsilon$ . Using the previous inequality, we obtain

$$\left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{2r} \leq \min_{y \in V(Y)} t_{2r}(y) \leq \frac{\text{tr}(A^{2r}(Y))}{|V(Y)|} \leq c\chi^{2r}(X) + (1-c)(\rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2r}$$

for each  $r \geq r_0$ . This implies

$$c \geq \frac{\left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{2r} - (\rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2r}}{\chi^{2r}(X) - \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{2r}}$$

for each  $r \geq r_0$ . Letting  $r = r_0$ , this proves the theorem.

□

### 4 An slight improvement of Greenberg's theorem

In this section, we present a slight improvement of Theorem 3. Our proof is similar to the previous one and we obtain the required estimate for the largest eigenvalues by shifting the spectra of  $Y$  up by a constant.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $X$  be a connected, infinite graph with finite maximum degree. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c = c(X, \epsilon) > 0$ , such that for every  $Y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ ,*

$$|\{\lambda \in \text{spectrum of } Y : \lambda \geq \rho(X) - \epsilon\}| \geq c|V(Y)|$$

**Proof.** Let  $Y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  and cover map  $f : V(X) \rightarrow V(Y)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $m = |\{i : \lambda_i \geq \rho(X) - \epsilon\}|$ .

From (2) we know that  $\rho(X) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[2s]{t_{2s}(x)}$ , for any vertex  $x \in V(X)$ . Since  $\rho(X) > 0$ , it follows that there exists an integer  $N = N(X, \epsilon) > 1$  such that  $\rho(X) > \frac{\epsilon}{N}$ . Obviously, if  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same orbit of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ , then  $t_r(x) = t_r(y)$  for any non-negative integer  $r$ . Hence, the fact that  $X$  has finitely many automorphism orbits implies that there exists a non-negative integer  $s_0 = s_0(X, \epsilon)$  such that  $t_{2s}(x) \geq \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2s}$ , for each  $s \geq s_0$  and any  $x \in V(X)$ .

It is easy to see that the number of closed walks of length  $r$  in  $X$  starting at vertex  $x \in V(X)$  is less than or equal to the number of closed walks of length  $r$  in  $Y$  starting at vertex  $f(x) \in V(Y)$ . Hence,  $\Phi_r(Y) \geq \sum_{\substack{y \in V(Y) \\ y=f(x)}} t_r(x)$  for each non-negative integer  $r$ . From the previous two relations it follows that  $\Phi_{2s}(Y) \geq n \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2s}$  for  $s \geq s_0$ .

Let  $K$  be a positive constant that does not depend on  $Y$  and is larger than  $\lambda_1(Y)$ . We can take  $K = \Delta(X)$ , the maximum degree of  $X$ , for example. From the previous inequality we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(K \cdot I + A(Y))^{2l} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2l} \binom{2l}{i} K^{2l-i} \Phi_i(Y) \geq \sum_{j=s_0}^l \binom{2l}{2j} K^{2l-2j} \Phi_{2j}(Y) \\ &\geq n \sum_{j=s_0}^l \binom{2l}{2j} K^{2l-2j} \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2j} \\ &\geq n \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{2l}{2j} K^{2l-2j} \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2j} - n \sum_{j=0}^{s_0-1} \binom{2l}{2j} K^{2l-2j} \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2j} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{2} \left(K + \rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2l} - n s_0 \binom{2l}{2s_0} K^{2l} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $l \geq 2s_0$ . Since  $K \cdot I$  and  $A(Y)$  commute, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(K \cdot I + A)^{2l} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (K + \lambda_i(Y))^{2l} \\ &\leq (n - m)(K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l} + m(2K)^{2l}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{m}{n} \geq \frac{\left(\frac{K+\rho(X)-\frac{\epsilon}{N}}{2}\right)^{2l} - (K+\rho(X)-\epsilon)^{2l} - s_0 \binom{2l}{2s_0} K^{2l}}{(2K)^{2l} - (K+\rho(X)-\epsilon)^{2l}} \quad (3)$$

for each  $l \geq 2s_0$ .

Now

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[2l]{\frac{\left(K+\rho(X)-\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2l}}{2}} = K+\rho(X)-\frac{\epsilon}{N}$$

and

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[2l]{2(K+\rho(X)-\epsilon)^{2l} + s_0 \binom{2l}{2s_0} K^{2l}} = \max(K+\rho(X)-\epsilon, K) < K+\rho(X)-\frac{\epsilon}{N}$$

imply that there exists  $l_0 = l(X, \epsilon)$  such that

$$\frac{\left(K+\rho(X)-\frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2l}}{2} - (K+\rho(X)-\epsilon)^{2l} - s_0 \binom{2l}{2s_0} K^{2l} > (K+\rho(X)-\epsilon)^{2l},$$

for each  $l \geq l_0$ . Hence,

$$\frac{m}{n} > \frac{(K+\rho(X)-\epsilon)^{2l_0}}{(2K)^{2l_0} - (K+\rho(X)-\epsilon)^{2l_0}} = c(X, \epsilon) > 0$$

□

By using a similar argument as before, we can also prove a similar result to Theorem 6 for the smallest eigenvalues. Note that we need an extra hypothesis since there are classes of graphs that have eigenvalues bounded from below by a constant. For examples, line graphs have all their eigenvalues at least  $-2$ .

**Theorem 7** *Let  $X$  be a connected, infinite graph with finite maximum degree. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a non-negative integer  $g = g(X, \epsilon)$  and  $c = c(X, \epsilon) > 0$ , such that for every graph  $Y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  with no odd cycles of length less than  $g$ ,*

$$|\{\mu \in \text{spectrum of } Y : \mu \leq -(\rho(X) - \epsilon)\}| \geq c|V(Y)|$$

**Proof.** Let  $Y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  and cover map  $f : V(X) \rightarrow V(Y)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $m = |\{i : \lambda_i \leq -(\rho(X) - \epsilon)\}|$ .

The proof continues now similarly to the proof of Theorem 6. There exist  $N = N(X, \epsilon) > 1$ ,  $s_0 = s(X, \epsilon)$  and  $l_0 = l(X, \epsilon)$  with  $l_0 \geq 2s_0$  such that

$$\frac{\left(K + \rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2l_0}}{2} - (K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l_0} - s_0 \binom{2l_0}{2s_0} K^{2l_0} > (K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l_0}$$

Consider

$$\text{tr}(K \cdot I - A(Y))^{2l} = \sum_{i=1}^n (K - \lambda_i)^{2l} \leq (n - m)(K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l} + m(2K)^{2l}$$

Let  $g(X, \epsilon) = 2l_0$ . If  $Y$  has no odd cycles of length less than  $2l_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(K \cdot I - A(Y))^{2l_0} &= \sum_{j=0}^{l_0} \binom{2l_0}{2j} K^{2l_0-2j} \Phi_{2j}(Y) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=s_0+1}^{l_0} \binom{2l_0}{2j} K^{2l_0-2j} \Phi_{2j}(Y) \\ &\geq n \sum_{j=0}^{2l_0} \binom{2l_0}{2j} K^{2l_0-2j} \left(\rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2j} - s_0 \binom{2l_0}{2s_0} K^{2l_0} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{2} \left(K + \rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2l_0} - s_0 \binom{2l_0}{2s_0} K^{2l_0} \end{aligned}$$

From the previous two inequalities, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{n} &\geq \frac{\frac{\left(K + \rho(X) - \frac{\epsilon}{N}\right)^{2l_0}}{2} - (K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l_0} - s_0 \binom{2l_0}{2s_0} K^{2l_0}}{(2K)^{2l_0} - (K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l_0}} \\ &> \frac{(K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l_0}}{(2K)^{2l_0} - (K + \rho(X) - \epsilon)^{2l_0}} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

□

In his Ph.D. thesis [9], Greenberg also introduced the notion of Ramanujan graph for general finite graphs (not necessarily regular). See also Lubotzky [14] for more details. A finite graph  $Y$  is called *Ramanujan* if for any eigenvalue  $\lambda \neq \pm\chi(\tilde{Y})$  of  $Y$ , the inequality  $|\lambda| \leq \rho(\tilde{Y})$  holds. If  $Y$  is regular, then we obtain the definition given by Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak in [16] where an infinite sequence of regular Ramanujan graphs is constructed when the degree equals  $p + 1$ ,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  prime number. In [4], this construction is extended and an infinite sequence of regular Ramanujan graphs is produced for the degree equal to a prime plus one. We are not aware of any similar results for irregular graphs as of yet.

Friedman [8] has recently proved that almost all regular graphs are almost Ramanujan. For similar results regarding irregular graphs, see [7]. Hoory [10] proved that if  $Y$  is a finite graph with average degree  $d$ , then  $\rho(Y) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1}$ . Hoory used this result to prove a generalization of the asymptotic Alon-Boppana theorem. Denote by  $B_r(v)$  the ball of radius  $r$  around  $v$ . A graph  $Y$  has an  $r$ -robust average-degree  $d$  if for every vertex  $v$  the graph induced on  $V(Y) \setminus B_r(v)$  has average degree at least  $d$ . Hoory's generalization is the following result.

**Theorem 8** *Let  $Y_i$  be a sequence of graphs such that  $Y_i$  has an  $r_i$ -robust average degree  $d \geq 2$ , where  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} r_i = +\infty$ . Then*

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(Y_i) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1}$$

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful to my Ph.D. advisors: David Gregory, Ram Murty and David Wehlau for their support and encouragement. I thank Shlomo Hoory for translating and adapting Greenberg's argument from Section 3 and an anonymous referee for his(her) comments.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, Eigenvalues and Expanders, *Combinatorica*, **6** (1986), 83-96.
- [2] S. M. Cioabă, On the extreme eigenvalues of regular graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, to appear.
- [3] S. M. Cioabă, Eigenvalues, Expanders and Gaps between Primes, Ph.D. Thesis, Queen's University at Kingston, Ontario, Canada (2005).
- [4] G. Davidoff, P. Sarnak and A. Vallette, Elementary Number Theory, Group Theory and Ramanujan Graphs, Cambridge University Press, (2003).
- [5] K. Feng and W.-C. Winnie Li, Spectra of hypergraphs and applications, *J. of Number Theory*, **60** (1996), no.1, 1-22.
- [6] J. Friedman, Some geometric aspects of graphs and their eigenfunctions, *Duke Math. J.*, **69** (1993), 487-525.
- [7] J. Friedman, Relative expanders or weakly relatively Ramanujan graphs, *Duke Math. J.*, **118** (2003), 19-35.
- [8] J. Friedman, A proof of Alon's second eigenvalue conjecture, *Memoirs of AMS*, to appear.

- [9] Y. Greenberg, Spectra of graphs and their covering trees, Ph.D. thesis, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 1995. [in Hebrew]
- [10] S. Hoory, A lower bound on the spectral radius of the universal cover of a graph, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **93** (2005), 33-43.
- [11] W.-C. Winnie Li, Number Theory with Applications, Series of University Mathematics, Vol.7, World Scientific, (1996).
- [12] F. T. Leighton, Finite common coverings of graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **33** (1982), 231-238.
- [13] A. Lubotzky, Discrete Groups, Expanding Graphs and Invariant Measures, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel/Boston/Berlin, 1994.
- [14] A. Lubotzky, Cayley Graphs: Eigenvalues, Expanders and Random Walks, *Surveys in combinatorics*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **218** (1995), 155-189.
- [15] A. Lubotzky and T. Nagnibeda, Not every uniform tree covers Ramanujan graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **74** (1998), 202-212.
- [16] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, Ramanujan Graphs, *Combinatorica*, **8** (1988), no.3, 261-277.
- [17] J.-P. Serre, Répartition asymptotique des valeurs propres de l'opérateur de Hecke  $T_p$ , *J.Amer.Math.Soc.*, **10** (1997), no.1, 75-102.
- [18] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2nd edition, (2001).