

Lecture 19: Tensor functor is right exact

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 10:23 AM

Theorem. Let M be an (S, R) -bimodule. Then

$-\otimes_S M: \text{right } S\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{right } R\text{-mod}$ is a right exact functor.

Pf. Previous lecture we proved that it is a functor. Now we have to show

if $0 \rightarrow N_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} N_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} N_3 \rightarrow 0$ is a S.E.S.,

then $N_1 \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{f_1 \otimes \text{id}} N_2 \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{f_2 \otimes \text{id}} N_3 \otimes_S M \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

$\text{Im}(f_1 \otimes \text{id}) \subseteq \ker(f_2 \otimes \text{id})$

We know $(f_2 \otimes \text{id}) \circ (f_1 \otimes \text{id}) = (f_2 \circ f_1) \otimes \text{id} = 0$; and the claim follows.

So we get a right R -module homomorphism

$$\pi: N_2 \otimes_S M / \text{Im}(f_1 \otimes \text{id}) \rightarrow N_3 \otimes_S M, \pi([n_2 \otimes m]) := f_2(n_2) \otimes m.$$

Let $\psi: N_3 \times M \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_S M / \text{Im}(f_1 \otimes \text{id})$, $\psi(n_3, m) := [n_2 \otimes m]$

where $n_2 \in N_2$ and $f_2(n_2) = n_3$.

ψ is well-defined. $f_2(n_2) = f_2(n'_2) \Rightarrow n_2 - n'_2 \in \ker f_2 = \text{Im } f_1$

$$\Rightarrow \exists n_1 \in N_1, n_2 - n'_2 = f_1(n_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow n_2 \otimes m = n'_2 \otimes m + \underbrace{f_1(n_1) \otimes m}_{\text{Im}(f_1 \otimes \text{id})} \Rightarrow [n_2 \otimes m] = [n'_2 \otimes m].$$

S -balanced. $\psi(n_3 \cdot s, m) = [n_2 \cdot s \otimes m] = [n_2 \otimes s \cdot m] = \psi(n_3, s \cdot m)$

$$\boxed{f_2(n_2) = n_3 \Rightarrow f_2(n_2 \cdot s) = n_3 \cdot s}$$

Lecture 19: Tensor functor is right exact; flat modules

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 10:41 AM

linear in N_3 . $\varphi(n_3 - n_3', m) = [(n_3 - n_3') \otimes m] = [n_3 \otimes m] - [n_3' \otimes m]$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f_2(n_3) = n_3 \\ f_2(n_3') = n_3' \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f_2(n_3 - n_3') = n_3 - n_3' = \varphi(n_3, m) - \varphi(n_3', m)$$

\mathbb{R} -linear in M . $\varphi(n_3, m_1 r_1 + m_2 r_2) = [n_3 \otimes (m_1 r_1 + m_2 r_2)]$

$$= [n_3 \otimes m_1] r_1 + [n_3 \otimes m_2] r_2 = \varphi(n_3, m_1) r_1 + \varphi(n_3, m_2) r_2.$$

So $\exists \bar{\varphi}: N_3 \otimes_S M \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_S M / \text{Im}(f_1 \otimes \text{id})$, $\bar{\varphi}(n_3 \otimes m) = [n_3 \otimes m]$ where $f_2(n_3) = n_3$.

Therefore π and $\bar{\varphi}$ are inverses of each other; this implies

$\text{Im}(f_1 \otimes \text{id}) = \ker(f_2 \otimes \text{id})$ and $\text{Im}(f_2 \otimes \text{id}) = N_3 \otimes_S M$; and claim follows. ■

Corollary. Suppose M is an (S, R) -bimodule. Then $-\otimes_S M$ is an exact functor if and only if for an injective

$f \in \text{Hom}_S(N_1, N_2)$ we get that $f \otimes \text{id} \in \text{Hom}_R(N_1 \otimes_S M, N_2 \otimes_S M)$

is also injective.

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \xrightarrow{f} N_2 \text{ exact} \Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}} N_2 \otimes_S M \text{ exact}$$

Def. An (S, R) -bimodule is called flat if $-\otimes_S M$ is an exact functor.

Lecture 19: Associativity of tensor product

Wednesday, February 21, 2018 11:29 AM

Remark. Any left S -mod is an (S, \mathbb{Z}) -bimodule. So

for right S -mod N and left S -mod M , $N \otimes_S M$ is a well-defined abelian group. (And if M is an (S, R) -bimodule, then the two tensor products are "the same".)

And so we can and will talk about flat S -modules.

Lemma. Let M be an (S, R) -bimodule, N be a right S -module, and L be a left R -module; then

$$(N \otimes_S M) \otimes_R L \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L)$$
$$(n \otimes m) \otimes l \mapsto n \otimes (m \otimes l)$$

Pf. For $l_0 \in L$, let $f_{l_0}: N \times M \rightarrow N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L)$,

$$f_{l_0}(n, m) := n \otimes (m \otimes l_0).$$

S -balanced. $f_{l_0}(n \cdot s, m) = (n \cdot s) \otimes (m \otimes l_0) = n \otimes s \cdot (m \otimes l_0)$

$$= n \otimes (s \cdot m \otimes l_0) = f_{l_0}(n, s \cdot m)$$

Linear in N . $f_{l_0}(n_1 - n_2, m) = (n_1 - n_2) \otimes (m \otimes l_0)$

$$= n_1 \otimes (m \otimes l_0) - n_2 \otimes (m \otimes l_0)$$
$$= f_{l_0}(n_1, m) - f_{l_0}(n_2, m)$$

Lecture 19: Associativity of tensor product

Wednesday, February 21, 2018 11:32 AM

Linear in M. $f_{l_0}(n, m_1 - m_2) = n \otimes ((m_1 - m_2) \otimes l_0)$
 $= n \otimes (m_1 \otimes l_0) - n \otimes (m_2 \otimes l_0)$
 $= f_{l_0}(n, m_1) - f_{l_0}(n, m_2).$

So \exists an abelian group homomorphism $\varphi_{l_0}^f: N \otimes_S M \rightarrow N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L)$,

$$\varphi_{l_0}^f(n \otimes m) = n \otimes (m \otimes l_0).$$

Let $\varphi: (N \otimes_S M) \times L \rightarrow N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L)$, $\varphi(n \otimes m, l) := n \otimes (m \otimes l)$.

We have already proved that φ is well-defined and linear in the first factor.

R-balanced. $\varphi((n \otimes m) \cdot r, l) = \varphi(n \otimes mr, l)$
 $= n \otimes (mr \otimes l) = n \otimes (m \otimes rl) = \varphi(n \otimes m, rl)$

Linear in L. $\varphi(n \otimes m, l_1 - l_2) = n \otimes (m \otimes l_1 - l_2)$
 $= n \otimes (m \otimes l_1) - n \otimes (m \otimes l_2) = \varphi(n \otimes m, l_1) - \varphi(n \otimes m, l_2).$

So \exists an abelian gp homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}^f: (N \otimes_S M) \otimes_R L \rightarrow N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L)$
 $(n \otimes m) \otimes l \mapsto n \otimes (m \otimes l)$

Similarly one can prove that \exists an abelian gp hom.

$$\tilde{\theta}: N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L) \rightarrow (N \otimes_S M) \otimes_R L, n \otimes (m \otimes l) \mapsto (n \otimes m) \otimes l;$$

and $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^f$ are inverse of each other; and the claim follows.

Lecture 19: Tensor product of flat modules

Tuesday, February 20, 2018 11:12 PM

Theorem. Suppose N is a flat right S -mod and M is an (S, R) -bimodule which is a flat right R -mod. Then $N \otimes_S M$ is a flat R -mod.

Pf. Left R -mod $\xrightarrow{M \otimes_R -}$ Left S -mod $\xrightarrow{N \otimes_S -}$ Ab

$\xrightarrow{\text{exact functor}} \quad \quad \quad \xrightarrow{\text{exact functor}}$
 $\xrightarrow{N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R -)} \text{exact functor}$

Let $0 \rightarrow L_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} L_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} L_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a S.E.S.

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow & n \otimes (m \otimes f_1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & n \otimes (m \otimes f_1(L_1)) & & & \\
 & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes (\text{id} \otimes f_1)} & N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes (\text{id} \otimes f_2)} & N \otimes_S (M \otimes_R L_3) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \cong & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \cong & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \cong & \\
 & (N \otimes_S M) \otimes_R L_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f_1} & (N \otimes_S M) \otimes_R L_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f_2} & (N \otimes_S M) \otimes_R L_3 & \\
 & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & & \\
 & (n \otimes m) \otimes f_1(L_1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (n \otimes m) \otimes f_1(L_1) & & &
 \end{array}$$

And so, since the 1st row is a S.E.S, the 2nd row is a S.E.S. as well. \blacksquare