Lecture 21: Composition series  
May, May 18, 2013 8:31 AM  
Proposition. Suppose M is an A-module and it has a composition  
series of length n. Then any composition series has length n and  
any series can be extended to a composition series.  
Pf. For a module N, let 
$$l(N)$$
 be the length of a shortest compos.  
Series (If there is no composition series, are say  $l(N) = \omega$ .)  
Step 1. If N  $\subseteq$ M is a proper submodule, then  $l(N) < l(M)$ .  
Pf of step 1. Suppose  $\omega = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_n$  is a compose.  
series of length n. Let  $N_1 := M_1 \cdot nN$ . Then  
 $Ni_{N_{1-1}} \subseteq Mi_{M_{1-1}}$  as A-mod. So either  $N_1 = N_{1-1}$  or  
 $Ni_{N_{1-1}} \subseteq Mi_{M_{1-1}}$  is a simple A-mod. Hence  
 $\omega = N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_n = N$  give us a composition series of length  
at most n. If length of this composition series is n, then  
 $\forall i, N_1 \neq N_{1-1}$ ; and so  $\forall i, N_1 \in M_1$ ; which contradicts  
 $N \neq M = M$ .

Lecture 21: Composition series Sunday, May 20, 2018 10:50 PM Step 2. If  $0 = M' \subseteq M' \subseteq \dots \subseteq M'_m = M$  is a composition series, then m=n. Pf of step 2. 0= l(M') < l(M') < ... < l(M'm) = l(M)  $\Rightarrow l(M) \ge m$ . And so l(M) = m. <u>Step 3</u>. Suppose  $o = K_{e} \neq K_{i} \neq \dots \neq K_{m} = M$  is a chain. So  $=l(k_0) < l(k_1) < \dots < l(k_m) = -l(M)$ , which implies  $m \le l(M)$ . If ZKig is not a compos series, then it can be enlarged; but we cannot add more that -L(M) many modules; and at that point, we should get a composition series. Def. A module is called Artinian if it satisfies the descending chain condition: if  $M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots$  is a (descending) chain of submod., then  $M_n = M_{n+1} = \cdots$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ Similar to the Noetherian case we have: any  $p \neq \sum$  consisting of submodel Lemma. M is Artinian  $\Leftrightarrow$  of M has a minimal element.

Lecture 21: Basic properties of Artinian modules Monday, May 21, 2018 9:59 AM Lemma . Suppose M is Artinian, and NCM is a submodule. Then N and M/N are Artinian. Lemma. If M, ..., Mn are Artinian, then M, ... . Mn is Artinian. Lemma. Suppose F is a field, and V is an F\_vector space. V is Noetherian <> dim V< 00 <>> V is Artinian. Then Cor. L(M)<00 + M is Artinian and Noetherian. Pt. (=>) Any chain has length < 2(M). And so M is Artinian and Noetherian. ( Inductively we define Mi's such that (0) Mo=0 (1) Mi/Mi-1 is a simple A-mod. For any i, let  $\sum_{i=2}^{i} = 2 N | M_{i-1} \notin N \subseteq M_{i}$ . Then  $\sum_{i}^{i}$  has a minimal element; let M; be a minimal element of Z;. Since M is Noetherian, for some n, Mn=M; and so -L(M) <0. To see the last claim, we notice that

Lecture 21: Artinian and composition series Sunday, May 20, 2018 11:04 PM if  $M_{i'}M_{i-1}$  is not a simple A-mod, then  $\exists o \neq N'_{M_{i-1}} \neq M_{i'}M_{i+1}$ which is a submod. And so NEZ; and NGM; which contradicts the minimality of Mi. Lemma. Suppose = +++; E Max A st. +++; ++++= o. Then A is Noetherian  $\iff$  A is Artinian. PP. (=>) We proved in the previous lecture that A has a compos. series  $\implies$  A is Artinian  $\cdot$  $( =) \quad \text{Let } \mathcal{T}_{i} = \mathsf{trr}_{i} \cdots \mathsf{trr}_{i}; \quad \text{then } \mathcal{T}_{i}/_{\mathcal{T}_{i+1}} = \mathcal{T}_{i}/_{\mathsf{trr}_{i+1}} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{i} \text{ is an }$ A/ -vector space. Since A is Artinian, Un/ULi+1 is an Artinian vector space. Hence it is finite dim . Hence OL ;/OL has a full flag. This implies l(A) < as; and so A is Noetherian. In the next lecture we will prove that A is Artinian  $\iff$  A is Noetherian and dim A = o.