

Group isomorphism

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM

Let's write the addition table of \mathbb{Z}_3 .

$+$	$[0]_3$	$[1]_3$	$[2]_3$, now let's use	$+$	0	I	II
$[0]_3$	$[0]_3$	$[1]_3$	$[2]_3$		0	0	I	II
$[1]_3$	$[1]_3$	$[2]_3$	$[0]_3$	Roman numbers	I	I	II	0
$[2]_3$	$[2]_3$	$[0]_3$	$[1]_3$		II	II	0	I

or we can use Persian numbers.

$+$	0	1	۲
0	0	1	۲
1	1	۲	0
۲	۲	0	1

Clearly all of these are the

same groups only written in

different symbols. We only need

a translator to tell us which one is which. What is a translator (at least in the context of groups)? It should

be a bijection which preserves the operation table. Notice

that preserving the operation table simply means that it

should be a group homomorphism. This brings us to the

definition of group isomorphism.

Def. Suppose (G, \cdot) and $(H, *)$ are two groups. We

say $f: G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism if it is a bijective

group homomorphism. If there is an isomorphism $f: G \rightarrow H$,

Group isomorphism and cyclic groups

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM

we say G is **isomorphic** to H and write $G \cong H$.

Ex. Suppose C_n is a cyclic group of order n . Then

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \cong C_n.$$

Pf. Since C_n is a cyclic group of order n , $C_n = \langle g \rangle$

for some g and $o(g) = n$. Saying that $o(g) = n$ means

that $g^k = e_G \iff n \mid k$. (I)

Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow C_n$, $f([k]_n) := g^k$.

Well-defined. Definition of f is given in terms of a

representative k of the **residue class** $[k]_n$. Hence we

need to make sure that it is independent of the choice of

this representative.

$$[k_1]_n = [k_2]_n \implies k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{n} \implies k_1 = k_2 + nq \text{ for some } q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\implies g^{k_1} = g^{k_2 + nq} = g^{k_2} \cdot g^{nq}$$

$$\implies g^{k_1} = g^{k_2} \quad (\text{by (I)})$$

Homomorphism. $f([k]_n + [l]_n) = f([k+l]_n) = g^{k+l}$
 $= g^k \cdot g^l = f([k]_n) \cdot f([l]_n).$

Group isomorphism and cyclic groups

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM

Injective. $f([k]_n) = f([l]_n) \Rightarrow g^k = g^l$

$$\Rightarrow g^{k-l} = e_G$$

$$\Rightarrow n \mid k-l \quad (\text{by } o(g)=n)$$

$$\Rightarrow k \equiv l$$

$$\Rightarrow [k]_n = [l]_n.$$

Surjective. Every element of $\langle g \rangle$ is of the form g^k

for some integer k . Because $g^k = f([k]_n)$, every element

of C_n is in the image of f . Therefore f is surjective

(Alternatively $f: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow C_n$ is an injective function

and $|\mathbb{Z}_n| = |C_n| = n$, and so f is surjective. We

usually use this type of argument as often it is not easy

to show a function is surjective!)

Altogether f is a bijective group homomorphism, and so it

is an isomorphism. Therefore $\mathbb{Z}_n \simeq C_n$. ▀

Ex. $(\mathbb{R}^{\times}, \cdot) \simeq (\mathbb{R}, +)$.

Pf. $\ln: \mathbb{R}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a group homomorphism as

Examples of isomorphisms

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM

$\ln(xy) = \ln(x) + \ln(y)$. The natural logarithm is a bijection as $\exp: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, $\exp(x) := e^x$ is its inverse. Hence $\ln: \mathbb{R}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an isomorphism. \blacksquare

Ex. $\mathbb{Q} \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Pf. Suppose to the contrary that there is an isomorphism

$f: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Since f is bijective, there is $\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$

such that $f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = 1$. Then

$$1 = f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{m}{2n} + \frac{m}{2n}\right) = \underbrace{f\left(\frac{m}{2n}\right)}_{\text{in } \mathbb{Z}} + f\left(\frac{m}{2n}\right)$$

$\Rightarrow 1 = 2 f\left(\frac{m}{2n}\right)$ which is a contradiction as the right hand side is even and 1 is not. \blacksquare

It is a good idea to think about equations to show two groups are not isomorphic.

Ex. $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot) \not\cong (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$

Pf. Suppose to the contrary that there is an isomorphism

$f: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $f(1) = 1$, and so

Examples of isomorphisms

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM

$f(-1)^2 = f((-1)^2) = f(1) = 1$. Hence $f(-1)$ is either 1 or -1 . Since f is bijective and $f(1) = 1$, $f(-1) \neq 1$.

Therefore $f(-1) = -1$. Thus

$$f(i)^2 = f(i^2) = f(-1) = -1.$$

But this is a contradiction as $f(i) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and square of a real number is always non-negative and cannot be -1 . \square

Caley proved that every finite group is isomorphic to a subgroup of a symmetric group. A subgroup of a symmetric group is called a **permutation group**. So by Cayley's theorem

every group is a permutation group up to an isomorphism.

Theorem. Suppose (G, \cdot) is a group. Then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_G .

Pf. For $g \in G$, let $l_g: G \rightarrow G$, $l_g(x) := g \cdot x$.

Step 1. l_g is a bijection (and so $l_g \in S_G$).

Pf of Step 1. $l_g \circ l_{g^{-1}}(x) = l_g(g^{-1} \cdot x) = g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot x) = x$

and $l_{g^{-1}} \circ l_g(x) = l_{g^{-1}}(g \cdot x) = g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x) = x$. Hence

Cayley's theorem

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM

$l_g \circ l_{g^{-1}} = l_{g^{-1}} \circ l_g = \text{id}_G$. Hence l_g is invertible, and so

$$l_g \in S_G.$$

Step 2. $l: G \rightarrow S_G$, $l(g) := l_g$ is a group homomorphism.

Pf of Step 2. We have to show that $l(g_1 \cdot g_2) = l(g_1) \circ l(g_2)$.

This means we have to prove that, for every $x \in G$,

$$l(g_1 \cdot g_2)(x) = (l(g_1) \circ l(g_2))(x). \quad (\text{I})$$

The left hand side of (I) is $(g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot x$, and the

right hand side of (I) is

$$l(g_1)(l(g_2)(x)) = l(g_1)(g_2 \cdot x) = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x).$$

By associativity, we have $(g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot x = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x)$ for every x . Hence (I) holds for every $x \in G$. Therefore

$l(g_1 \cdot g_2) = l(g_1) \circ l(g_2)$, which means l is a group hom.

Step 3. l is injective.

Pf of Step 3. Suppose $l(g_1) = l(g_2)$. Then $l_{g_1} = l_{g_2}$,

and so $l_{g_1}(e_G) = l_{g_2}(e_G)$ which implies $g_1 = g_2$.

Therefore $G \cong \text{Im } l$ and $\text{Im } l \leq S_G$. \blacksquare

Cayley's theorem

Tuesday, June 29, 2021 3:29 PM

Motivated by Cayley's theorem, we study symmetric groups in more details.