

Lecture 11. Wed. 4/20/2022

Today: Stability of Sinkhorn's algorithm. Log-domain method

Given  $a \in \mathbb{R}_m^+$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $C \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ .

Entropy regularised OT:  $\min_{P \in \mathcal{A}(a,b)} E_\varepsilon[P]$ .

$$E_\varepsilon[P] = \langle P, C \rangle + \varepsilon \langle P(\log P - 1), I_m \rangle.$$

If  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ , then using the method of Lagrange multipliers, we have that the unique minimizer is  $P_\varepsilon = [P_{ij}] \in \mathcal{A}(a,b)$ :  $P_{ij} = e^{-(C_{ij} - f_i - g_j)/\varepsilon} = e^{f_i/\varepsilon} e^{-C_{ij}/\varepsilon} e^{g_j/\varepsilon}$ .

Sinkhorn's algorithm: Let  $K = e^{-C_{ij}/\varepsilon}$ . Find  $U, V$  s.t  $(U, K, V) \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ ,  $U^{(k)} = \frac{a}{K V^{(k-1)}}$ ,  $V^{(k)} = \frac{b}{K^\top U^{(k)}}$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ )

Potential issue:  $\varepsilon$  too small  $\Rightarrow$  instability in computing  $C_{ij}/\varepsilon$  and hence  $U, V$ .

Work on the dual problem.

The dual problem of a constrained convex optimization problem:

$$\text{Primal: } \begin{array}{l} \min_x f(x) \\ \text{subject to: } h(x) = 0 \end{array}$$

The Lagrangian function

$$L(x, \lambda) = f(x) - \lambda \cdot h(x)$$

It's dual function is

$$g(\lambda) := \inf_x L(x, \lambda).$$

Dual:  $\max_\lambda g(\lambda)$ .

A special case:  $h(x) = Ax - c$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda) &= \inf_x f[x] [f(x) - \lambda \cdot (Ax - c)] \\ &= -\sup_x [-f(x) + \lambda \cdot (Ax - c)] \\ &= -\sup_x [A^T \lambda \cdot x - f(x)] + c^T x \\ &= -f^*(A^T \lambda) + c^T x. \end{aligned}$$

$f^*(\xi) = \sup_x (\xi \cdot x - f(x))$  — Legendre transform of  $f$ .

Back to  $F_\varepsilon[\rho]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\rho, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}(\rho, f, g) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \rho_{ij} + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \rho_{ij} (\log \rho_{ij} - 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} f_i (\rho_{ij} - a_i) + \sum_{i,j} g_j (\rho_{ij} - b_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \rho_{ke}} &= 0 \quad c_{ke} - f_k - g_e + \varepsilon \log \rho_{ke} = 0. \\ \rho_{ke} &= e^{(c_{ke} - f_k - g_e)/\varepsilon} =: e^{q_{ke}} \\ q_{ke} &= -(c_{ke} - f_k - g_e)/\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, g) &= L_\varepsilon(f, g) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} e^{q_{ij}} + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} e^{q_{ij}} (q_{ij} - 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} f_i (e^{q_{ij}} - a_i) + \sum_{i,j} g_j (e^{q_{ij}} - b_j) \\ &= \sum_i f_i a_i + \sum_j g_j b_j - \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} e^{q_{ij}} \\ &= \langle f, a \rangle + \langle g, b \rangle - \varepsilon \langle e^{f/\varepsilon}, e^{-c/\varepsilon} e^{g/\varepsilon} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Or:  $f(x) = x(\log x - 1) \Rightarrow f^*(\xi) = e^\xi$ .

The dual problem (dual to the entropy-regularized OT problem):

$$\max_{(f, g) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n} L_\varepsilon(f, g).$$

Proposition  $\exists ! (f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.

$$(f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon) = \arg \max_{(f, g) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n} L_\varepsilon(f, g).$$

Moreover, for  $u_\varepsilon = e^{f_\varepsilon/\varepsilon}$ ,  $v_\varepsilon = e^{g_\varepsilon/\varepsilon}$ , and  $K_\varepsilon = e^{-C/\varepsilon}$ ,

$$p_\varepsilon := \text{diag}(u_\varepsilon) K_\varepsilon \text{diag}(v_\varepsilon) = \underset{p \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)}{\text{argmin}} E_\varepsilon[p].$$

Proof  $L_\varepsilon$  is smooth, convex, and bounded above. As  $(f, g) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $L_\varepsilon(f, g) \rightarrow -\infty$ . Thus,  $L_\varepsilon$  has a unique maximizer  $(f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon)$ . It is also the unique critical point of  $L_\varepsilon$ . Hence,  $\partial L / \partial f_{\varepsilon i} = 0$ ,  $\partial L / \partial g_{\varepsilon j} = 0$   $\forall i, j$ .

$$\frac{\partial L_\varepsilon}{\partial f_{\varepsilon i}} = 0 \Rightarrow a_i - \sum_j e^{f_i/\varepsilon} e^{-C\varepsilon j/\varepsilon} e^{g_j/\varepsilon} = 0 \Rightarrow a_i = \sum_j p_{\varepsilon ij}, \quad \forall i$$

$$\frac{\partial L_\varepsilon}{\partial g_{\varepsilon j}} = 0 \Rightarrow b_j = \sum_i p_{\varepsilon ij} \quad \forall j. \quad \text{So, } p_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}(a, b). \quad \text{But,}$$

the unique minimizer of  $E_\varepsilon[\cdot]$  over  $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$  is exactly  $\text{diag}(u) K_\varepsilon \text{diag}(v) \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . QED.

A dual Sinkhorn algorithm. The maximizer  $(f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon)$  of  $L_\varepsilon(f, g)$  satisfies

$$\nabla_f L_\varepsilon(f, g) = a - e^{f/\varepsilon} \odot K_\varepsilon e^{g/\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\nabla_g L_\varepsilon(f, g) = b - e^{g/\varepsilon} \odot K_\varepsilon^\top e^{f/\varepsilon} = 0$$

Given  $g^{(0)}$ :  $f^{(0)} = \varepsilon \log a - \varepsilon \log(K_\varepsilon e^{g^{(0)}/\varepsilon})$

$$g^{(k)} = \varepsilon \log b - \varepsilon \log(K_\varepsilon^\top e^{f^{(k)}/\varepsilon}), \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

Primal vs. Dual:  $(f^{(k)}, g^{(k)}) = \varepsilon (\log u^{(k)}, \log v^{(k)})$ .

But the dual prob. still has  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ .

observe

$$-\varepsilon \log(K_\varepsilon e^{\frac{f_i^{(k)}}{\varepsilon}}) = -\varepsilon \log\left(\sum_j K_{\varepsilon,ij} e^{\frac{f_i^{(k)}}{\varepsilon}}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\varepsilon \log\left(\sum_j e^{-(c_{ij} - f_i^{(k)})/\varepsilon}\right) = -\varepsilon \log\left(\sum_j e^{-((C^T e_i)_j - f_i^{(k)})/\varepsilon}\right) \\ &= -\varepsilon \log \sum_j (e^{-z_j}/\varepsilon) = \min_z z \quad (\text{soft-min}) \end{aligned}$$

This is familiar, e.g., in statistical mechanics.

Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Define

$$F_\varepsilon(z) = -\varepsilon \log \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N e^{-z_i/\varepsilon}}, \quad \varepsilon = T: \text{temperature}$$

$\uparrow$   
free energy

$$Z_\varepsilon : \text{partition function}$$

Proposition Given  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\min_z z := \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} z_i = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F_\varepsilon(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ -\varepsilon \log \left( \sum_i e^{-z_i/\varepsilon} \right) \right].$$

Proof Exercise. (Q.E.D.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Given } g^{(0)}, \quad f^{(k)} &= \varepsilon \log a - \min_z (C e_j - g^{(k-1)}), \\ g^{(k)} &= \varepsilon \log b - \min_z (C^T e_i - f^{(k)}), \quad k=1, 2, \dots. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \min_z z &= F_\varepsilon(z) = -\varepsilon \log \left( \sum_i e^{-z_i/\varepsilon} \right) \\ &= -\varepsilon \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-(z_i - \min z)/\varepsilon} \cdot e^{-\min z/\varepsilon} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \min z - \varepsilon \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-(z_i - \min z)/\varepsilon} \right)$$

Note, (1)  $z_i - \min z = 0$  or strictly positive.

If  $z_i > \min z$ , then  $e^{-(z_i - \min z)/\varepsilon}$  remains uniformly bounded for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So, stable!

(2)  $\min z$  is unknown, but can be approximated by an iteration process.

### The Log-domain method

$$f^{(k)} = \min_{\xi}^{\text{row}} (C - f^{(k-1)} \oplus g^{(k-1)}) - f^{(k-1)} + \varepsilon \log a,$$

$$g^{(k)} = \min_{\xi}^{\text{col}} (C - f^{(k)} \oplus g^{(k-1)}) - g^{(k-1)} + \varepsilon \log b.$$

stable now! But price to pay: evaluate  $\log m \cdot n$  times for each  $k$ . — slower!