

Lecture 05: Irreducibility criteria

Friday, January 19, 2018 8:12 AM

In the previous lecture we proved that

$$D \text{ is a UFD} \iff D[x] \text{ is a UFD.}$$

So by induction we have

$$D \text{ is a UFD} \iff D[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ is a UFD.}$$

We also proved that:

D : UFD and F : field of fractions of D ;

$f(x) \in D[x]$ primitive. Then

$$f(x) \text{ is irreducible in } D[x] \iff f(x) \text{ is irred. in } F[x].$$

Cor. Suppose $f(x)$ cannot be written as a prod. of two poly. of deg. $<$ deg f in $(D/\mathcal{O})[x]$. Then f is irred. in $F[x]$.

Pf. If not, $f(x) = f_1(x) f_2(x)$ for some $f_i(x) \in F[x] \setminus F$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists c_i \in F^* \text{ s.t. } f(x) = \underbrace{(c_1 \overline{f_1(x)})}_{\text{in } D[x]} \underbrace{(c_2 \overline{f_2(x)})}_{\text{in } D[x]}$$

$\Rightarrow f(x) \equiv \overline{f_1(x)} \overline{f_2(x)} \pmod{\mathcal{O}}$ which contradicts our assumption.

Lecture 05: Irreducibility criteria

Friday, January 19, 2018 8:20 AM

Ex. Show that $x^3 + xy + y^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$.

Solution. Let's consider $\mathbb{Q}[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]$.
$$p(x, y) \mapsto p(x, 0)$$

Then, by the 1st isomor. theorem, $\mathbb{Q}[x, y] / \langle y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

And $f(x) := x^3 + xy + y^2 + x + 1$ is mapped to $x^3 + x + 1$.

Claim. $x^3 + x + 1$ is irred. in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Pf. If not, it should have a factor of deg. 1; this

implies $x^3 + x + 1$ has a rational root r/s . By an

exercise you know that $r \mid 1$ and $s \mid 1$. So $r/s = \pm 1$.

But $(\pm 1)^3 + (\pm 1) + 1 \neq 0$.

Hence by the above claim and the previous corollary we

are done. \blacksquare

Thm (Eisenstein's criterion) Suppose D is an integral domain,

$\wp \in \text{Spec}(D)$, $a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 \in \wp$ and $a_0 \notin \wp^2$. Then

$x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ is irreducible in $D[x]$.

Lecture 05: Irreducibility criteria

Friday, January 19, 2018 8:35 AM

pf. Suppose $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ is not irreducible.

Since it is monic, $f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)$ and $\deg f_i < \deg f$.

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \equiv f_1(x)f_2(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^n \equiv f_1(x)f_2(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(0) \text{ and } f_2(0) \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 = f_1(0)f_2(0) \in \mathfrak{p}^2 \text{ which is a contradiction. } \blacksquare$$

Ex. $x^{p-1} + \dots + x + 1 = 0$ is irred. in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ if p is a prime.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf. } f(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} &\Rightarrow f(x+1) = \frac{(x+1)^p - 1}{x} \\ &= x^{p-1} + \binom{p}{1}x^{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{i}x^{p-i-1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies the Eisenstein criterion's condition. \blacksquare

Ex. $x^n + p \in \mathbb{Z}[i][x]$ is irred. if p is an odd prime.

Pf. Suppose $a+ib$ is an irred. factor of p . Then

$$a^2 + b^2 \mid p^2 \Rightarrow \text{either } a^2 + b^2 = p^2 \text{ or } a^2 + b^2 = p.$$

In the first case, $a+ib \sim p$. In the second case

Lecture 05: Irreducibility criteria

Friday, January 19, 2018 8:46 AM

$$p = (a+ib)(a-ib) \quad \text{and} \quad (a+ib)^2 \nmid p \quad (\text{why?})$$

So one can use the Eisenstein criterion. ■

Hint. \mathbb{P} $a^2+b^2=p$, then $a \pm ib$ are irreducible;

. Show $a+ib \sim a-ib$, implies $p=2$.

Next we prove an extremely important theorem:

Theorem. Suppose A is a unital commutative ring.

\mathbb{P} A is Noetherian, then $A[x]$ is Noetherian.

Corollary. A finitely generated k -algebra A where k is a field is Noetherian.

Pf. Suppose $A = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$. Then $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow A$
 $x_i \mapsto a_i$

is an onto ring homomorphism. Hence $A \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{I}$.

By the previous theorem, $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noeth.; Hence any of its quotients is Noeth. ■

(An ideal of R/\mathcal{I} is of the form \mathfrak{b}/\mathcal{I} where $\mathfrak{b} \triangleleft R$ and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$. So if R is Noeth., then \mathfrak{b} is f.g.; therefore \mathfrak{b}/\mathcal{I} is f.g.)

Lecture 05: Beginning of proof of Hilbert's basis theorem

Friday, January 19, 2018 11:23 AM

Pf. Let \mathcal{O} be a non-zero ideal of $A[x]$. We'd like to show \mathcal{O} is f.g. (When A is a field, we use long division to show, any ideal of $A[x]$ is principal. The key idea of long division is cancelling out the leading term of $a_n x^n + \dots$ by a multiple of $g(x)$, and then continue this process. And we could do it as $\underline{a_n}$ is in the ideal gen. by the leading coeff. of g . Now we'd like to follow a similar idea and get rid of leading term.)

$$\text{Let } \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) := \{ a \in A \mid \exists \underset{a \neq 0}{\substack{\text{leading coeff. of} \\ \text{an element of } \mathcal{O}}} a x^n + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then $\text{ld}(\mathcal{O})$ is an ideal of A .

$$\cdot a, a' \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists a x^n + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \\ \exists a' x^m + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$x^m (a x^n + \dots) + x^n (a' x^m + \dots) = (a+a') x^{m+n} + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$$

So either $a+a'=0 \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O})$ or $a+a'$ is a leading coeff. of an elem. of $\mathcal{O} \Rightarrow$ in either case $a+a' \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O})$.

Lecture 05: Beginning of proof of Hilbert's basis theorem

Friday, January 19, 2018 11:37 AM

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot a \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) &\Rightarrow \exists ax^n + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \\ r \in A &\Rightarrow r(ax^n + \dots) = (ra)x^n + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \\ &\Rightarrow ra \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O}). \end{aligned}$$

Since A is Noeth., $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ s.t. $\text{ld}(\mathcal{O}) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$

As $a_i \in \text{ld}(\mathcal{O})$, $\exists f_i(x) = a_i x^{n_i} + \dots \in \mathcal{O}$.

(We will use f_i 's to clear leading terms till we get to a polynomial of $\text{deg} < \max \{n_i\}$; to access these polynomials we consider the following sets:)

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let

$$\text{ld}_m(\mathcal{O}) := \{a \in A \mid \exists ax^m + \dots \in \mathcal{O}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then $\text{ld}_m(\mathcal{O})$ is an ideal of A .

$$\cdot a, a' \in \text{ld}_m(\mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} ax^m + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \\ a'x^m + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \end{cases} \Rightarrow (a+a')x^m + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow a+a' \in \text{ld}_m(\mathcal{O})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot a \in \text{ld}_m(\mathcal{O}) &\Rightarrow ax^m + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \\ r \in A &\Rightarrow r(ax^m + \dots) = (ra)x^m + \dots \in \mathcal{O} \\ &\Rightarrow ra \in \text{ld}_m(\mathcal{O}). \end{aligned}$$

(In the next lecture, we will continue.)