

## MATH200C, LECTURE 12

GOLSEFIDY

### DIMENSION AND INTEGRAL EXTENSION

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$  is integral,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ , and  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \in (f^*)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{q}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$  is integral,  $\bar{f} : A/f^*(\mathfrak{q}_1) \hookrightarrow B/\mathfrak{q}_1$  is integral; and we have  $\bar{f}^*(\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_2) = 0$ . It is enough to show  $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_2 = 0$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_2 := \mathfrak{q}_2/\mathfrak{q}_1$  is not zero, and  $f(x) \in (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]$  is the smallest positive degree monic polynomial that has  $\bar{a}$  as a zero; say  $f(x) = x^n + \bar{a}_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_0$ . Then

$$\bar{a}_0 = -\bar{a}(\bar{a}^{n-1} + \bar{a}_{n-1}\bar{a}^{n-2} + \cdots + \bar{a}_1) \in \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_2 \cap \bar{f}(A/\mathfrak{p});$$

and so  $\bar{a}_0 \in \bar{f}^*(\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_2) = 0$ . This implies that  $\bar{a}$  is a zero  $x^{n-1} + \bar{a}_{n-1}x^{n-2} + \cdots + \bar{a}_1$ , which contradicts the way we chose  $f$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $B/A$  is an integral extension. Then  $\dim A = \dim B$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_n$  is a chain in  $\text{Spec}(B)$ . Then clearly  $f^*(\mathfrak{q}_i) \subseteq f^*(\mathfrak{q}_{i+1})$  for any  $i$ ; and by the previous lemma, equality cannot hold and so

$$f^*(\mathfrak{q}_0) \subsetneq f^*(\mathfrak{q}_1) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq f^*(\mathfrak{q}_n)$$

is a chain in  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , which implies that  $\dim B \leq \dim A$ .

For any chain  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$  in  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , by the Going-Up Theorem there is a chain

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_n$$

in  $\text{Spec}(B)$  (such that  $f^*(\mathfrak{q}_i) = \mathfrak{p}_i$  for any  $i$ ). Hence  $\dim A \leq \dim B$ ; and claim follows.  $\square$

## INTEGRAL OVER AN IDEAL

So far we have proved that if  $f : A \hookrightarrow B$  is integral, then  $f^*$  is onto and closed, and its fibers have dimension 0. Next we want to show that under certain additional conditions,  $f^*$  is also open, and get a better understanding of its fibers. To this end, we start with a technical lemma, and we will see its importance later.

Suppose  $B/A$  is a ring extension and  $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq A$ . We say  $b \in B$  is integral over  $A$  if there are  $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that

$$b^n + a_{n-1}b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $B/A$  is a ring extension and  $C$  is the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq A$ . Then*

$$b \in B \text{ is integral over } \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}^e}$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}^e$  is the extension of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $C$ ; in particular if  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then so are  $b_1 \pm b_2$  and  $b_1b_2$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $b$  is integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ ; that means there are  $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $b^n + a_{n-1}b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0$ . Hence  $b \in C$  and

$$b^n = -(a_{n-1}b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0) \in \mathfrak{a}^e,$$

which implies that  $b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}^e}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}^e}$ ; then there are  $c_i \in C$ ,  $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$(1) \quad b^n = c_1a_1 + \cdots + c_ma_m.$$

Let  $\overline{C} := A[c_1, \dots, c_m]$ . Since  $c_i$ 's are integral over  $A$ ,  $\overline{C}$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. By (1) we have

$$l_{b^n}(\overline{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\overline{C},$$

where  $\phi := l_{b^n} : \overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{C}$ ,  $l_{b^n}(x) := b^n x$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism. Therefore by a result that we proved earlier (which was used to show Nakayama's lemma) we have

$$\phi^k + a'_{k-1}\phi^{k-1} + \cdots + a'_0 = 0$$

in  $\text{End}_A(\overline{C})$  for some  $a'_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ . This implies that

$$b^{nk} + a'_{k-1}b^{n(k-1)} + \cdots + a'_0 = 0$$

for some  $a'_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ ; and claim follows.  $\square$

### MINIMAL POLYNOMIAL REVISITED

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose  $B/A$  is an integral extension,  $B$  is an integral domain,  $A$  is integrally closed, and  $F$  is the field of fractions of  $A$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq A$  and  $b \in B$  is integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let*

$$m_{b,F}(x) = x^m + c_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots + c_0 \in F[x]$$

*be the minimal polynomial of  $b$  over  $F$ . Then  $c_i \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$  for any  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $b$  is integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ , there is  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in A[x]$  such that  $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $f(b) = 0$ . Let  $E$  be a splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $F$ , and  $C$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $E$ . Then there are  $\beta_i \in E$  such that  $\beta_1 = b$  and  $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \beta_i)$ ; in particular all  $\beta_i$ 's are integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $f(b) = 0$ , we have that  $m_{b,F}(x) | f(x)$ , which implies that all the zeros of  $m_{b,F}(x)$  are integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Hence by the previous lemma all the coefficients of  $m_{b,F}(x)$  are integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ ; in particular  $c_i$ ' are integral over  $A$  and clearly they are in  $F$ . As  $A$  is integrally closed, we deduce that  $c_i$ 's are in  $A$ . Altogether we have  $c_i \in A$  and  $c_i$  is integral over  $\mathfrak{a}$ . So again by the previous lemma  $c_i \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ ; and claim follows.  $\square$

### GOING-DOWN THEOREM

**Proposition 5.** *Suppose  $B/A$  is an integral extension,  $B$  is an integral domain, and  $A$  is integrally closed. Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1$  are in  $\text{Spec}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in \text{Spec}(B)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_1$ . Then there is  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \in \text{Spec}(B)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_0$ .*

*Proof.* We have to focus on prime ideals in  $\mathfrak{q}_1$ ; that means we need to localize at  $\mathfrak{q}_1$ . Let  $S_{\mathfrak{q}_1} := B \setminus \mathfrak{q}_1$  and  $S_{\mathfrak{p}_1} := A \setminus \mathfrak{p}_1$ ; notice that  $S_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = S_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \cap A$ . So we have  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \subseteq S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}B \subseteq B_{\mathfrak{q}_1}$  (and  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \subseteq S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}B$  is an integral extension).

**Claim.** Let  $f : A_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}_1}$ . To prove the proposition, it is enough to show that  $S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0$  is in the image of  $f^*$ .

*Proof of Claim.* If  $f^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_0) = S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0$ , then there is  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \in \text{Spec}(B)$  such that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_0 = S_{\mathfrak{q}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_0$ ,

$$S_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0 = \emptyset \quad (\Rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_1.)$$

$$S_{\mathfrak{q}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}A = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0 \quad (x \in \mathfrak{p}_0 \Rightarrow \frac{x}{1} \in S_{\mathfrak{q}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_0 \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{q}_0,$$

$$x \in \mathfrak{q}_0 \cap A \Rightarrow \frac{x}{1} \in S_{\mathfrak{q}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}A \Rightarrow \frac{x}{1} \in S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0 \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p}_0.)$$

And so  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{q}_0 \cap A$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_1$ .

We have proved earlier that a prime ideal is in the image of  $f^*$  if and only if it is a contracted ideal. This means it is enough to prove  $(S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0)^{ec} = S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0$ . Suppose to the contrary  $\frac{a}{s} \in (S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0)^{ec} \setminus S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0$ ; so we are assuming there are  $a \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}_0$  and  $s \in S_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$  such that  $\frac{a}{s} \in \mathfrak{p}_0 B_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_0 A_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ . This means there are  $b_i \in B$ ,  $s_i \in S_{\mathfrak{q}_1}$ , and  $p_i \in \mathfrak{p}_0$  such that

$$\frac{b}{s} = \sum_i p_i \frac{b_i}{s_i}.$$

This implies that for some  $s'_i, s' \in S_{\mathfrak{q}_1}$  we have

$$as' = \sum_i s'_i p_i b_i \in \mathfrak{p}_0^e.$$

Hence  $as'$  is integral over  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ . Therefore by the previous lemma,

$$m_{as',F}(x) = x^m + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

for some  $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_0$  where  $F$  is the field of fractions of  $A$ . Notice that

$$m_{s',F}(x) = \frac{1}{a^n} m_{as',F}(ax),$$

as  $a \in A \subseteq F$ . We also notice that since  $s'$  is integral over  $A$ , by the previous lemma,  $m_{s',F}(x) \in A[x]$ ; say  $m_{s',F}(x) = x^m + a'_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots + a'_0$ . Then

$$a^i a'_{m-i} = a_{m-i} \in \mathfrak{p}_0.$$

As  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  is prime, we deduce that  $a'_{m-i} \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ . This means  $s'$  is integral over  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ ; and so

$$s' \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}_0^e} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}_1^e} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \mathfrak{q}_1,$$

which contradicts  $s' \in S_{\mathfrak{q}_1}$ . □

**Theorem 6** (Going-Down). *Suppose  $B/A$  is an integral extension,  $B$  is an integral domain, and  $A$  is integrally closed. Suppose*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}_m \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_n &\in \text{Spec}(B) \\ \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_m \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n &\in \text{Spec}(A), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Then *we can go down in the chain*; that means there are  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_{m-1}$  in  $\text{Spec}(B)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$ .

*Proof.* One can easily defined  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ 's inductively: for  $m = n + 1$ , one can use the surjectivity of  $f^*$ . And the induction step can be deduce by the above proposition.  $\square$

We will see how Going-Down can help us to show  $f^*$  is open.