

Lecture 18, Friday, May 6, 2002

Today's and next lecture:

- (1) Lifting properties of X to $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$: Polish, compact, but not "proper".
- (2) Characterization of convergence in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$.
- (3) Some examples.

Recall:

Theorem If X is a Polish space, then $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ is a metric space.

The β -metric of $\mathcal{P}(X)$:

$$\beta(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_X f d\mu - \int_X f d\nu \right| : f \in BL(X), \|f\|_{BL} \leq 1 \right\}$$

⊙ If X is separable, then $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ narrowly \Leftrightarrow

$$\int_X f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_X f d\mu \quad \forall f \in BL(X) \Leftrightarrow \beta(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0.$$

⊙ If X is a Polish space, then $(\mathcal{P}(X), \beta)$ is complete.

Theorem Let X be a Polish space. Then $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ is a complete metric space.

Proof Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$.

Since $\beta(\mu, \nu) \leq W_2(\mu, \nu) \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$, $\{\mu_n\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{P}(X), \beta)$, a complete metric space. Hence, $\beta(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. We show that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ and $W_2(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $N \geq 1$ be such that $W_2(\mu_m, \mu_n) \leq \varepsilon$ for $m, n \geq N$.

We claim for a given $\bar{x} \in X$ and $n \geq N$ that

$$\int_X d^2(x, \bar{x}) d\mu(x) \leq 2\varepsilon^2 + 2 \int_X d^2(y, \bar{x}) d\mu_n(y) < \infty, \quad (*)$$

which would imply $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$, and

$$W_2(\mu, \mu_n) \leq \varepsilon,$$

(**)

which would imply $W_2(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\gamma_{m,n} \in \mathcal{A}(\mu_m, \nu_n)$ be such that

$$W_2^2(\mu_m, \mu_n) = \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, y) d\gamma_{m,n}(x, y).$$

Since $\beta(\mu_m, \mu) \rightarrow 0$, $\mu_m \rightarrow \mu$ narrowly, and $\{\mu_m\}$ is precompact narrowly. Hence, by Prokhorov's Theorem, $\{\mu_m\}$ is equi-tight. $\forall \delta > 0$. \exists compact $K \subseteq X$ such that $\mu_m(X \setminus K) < \delta$ for all $m \geq 1$. Thus, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\pi_{m,n}(X \times X \setminus (K \times K)) \leq \pi_{m,n}((X \setminus K) \times X) = \mu_m(X \setminus K) < \delta \quad (m=1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence, $\{\pi_{m,n}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is equi-tight. By Prokhorov's Theorem again, $\forall n \geq 1$, $\exists \gamma_n \in \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ and a subseq. $\{\gamma_{m_k, n}\}$ of $\{\gamma_{m,n}\}$ such that $\gamma_{m_k, n} \rightarrow \gamma_n$ narrowly in $\mathcal{P}(X \times X)$.

But $\pi_{\#}^X$ is continuous w.r.t. the narrow convergence, thus $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mu, \mu_n)$.

Let $\bar{x} \in X$. For $m_k, n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X d^2(x, \bar{x}) d\mu_{m_k}(x) &= \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, \bar{x}) d\gamma_{m_k, n}(x, y) \\ &\leq 2 \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, y) d\gamma_{m_k, n}(x, y) + 2 \int_{X \times X} d^2(y, \bar{x}) d\gamma_{m_k, n}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 W_2^2(\mu_{m_k}, \mu_n) + 2 \int_{X \times X} d^2(y, \bar{x}) d\gamma_{m_k, n}(x, y)$$

$$\leq 2 \varepsilon^2 + 2 \int_X d^2(y, \bar{x}) d\mu_n(y).$$

Sending $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get (*). Since $\gamma_{m_k, n} \rightarrow \gamma_n$ narrowly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, y) d\gamma_n(x, y) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, y) d\gamma_{m_k, n}(x, y) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} W_2^2(\mu_{m_k}, \mu_n) \leq \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{for any } n \geq N. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mu, \mu_n)$,

$$W_2^2(\mu, \mu_n) \leq \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, y) d\gamma_n(x, y) \leq \varepsilon^2 \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

This is $(**)$ QED.

Theorem (Characterization of W_2 -convergence). Let X be a Polish space, and $\mu_n, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$).

(1) If $\mu_n \xrightarrow{W_2} \mu$ then $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ narrowly and for any $x_0 \in X$

$$\int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu_n(x) \rightarrow \int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu(x) \quad (*)$$

(2) If $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ narrowly and $(*)$ holds true for some $x_0 \in X$, then $\mu_n \xrightarrow{W_2} \mu$.

Remark. The condition $(*)$ is necessary; cf. an example below.

Corollary. If X is a compact metric space then $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2) = (\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$ is a compact metric space.

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in X$. Then $x \mapsto d(x, x_0)$ is bounded and continuous on X , where d is the metric of X . Thus, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) \Rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}_2(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Since X is compact, $[C_b(X)]^* = [C(X)]^*$, and $\mathcal{M}_f(X) \cong [C(X)]^*$. $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq$ closed unit ball of $[C(X)]^*$, which is compact w.r.t. the weak- $*$ topology, same as the narrow topology. Now, for any sequence $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}_2(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), there exists a subseq. $\{\mu_{n_j}\}$ and some $F \in [C(X)]^*$ such $\mu_{n_j} \rightarrow F$ weak- $*$. By Riesz's Theorem, $F \in \mathcal{M}_f^+(X)$. Moreover, $F(X) = 1$ as all $\mu_{n_j}(X) = 1$. Thus, $F \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Hence, any $\{\mu_n\}$, a sequence in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ has a convergent subseq. So, $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ is compact. QED

The following observation is interesting:

Proposition If X is a Polish space but unbounded, then $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ is not locally compact.

Proof We show that for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ and any $R > 0$, the closed ball $\overline{B}(\mu, R)$ in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ is not compact.

Pick up some $x_0 \in X$. Let $x_n \in X$ be such that $0 < d(x_n, x_0) \uparrow \infty$. Set for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\varepsilon_n = R^2 \left[2 \int_X d^2(x, x_0)^2 d\mu(x) + 2 d^2(x_n, x_0) \right]^{-1} \downarrow 0.$$

$$\mu_n = (1 - \varepsilon_n)\mu + \varepsilon_n \delta_{x_0} \in \mathcal{P}_2(X).$$

$$\hat{\mu}_n = (1 - \varepsilon_n)\mu + \varepsilon_n \delta_{x_n} \in \mathcal{P}_2(X).$$

$$\gamma_n = (1 - \varepsilon_n)(\text{Id} \times \text{Id})\# \mu + \varepsilon_n (\text{Id} \times x_0)\# \mu,$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_n = (1 - \varepsilon_n)(\text{Id} \times \text{Id})\# \mu + \varepsilon_n \delta_{x_n} \times \delta_{x_0}.$$

[Recall: $\text{Id} \times T: X \rightarrow X \times Y: x \mapsto (x, T(x))$.
If $T\# \nu_1 = \nu_2$ then $\gamma_T = (\text{Id} \times T)\# \nu_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$.]

It can be verified that $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mu, \hat{\mu}_n)$ and $\hat{\gamma}_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mu_n, \hat{\mu}_n)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2^2(\mu_n, \mu) &\leq 2 W_2^2(\mu_n, \hat{\mu}_n) + 2 W_2^2(\mu, \hat{\mu}_n) \\ &\leq 2 \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, y) d\hat{\gamma}_n(x, y) + 2 \int_{X \times X} d^2(x, y) d\gamma_n(x, y) \\ &= 2 \varepsilon_n d^2(x_n, x_0) + 2 \varepsilon_n \int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu(x) \\ &= R^2 \quad (n=1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mu_n \in \overline{B}(\mu, R)$ w.r.t. W_2 ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu_n(x) \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[(1 - \varepsilon_n) \int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu + \varepsilon_n d^2(x_n, x_0) \right] \\
&= \int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu + \frac{\mathbb{R}^2}{2}. \quad (***)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if there exists a subseq. of μ_n , $\mu_{n_j} \xrightarrow{W_2} \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$. Then, $\mu_{n_j} \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}$ narrowly. But clearly $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ narrowly. Thus $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$. Then, $\mu_{n_j} \xrightarrow{W_2} \mu$, which should imply that

$$\int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu_{n_j}(x) \rightarrow \int_X d^2(x, x_0) d\mu(x).$$

This contradicts (**). QED

The example below demonstrates two points.

- (1) The condition (*) for some x_0 in the main theorem of convergence is necessary. If (*) fails for some $x_0 \in X$, then $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in W_2 , but it is still possible that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ narrowly.
- (2) If X is a Polish space, and is also proper, then $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ may not be proper. Recall that a metric space is proper, if bounded and closed sets are compact. In a proper space, any bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Example $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mu_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})\delta_0 + \frac{1}{n}\delta_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), and $\mu = \delta_0$. Then, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d^2(x, 0) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^2 d\delta_0(x) = 0.$$

Also, each $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d^2(x, 0) d\mu_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^2 d\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\delta_0 + \frac{1}{n^2}\delta_n\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} n^2 = 1.$$

By the condition (*) in the convergence theorem, no subseq. of $\{\mu_n\}$ is W_2 -convergent. In particular,

$\mu_n \not\rightarrow \mu$ in W_2 . But, $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ narrowly, as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)f(0) + \frac{1}{n^2}f(n) \rightarrow f(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

If $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{A}(\mu_n, \mu)$ then $\gamma_n(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}) = \mu(\{0\}) = 1$, and hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} |x-y|^2 d\gamma(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} x^2 d\gamma(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 d\mu_n(x) = \frac{n^2}{n^2} = 1.$$

Hence, $W_2(\mu_n, \mu) = 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), and $\{\mu_n\}$ is W_2 -bounded.