

Math200b, lecture 11

Golsefidy

We have proved that a module is projective if and only if it is a direct summand of a free module; in particular, any free module is projective. For a given ring A , we would like to know to what extent the converse of this statement holds; and if it fails, we would like to somehow “measure” how much it does! In general this is a hard question; in your HW assignment you will show that for a local commutative ring A , any finitely generated projective module is free. By a result of Kaplansky the same statement holds for a module that is not necessarily finitely generated. Next we show that for a PID, any finitely generated projective module is free.

Proposition 1 *Let D be an integral domain. Then*

free D -module \Rightarrow Projective \Rightarrow torsion-free.

If D is a PID, for a finitely generated D -module all the above properties are equivalent.

Proof. We have already discussed that a free module is projective. A projective module is a direct summand of a free module and a free module of an integral domain is torsion free. By the fundamental theorem of finitely generated modules over a PID, a torsion free finitely generated module over D is free; and claim follows. ■

Next we show $A = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-10}]$ has a finitely generated projective module that is not free. In fact any ideal of A is projective; and since it is not a PID, it has an ideal that is not free. Based on the mentioned result of Kaplansky, a projective module is locally free. And for finitely generated modules, the converse of this statement holds as well: a finitely generated locally free module is projective. Hence by the previous proposition, if A is a Noetherian integral domain and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a PID for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, then any ideal of A is projective. In math200c, we will prove that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-10}]$ has this property (it is a Dedekind domain). For now, however, we present a hands-on approach and point out the connection with fractional ideals, having an

inverse as a fractional ideal, and being projective.

Lemma 2 *Suppose D is an integral domain and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq D$. Then \mathfrak{a} is a free D -module if and only if \mathfrak{a} is a principal ideal.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Since \mathfrak{a} is a submodule of D , $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a} \leq \text{rank } D = 1$. So either $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a} = 0$ or $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a} = 1$. Since D has no zero-divisors, $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a} = 0$ implies $\mathfrak{a} = 0$. Hence if a non-zero ideal is a free D -module, then it has rank 1; and so $\mathfrak{a} = aD$ for some $a \in D$. And claim follows.

(\Leftarrow) Since \mathfrak{a} is principal, $\mathfrak{a} = aD$ for some $a \in D$. If $a = 0$, then $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ is a free D -module. If $a \neq 0$, then $x \mapsto ax$ is a D -module isomorphism from D to \mathfrak{a} ; and so \mathfrak{a} is a free D -module.

■

Example. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-10}]$ and $\mathfrak{a} := \langle 2, \sqrt{-10} \rangle$. Then \mathfrak{a} is not a free A -module.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that \mathfrak{a} is a free A -module; then by the previous lemma \mathfrak{a} is a principal ideal. So $\mathfrak{a} = Aa$ for some a . So $a|2$ and $a|\sqrt{-10}$; hence $N(a) | \gcd(4, 10) = 2$. So $N(a)$ is either 1 or 2. Since there is no $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x^2 + 10y^2 = 2$, we deduce that $N(a) = 1$, which implies \mathfrak{a} is a

unit; and so $\mathfrak{a} = A$. On the other hand, one can see that, for any $z \in \mathfrak{a}$, $N(z)$ is even; and so \mathfrak{a} is a proper ideal. Overall we get that \mathfrak{a} is not a free A -module. ■

In the next lecture, we show that \mathfrak{a} is a projective A -module.