

Prime numbers form one of the amazing sequences of integers.

They have a lot of, in the first glance, contradictory properties which makes them mysterious.

- In many aspects they behave similar to "random" integers.
(A "low complexity" function would not have correlation with Möbius function (it will be defined soon.))
- Primes are "more or less independent", but we have reciprocity laws.

There are a lot of conjectures or theorems that ask for primes in certain sequence of integers:

Thm (Dirichlet) Suppose $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then there are infinitely many primes of the form $ak+b$.

Twin prime conj. $\exists \infty n \in \mathbb{Z}$, n and $n+2$ are prime.

Euler's conj. $\exists \infty n \in \mathbb{Z}$, n^2+1 is prime.

One of the main reasons that we are interested in primes is because of unique factorization property of integers:

{ Any integer $n > 1$ can be written as a product of primes
in a unique way.

So for any prime p , there is a well-defined function

$$v_p: \mathbb{Z}^{>1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{>0} \text{ s.t. } n = \prod_{p: \text{prime}} p^{v_p(n)}.$$

Notice that, for any n , except for finitely many primes

$v_p(n) = 0$ and so the above product has only finitely

many terms have zero sum.

We can easily extend these to $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. So we get

$$v_p: \mathbb{Q}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \text{ s.t. } \forall \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times,$$

$$\left| \frac{m}{n} \right| = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(\frac{m}{n})}.$$

Let's also assume $v_p(0) = \infty$ (This way we do not have add extra conditions.)

v_p is called the p -adic valuation of \mathbb{Q} .

Basic properties of v_p .

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad v_p(q_1 q_2) = v_p(q_1) + v_p(q_2).$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, \quad v_p(q) \geq 0 \Rightarrow q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{if } m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, \quad v_p(m) \leq v_p(n) \iff m | n.$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad v_p(q_1 + q_2) \geq \min \{v_p(q_1), v_p(q_2)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof.} \cdot |q_1| &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(q_1)} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow |q_1 q_2| = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(q_1) + v_p(q_2)} \\ |q_2| = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{v_p(q_2)} \end{array} \right. \\ &\Rightarrow v_p(q_1 q_2) = v_p(q_1) + v_p(q_2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot q \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ s.t. } \gcd(m, n) = 1 \text{ and } |q| = \frac{m}{n}.$$

$$\text{If } q \notin \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow n \neq 1 \Rightarrow \exists p \in \mathcal{P}, \quad p | n \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow p \nmid m \\ \gcd(m, n) = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow v_p(n) > 0 \text{ and } v_p(m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v_p\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = v_p(m) - v_p(n) < 0, \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

$$\cdot (\Rightarrow) \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, \quad v_p\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = v_p(n) - v_p(m) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n}{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow m | n.$$

\Leftarrow , "... " $\Rightarrow \bar{m} < \bar{n} \rightarrow v_p(\bar{m}) < v_p(\bar{n})$

$$\Rightarrow \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, v_p(m) \leq v_p(n).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } n_1 &= \prod_p p^{\frac{v_p(q_1) - \min(v_p(q_1), v_p(q_2))}{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{>1} \\ \text{and } n_2 &= \prod_p p^{\frac{v_p(q_2) - \min(v_p(q_1), v_p(q_2))}{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{>1} \\ \Rightarrow q_1 + q_2 &= \pm \prod_p p^{\frac{v_p(q_1)}{2}} \pm \prod_p p^{\frac{v_p(q_2)}{2}} \\ &= \prod_p p^{\min(v_p(q_1), v_p(q_2))} \underbrace{(\pm n_1 \pm n_2)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \Rightarrow v_p(q_1 + q_2) &= \min(v_p(q_1), v_p(q_2)) + \underbrace{v_p(\pm n_1 \pm n_2)}_{\geq 0} \\ &\geq \min(v_p(q_1), v_p(q_2)). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Corollary. $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, . $v_p(\gcd(m, n)) = \min(v_p(m), v_p(n))$

- . $v_p(\operatorname{lcm}(m, n)) = \max(v_p(m), v_p(n))$
- . $m \cdot n = \gcd(m, n) \cdot \operatorname{lcm}(m, n)$

Proof. (exercise)

There are a lot of interesting functions on \mathbb{Z} that almost preserve its multiplicative structure.

Definition. A function $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a multiplicative function if

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f(1) = 1.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \gcd(m, n) = 1 \Rightarrow f(mn) = f(m) \cdot f(n).$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all multiplicative functions.

Ex. $1: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, 1(n) = 1$ constant function.

. $\text{id}: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{id}(n) = n$ the identity function.

. $I: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, I(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=1 \\ 0 & n \neq 1 \end{cases}$

- $n \mapsto n^s$ for any real number s .

Observation. Any function on powers of primes can be uniquely extended to a multiplicative function:

$$f(n) := \prod_{p \in P} f(p^{v_p(n)}) .$$

Again notice that, since $f(1)=1$, this product has only finitely many terms that are not 1.

Definition (Multiplicative convolution) For any two arithmetic functions $f, g: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let

$$(f * g)(n) := \sum_{d|n} f(d) g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) .$$

Basic properties.

(Commutative) $f * g = g * f$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf. } (f * g)(n) &= \sum_{d_1 d_2 = n} f(d_1) g(d_2) \\ &= \sum_{d_2 d_1 = n} g(d_2) f(d_1) = (g * f)(n) . \end{aligned}$$

(Associative) $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$

Pf. One can easily see that $((f * g) * h)(n)$ and $(f * (g * h))(n)$ are equal to

$$\sum_{d_1 d_2 d_3 = n} f(d_1) g(d_2) h(d_3) .$$

(Neutral element) $I * f = f * I = f$

$$\text{Pf. } (I * f)(n) = \sum_{d|n} I(d) f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = I(1) f(n) = f(n) .$$

(Invertible elements) Suppose $f(1)=1$. Then $\exists! g: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

(Invertible elements) Suppose $f(1)=1$. Then $\exists! g: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\text{s.t. } f * g = g * f = I.$$

Pf. We define g recursively so that

$$(f * g)(n) = I(n).$$

Let $g(1)=1$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$g(n) = - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \neq 1}} f(d) g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

This shows existence and uniqueness.

Theorem ① $f, g \in M \Rightarrow f * g \in M$

② $f \in M \Rightarrow f^{-1} \in M$ (inverse with respect to $*$.)

Proof. ① Suppose $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.

$$(f * g)(mn) = \sum_{d|mn} f(d) g\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right)$$

• $\forall d|mn$, let $d_1 = \prod_{p|m} p^{v_p(d)}$ and $d_2 = \prod_{p|n} p^{v_p(d)}$

Since $\gcd(m, n) = 1 \Rightarrow \forall p \in \mathcal{P}$, either $v_p(m) = 0$ or $v_p(n) = 0$,

we have $d = d_1 d_2$, $d_1|m$ and $d_2|n$.

In fact this gives us a bijection between

$$\{d \mid d \text{ divides } mn\} \text{ and } \{d_1 \mid d_1 \text{ divides } m\} \times \{d_2 \mid d_2 \text{ divides } n\}$$

$$\text{So } (f * g)(mn) = \sum_{\substack{d_1|m \\ d_2|n}} f(d_1 d_2) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1} \cdot \frac{n}{d_2}\right).$$

Since $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = \gcd\left(\frac{m}{d_1}, \frac{n}{d_2}\right) = 1$.

$$\text{Hence } (f * g)(mn) = \sum f(d_1) f(d_2) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) g\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right)$$

$$\text{Hence } (f * g)(mn) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 | m \\ d_2 | n}} f(d_1) f(d_2) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) g\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{d_1 | m} f(d_1) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) \right) \left(\sum_{d_2 | n} f(d_2) g\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right) \right)$$

$$= (f * g)(m) (f * g)(n).$$

② Let $g = f^{-1}$. So $(f * g)(n) = I(n)$.

By strong induction on \underline{mn} , we show that

$$g(mn) = g(\text{lcm}(m, n)) \quad \text{if } \gcd(m, n) = 1.$$

By the definition of g we have:

$$g(mn) = - \sum_{\substack{d | mn \\ d \neq mn}} f(d) g\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right)$$

above
discussion

$$\xrightarrow{\quad} = - \sum_{\substack{d_1 | m \\ d_2 | n}} f(d_1, d_2) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1} \cdot \frac{n}{d_2}\right)$$

either $d_1 \neq 1$
or $d_2 \neq 1$

strong
induction
hypothesis

$$\xrightarrow{\quad} = - \sum_{\substack{d_1 | m \\ d_2 | n}} f(d_1) f(d_2) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) g\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right)$$

either $d_1 \neq 1$
or $d_2 \neq 1$

$$= - \sum_{\substack{d_2 | n \\ d_2 \neq 1}} f(1) f(d_2) g(m) g\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right) - \sum_{\substack{d_1 | m \\ d_1 \neq 1}} f(d_1) f(1) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) g(n)$$

$$- \left(\sum_{\substack{d_1 | m \\ d_1 \neq 1}} f(d_1) g\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{d_2 | n \\ d_2 \neq 1}} f(d_2) g\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right) \right)$$

$$= g(m) g(n) + g(m) g(n) - g(m) g(n)$$

$$= g(m) g(n). \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary. $(\mathcal{U}^n, *)$ is an abelian group.

Ex. $\mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{M}$;

$$(\mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1})(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1 = \# \text{ of positive divisors of } n \\ =: \tau(n).$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau(n) = \prod_p \tau(p^{v_p(n)}) = \prod_p (v_p(n) + 1).$$

Ex. $\mathbf{1} * \text{id.} \in \mathcal{M}$;

$$(\mathbf{1} * \text{id.})(n) = \sum_{d|n} d = \text{sum of positive divisors} \\ =: \sigma(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(n) = \prod_p \sigma(p^{v_p(n)}) = \prod_p (1 + p + \dots + p^{v_p(n)}) \\ = \prod_p \frac{p^{v_p(n)} - 1}{p - 1}.$$

Lemma. Let $\mu = \mathbf{1}^{-1}$ be the inverse of the constant function

with respect to $*$. Then

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=1 \\ 0 & \exists p, v_p(n) > 1 \\ (-1)^s & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdots p_s \text{ and } p_i \neq p_j \end{cases}$$

Pf. we proceed by strong induction:

- $\mu(1) = 1 \Rightarrow \text{base } \checkmark$
- $\mu(n) = - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \neq n}} \mu(d)$.

Suppose $v_{p_0}(n) \geq 2$. Then by strong induction hypoth.

$$\mu(n) = - \sum_{d|(n/p_0)} \mu(d) = - (\mathbf{1} * \mu)(n/p_0) \\ = - I(n/p_0) = 0.$$

Suppose $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_s$ and $p_i \neq p_j$.

$$\mu(n) = - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) - \sum_p \mu(d)$$

$$= - \sum_{d|n/p_1} \mu(d) + \sum_{\substack{d' \neq n \\ d'|n/p_1}} \mu(d')$$

$(1 * \mu)(n/p_1)$ if $n \neq p_1$

- if $n = p_1$, then $\mu(p_1) = -1$.

- if $n \neq p_1$, then $\mu(n) = -\mu(n/p_1) = -(-1)^{s-1} = (-1)^s$.

■

Definition μ is called the Möbius function.

Corollary (Möbius inversion).

For $f: \mathbb{Z}^{>1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$. Then

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) F(d).$$

Moreover $f \in \mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow F \in \mathcal{M}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } F = f * 1 &\Rightarrow F * \mu = (f * 1) * \mu \\ &= f * (1 * \mu) \\ &= f * I \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot f \in \mathcal{M} &\Rightarrow F = f * 1 \in \mathcal{M}. \\ 1 \in \mathcal{M} \} & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot F = f * 1 \in \mathcal{M} &\Rightarrow f = F * \mu \in \mathcal{M}. \\ \mu \in \mathcal{M} \} & \end{aligned}$$

■

Recall. The Euler ϕ -function

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &:= |\{a \in \mathbb{Z}^{>1} \mid a \leq n, \gcd(a, n) = 1\}| \\ &= |(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*|. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem ① $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$

Theorem ① $\phi \in M$

② $\phi * \mathbb{1} = \text{id.}$

Proof. ① By Chinese Remainder Theorem, $\mathbb{Z}_{/mn\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{/m\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{/n\mathbb{Z}}$

if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. So

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{/mn\mathbb{Z}})^{\times} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{/m\mathbb{Z}})^{\times} \times (\mathbb{Z}_{/n\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(mn) = \phi(m) \phi(n).$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \bigsqcup_{d|n} \{k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \gcd(k, n) = d\}$$

$$= \bigsqcup_{d|n} \{dk' \mid 1 \leq k' \leq n/d, \quad \gcd(k', n/d) = 1\}$$

$$\Rightarrow n = \sum_{d|n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = (\mathbb{1} * \phi)(n). \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary. $\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) d.$

Pf. $\text{id.} = \phi * \mathbb{1} \Rightarrow \phi = \text{id.} * \mu. \quad \blacksquare$