

24. HÖLDER SPACES

Notation 24.1. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , $BC(\Omega)$ and $BC(\bar{\Omega})$ be the bounded continuous functions on Ω and $\bar{\Omega}$ respectively. By identifying $f \in BC(\bar{\Omega})$ with $f|_{\Omega} \in BC(\Omega)$, we will consider $BC(\bar{\Omega})$ as a subset of $BC(\Omega)$. For $u \in BC(\Omega)$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ let

$$\|u\|_u := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad [u]_{\beta} := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\beta}} \right\}.$$

If $[u]_{\beta} < \infty$, then u is **Hölder continuous** with holder exponent⁴³ β . The collection of β -Hölder continuous function on Ω will be denoted by

$$C^{0,\beta}(\Omega) := \{u \in BC(\Omega) : [u]_{\beta} < \infty\}$$

and for $u \in C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ let

$$(24.1) \quad \|u\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_u + [u]_{\beta}.$$

Remark 24.2. If $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $[u]_{\beta} < \infty$ for some $\beta > 1$, then u is constant on each connected component of Ω . Indeed, if $x \in \Omega$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ then

$$\left| \frac{u(x+th) - u(x)}{t} \right| \leq [u]_{\beta} t^{\beta} / t \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

which shows $\partial_h u(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. If $y \in \Omega$ is in the same connected component as x , then by Exercise 17.5 there exists a smooth curve $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\sigma(0) = x$ and $\sigma(1) = y$. So by the fundamental theorem of calculus and the chain rule,

$$u(y) - u(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} u(\sigma(t)) dt = \int_0^1 0 dt = 0.$$

This is why we do not talk about Hölder spaces with Hölder exponents larger than 1.

Lemma 24.3. *Suppose $u \in C^1(\Omega) \cap BC(\Omega)$ and $\partial_i u \in BC(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, then $u \in C^{0,1}(\Omega)$, i.e. $[u]_1 < \infty$.*

The proof of this lemma is left to the reader as Exercise 24.1.

Theorem 24.4. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Then*

- (1) *Under the identification of $u \in BC(\bar{\Omega})$ with $u|_{\Omega} \in BC(\Omega)$, $BC(\bar{\Omega})$ is a closed subspace of $BC(\Omega)$.*
- (2) *Every element $u \in C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ has a unique extension to a continuous function (still denoted by u) on $\bar{\Omega}$. Therefore we may identify $C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ with $C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset BC(\bar{\Omega})$. (In particular we may consider $C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ and $C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ to be the same when $\beta > 0$.)*
- (3) *The function $u \in C^{0,\beta}(\Omega) \rightarrow \|u\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)} \in [0, \infty)$ is a norm on $C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ which make $C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ into a Banach space.*

Proof. 1. The first item is trivial since for $u \in BC(\bar{\Omega})$, the sup-norm of u on $\bar{\Omega}$ agrees with the sup-norm on Ω and $BC(\bar{\Omega})$ is complete in this norm.

⁴³If $\beta = 1$, u is said to be Lipschitz continuous.

2. Suppose that $[u]_\beta < \infty$ and $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$ be a sequence such that $x_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Then

$$|u(x_n) - u(x_m)| \leq [u]_\beta |x_n - x_m|^\beta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

showing $\{u(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy so that $\bar{u}(x_0) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n)$ exists. If $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$ is another sequence converging to x_0 , then

$$|u(x_n) - u(y_n)| \leq [u]_\beta |x_n - y_n|^\beta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

showing $\bar{u}(x_0)$ is well defined. In this way we define $\bar{u}(x)$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ and let $\bar{u}(x) = u(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Since a similar limiting argument shows

$$|\bar{u}(x) - \bar{u}(y)| \leq [u]_\beta |x - y|^\beta \text{ for all } x, y \in \bar{\Omega}$$

it follows that \bar{u} is still continuous and $[\bar{u}]_\beta = [u]_\beta$. In the sequel we will abuse notation and simply denote \bar{u} by u .

3. For $u, v \in C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [v + u]_\beta &= \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|v(y) + u(y) - v(x) - u(x)|}{|x - y|^\beta} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \frac{|v(y) - v(x)| + |u(y) - u(x)|}{|x - y|^\beta} \right\} \leq [v]_\beta + [u]_\beta \end{aligned}$$

and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ it is easily seen that $[\lambda u]_\beta = |\lambda| [u]_\beta$. This shows $[\cdot]_\beta$ is a semi-norm on $C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ and therefore $\|\cdot\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)}$ defined in Eq. (24.1) is a norm.

To see that $C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ is complete, let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a $C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ -Cauchy sequence. Since $BC(\bar{\Omega})$ is complete, there exists $u \in BC(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\|u - u_n\|_u \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $x, y \in \Omega$ with $x \neq y$,

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\beta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|}{|x - y|^\beta} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [u_n]_\beta \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)} < \infty,$$

and so we see that $u \in C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|u(x) - u_n(x) - (u(y) - u_n(y))|}{|x - y|^\beta} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|(u_m - u_n)(x) - (u_m - u_n)(y)|}{|x - y|^\beta} \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} [u_m - u_n]_\beta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

showing $[u - u_n]_\beta \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u - u_n\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)} = 0$. ■

Notation 24.5. Since Ω and $\bar{\Omega}$ are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, we may define $C_0(\Omega)$ and $C_0(\bar{\Omega})$ as in Definition 10.29. We will also let

$$C_0^{0,\beta}(\Omega) := C^{0,\beta}(\Omega) \cap C_0(\Omega) \text{ and } C_0^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega}) := C^{0,\beta}(\Omega) \cap C_0(\bar{\Omega}).$$

It has already been shown in Proposition 10.30 that $C_0(\Omega)$ and $C_0(\bar{\Omega})$ are closed subspaces of $BC(\Omega)$ and $BC(\bar{\Omega})$ respectively. The next proposition describes the relation between $C_0(\Omega)$ and $C_0(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proposition 24.6. *Each $u \in C_0(\Omega)$ has a unique extension to a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$ given by $\bar{u} = u$ on Ω and $\bar{u} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and the extension \bar{u} is in $C_0(\bar{\Omega})$. Conversely if $u \in C_0(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $u|_\Omega \in C_0(\Omega)$. In this way we may identify $C_0(\Omega)$ with those $u \in C_0(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.*

Proof. Any extension $u \in C_0(\Omega)$ to an element $\bar{u} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is necessarily unique, since Ω is dense inside $\bar{\Omega}$. So define $\bar{u} = u$ on Ω and $\bar{u} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. We must show \bar{u} is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{u} \in C_0(\bar{\Omega})$.

For the continuity assertion it is enough to show \bar{u} is continuous at all points in $\partial\Omega$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, by assumption, the set $K_\epsilon := \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq \epsilon\}$ is a compact subset of Ω . Since $\partial\Omega = \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$, $\partial\Omega \cap K_\epsilon = \emptyset$ and therefore the distance, $\delta := d(K_\epsilon, \partial\Omega)$, between K_ϵ and $\partial\Omega$ is positive. So if $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $y \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $|y - x| < \delta$, then $|\bar{u}(x) - \bar{u}(y)| = |u(y)| < \epsilon$ which shows $\bar{u} : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. This also shows $\{|\bar{u}| \geq \epsilon\} = \{|u| \geq \epsilon\} = K_\epsilon$ is compact in Ω and hence also in $\bar{\Omega}$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows $\bar{u} \in C_0(\bar{\Omega})$.

Conversely if $u \in C_0(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then $K_\epsilon := \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : |u(x)| \geq \epsilon\}$ is a compact subset of $\bar{\Omega}$ which is contained in Ω since $\partial\Omega \cap K_\epsilon = \emptyset$. Therefore K_ϵ is a compact subset of Ω showing $u|_\Omega \in C_0(\bar{\Omega})$. ■

Definition 24.7. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Let $BC^k(\Omega)$ ($BC^k(\bar{\Omega})$) denote the set of k -times continuously differentiable functions u on Ω such that $\partial^\alpha u \in BC(\Omega)$ ($\partial^\alpha u \in BC(\bar{\Omega})$)⁴⁴ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. Similarly, let $BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$ denote those $u \in BC^k(\Omega)$ such that $[\partial^\alpha u]_\beta < \infty$ for all $|\alpha| = k$. For $u \in BC^k(\Omega)$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C^k(\Omega)} &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_u \text{ and} \\ \|u\|_{C^{k,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})} &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_u + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [\partial^\alpha u]_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 24.8. *The spaces $BC^k(\Omega)$ and $BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$ equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{C^k(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C^{k,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})}$ respectively are Banach spaces and $BC^k(\bar{\Omega})$ is a closed subspace of $BC^k(\Omega)$ and $BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega) \subset BC^k(\bar{\Omega})$. Also*

$$C_0^{k,\beta}(\Omega) = C_0^{k,\beta}(\bar{\Omega}) = \{u \in BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega) : \partial^\alpha u \in C_0(\Omega) \forall |\alpha| \leq k\}$$

is a closed subspace of $BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset BC^k(\Omega)$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $\{\partial^\alpha u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $BC(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$. Since $BC(\Omega)$ is complete, there exists $g_\alpha \in BC(\Omega)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\partial^\alpha u_n - g_\alpha\|_u = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. Letting $u := g_0$, we must show $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ and $\partial^\alpha u = g_\alpha$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. This will be done by induction on $|\alpha|$. If $|\alpha| = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose that we have verified $u \in C^l(\Omega)$ and $\partial^\alpha u = g_\alpha$ for all $|\alpha| \leq l$ for some $l < k$. Then for $x \in \Omega$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently small,

$$\partial^\alpha u_n(x + te_i) = \partial^\alpha u_n(x) + \int_0^t \partial_i \partial^\alpha u_n(x + \tau e_i) d\tau.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this equation gives

$$\partial^\alpha u(x + te_i) = \partial^\alpha u(x) + \int_0^t g_{\alpha+e_i}(x + \tau e_i) d\tau$$

from which it follows that $\partial_i \partial^\alpha u(x)$ exists for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\partial_i \partial^\alpha u = g_{\alpha+e_i}$. This completes the induction argument and also the proof that $BC^k(\Omega)$ is complete.

⁴⁴To say $\partial^\alpha u \in BC(\bar{\Omega})$ means that $\partial^\alpha u \in BC(\Omega)$ and $\partial^\alpha u$ extends to a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$.

It is easy to check that $BC^k(\bar{\Omega})$ is a closed subspace of $BC^k(\Omega)$ and by using Exercise 24.1 and Theorem 24.4 that $BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $BC^k(\bar{\Omega})$. The fact that $C_0^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$ is a consequence of Proposition 10.30.

To prove $BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$ is complete, let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega)$ be a $\|\cdot\|_{C^{k,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})}$ -Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of $BC^k(\Omega)$ just proved, there exists $u \in BC^k(\Omega)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u - u_n\|_{C^k(\Omega)} = 0$. An application of Theorem 24.4 then shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\partial^\alpha u_n - \partial^\alpha u\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)} = 0$ for $|\alpha| = k$ and therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u - u_n\|_{C^{k,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})} = 0$. ■

The reader is asked to supply the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 24.9. *The following inclusions hold. For any $\beta \in [0, 1]$*

$$\begin{aligned} BC^{k+1,0}(\Omega) &\subset BC^{k,1}(\Omega) \subset BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega) \\ BC^{k+1,0}(\bar{\Omega}) &\subset BC^{k,1}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset BC^{k,\beta}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 24.10. Let $A : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded operator between two (separable) Banach spaces. Then A is **compact** if $A[B_X(0, 1)]$ is precompact in Y or equivalently for any $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq 1$ for all n the sequence $y_n := Ax_n \in Y$ has a convergent subsequence.

Example 24.11. Let $X = \ell^2 = Y$ and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$, then $A : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $(Ax)(n) = \lambda_n x(n)$ is compact.

Proof. Suppose $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \ell^2$ such that $\|x_j\|^2 = \sum |x_j(n)|^2 \leq 1$ for all j . By Cantor's Diagonalization argument, there exists $\{j_k\} \subset \{j\}$ such that, for each n , $\tilde{x}_k(n) = x_{j_k}(n)$ converges to some $\tilde{x}(n) \in \mathbb{C}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since for any $M < \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^M |\tilde{x}(n)|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^M |\tilde{x}_k(n)|^2 \leq 1$$

we may conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\tilde{x}(n)|^2 \leq 1$, i.e. $\tilde{x} \in \ell^2$.

Let $y_k := A\tilde{x}_k$ and $y := A\tilde{x}$. We will finish the verification of this example by showing $y_k \rightarrow y$ in ℓ^2 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed if $\lambda_M^* = \max_{n \geq M} |\lambda_n|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\tilde{x}_k - A\tilde{x}\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\lambda_n|^2 |\tilde{x}_k(n) - \tilde{x}(n)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^M |\lambda_n|^2 |\tilde{x}_k(n) - \tilde{x}(n)|^2 + |\lambda_M^*|^2 \sum_{n=M+1}^\infty |\tilde{x}_k(n) - \tilde{x}(n)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^M |\lambda_n|^2 |\tilde{x}_k(n) - \tilde{x}(n)|^2 + |\lambda_M^*|^2 \|\tilde{x}_k - \tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^M |\lambda_n|^2 |\tilde{x}_k(n) - \tilde{x}(n)|^2 + 4|\lambda_M^*|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in this inequality then implies

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A\tilde{x}_k - A\tilde{x}\|^2 \leq 4|\lambda_M^*|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

■

Lemma 24.12. *If $X \xrightarrow{A} Y \xrightarrow{B} Z$ are continuous operators such that either A or B is compact then the composition $BA : X \rightarrow Z$ is also compact.*

Proof. If A is compact and B is bounded, then $BA(B_X(0, 1)) \subset \overline{B(AB_X(0, 1))}$ which is compact since the image of compact sets under continuous maps are compact. Hence we conclude that $\overline{BA(B_X(0, 1))}$ is compact, being the closed subset of the compact set $\overline{B(AB_X(0, 1))}$.

If A is continuous and B is compact, then $A(B_X(0, 1))$ is a bounded set and so by the compactness of B , $BA(B_X(0, 1))$ is a precompact subset of Z , i.e. BA is compact. ■

Proposition 24.13. *Let $\Omega \subset_o \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact and $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$. Then the inclusion map $i : C^\beta(\bar{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact.*

Let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C^\beta(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\|u_n\|_{C^\beta} \leq 1$, i.e. $\|u_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$|u_n(x) - u_n(y)| \leq |x - y|^\beta \text{ for all } x, y \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

By Arzela-Ascoli, there exists a subsequence of $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\tilde{u}_n \rightarrow u$ in C^0 . Since

$$|u(x) - u(y)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tilde{u}_n(x) - \tilde{u}_n(y)| \leq |x - y|^\beta,$$

$u \in C^\beta$ as well. Define $g_n := u - \tilde{u}_n \in C^\beta$, then

$$[g_n]_\beta + \|g_n\|_{C^0} = \|g_n\|_{C^\beta} \leq 2$$

and $g_n \rightarrow 0$ in C^0 . To finish the proof we must show that $g_n \rightarrow 0$ in C^α . Given $\delta > 0$,

$$[g_n]_\alpha = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|g_n(x) - g_n(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq A_n + B_n$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|g_n(x) - g_n(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} : x \neq y \text{ and } |x - y| \leq \delta \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|g_n(x) - g_n(y)|}{|x - y|^\beta} \cdot |x - y|^{\beta - \alpha} : x \neq y \text{ and } |x - y| \leq \delta \right\} \\ &\leq \delta^{\beta - \alpha} \cdot [g_n]_\beta \leq 2\delta^{\beta - \alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_n = \sup \left\{ \frac{|g_n(x) - g_n(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} : |x - y| > \delta \right\} \leq 2\delta^{-\alpha} \|g_n\|_{C^0} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [g_n]_\alpha \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n \leq 2\delta^{\beta - \alpha} + 0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \downarrow 0.$$

This proposition generalizes to the following theorem which the reader is asked to prove in Exercise 24.2 below.

Theorem 24.14. *Let Ω be a precompact open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ and $k, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $j + \beta > k + \alpha$, then $C^{j, \beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compactly contained in $C^{k, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$.*

24.1. Exercises.

Exercise 24.1. Prove Lemma 24.3.

Exercise 24.2. Prove Theorem 24.14. **Hint:** First prove $C^{j,\beta}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset\subset C^{j,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact if $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$. Then use Lemma 24.12 repeatedly to handle all of the other cases.