

Lecture 9, Friday, 4/15/2022

⊙ Sinkhorn's algorithm: Proof of Convergence.

Let $a \in \mathcal{P}_m$, $b \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $C > 0$.

$$\mathcal{X}(a, b) = \{P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}; P \geq 0, \sum_j P_{ij} = a_i \forall i, \sum_i P_{ij} = b_j \forall j\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Define

$$F_\varepsilon[P] = \langle P, C \rangle + \varepsilon \langle P(\log P - 1), \mathbb{1}_{m \times n} \rangle.$$

Entropically regularized OT prob: $\min_{P \in \mathcal{X}(a, b)} F_\varepsilon[P]$.

$$\mathcal{L}(P, \lambda) \stackrel{(f, g)}{=} F_\varepsilon[P] - \sum_i f_i (\sum_j P_{ij} - a_i) - \sum_j g_j (\sum_i P_{ij} - b_j).$$

$\partial_{f_i} \mathcal{L} = 0 \Rightarrow$ row sum of $P = a$,

$\partial_{g_j} \mathcal{L} = 0 \Rightarrow$ col sum of $P = b$.

$$\begin{matrix} u_i & K_{ij} & v_j \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \end{matrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial P_{kl}} = 0 \Rightarrow P_{kl} = e^{-\frac{(C_{kl} - f_k - g_l)/\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} = e^{\frac{f_k}{\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{C_{kl}}{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{g_l}{\varepsilon}}$$

$$P = \text{diag}(u) K \text{diag}(v). \quad \text{i.e., } P_{ij} = u_i K_{ij} v_j.$$

Assume $a > 0, b > 0$.

Sinkhorn's algorithm Choose $v^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n, v^{(0)} > 0$.

$$\text{For } k=1, 2, \dots: u^{(k)} = \frac{a}{K v^{(k-1)}} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad v^{(k)} = \frac{b}{K^T u^{(k)}} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (*)$$

$$\text{Set } A^{(k)} = \text{diag}(u^{(k)}) K \text{diag}(v^{(k-1)}), \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

$$B^{(k)} = \text{diag}(u^{(k)}) K \text{diag}(v^{(k)}),$$

Then $A^{(k)} \rightarrow P_\varepsilon = \underset{\mathcal{X}(a, b)}{\text{argmin}} F_\varepsilon[\cdot]$, and $B^{(k)} \rightarrow P_\varepsilon$. (This will be proved.) So, $A^{(k)}$ or $B^{(k)}$ for $k \gg 1$ approximates P_ε .

Remark We can choose $u^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^m, u^{(0)} > 0$ and define

$$v^{(k)} = \frac{b}{K^T u^{(k-1)}}, \quad u^{(k)} = \frac{a}{K v^{(k)}}, \quad k=1, 2, \dots \quad (**)$$

Theorem (Convergence of Sinkhorn's algorithm) Let $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

$a \in \mathcal{P}_m$, and $b \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $K > 0, a > 0$, and $b > 0$. Define

$u^{(k)}, v^{(k)}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$) by $(*)$ (or $**$). Then $\exists u \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $u_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)} \rightarrow u_i v_j \forall i, j$. Moreover
 $A^{(k)} := \text{diag}(u^{(k)}) \llcorner \text{diag}(v^{(k)}) \rightarrow \text{diag}(u) \llcorner \text{diag}(v) =: p \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$
 and $B^{(k)} := \text{diag}(u^{(k)}) \llcorner \text{diag}(v^{(k)}) \rightarrow p \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$.
Question Do we have $u^{(k)} \rightarrow u, v^{(k)} \rightarrow v$ (Euclid dist) for some $u \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$?

$v^{(0)}$ Let's first understand the iteration process, normalizing process!

$$u^{(1)} = \frac{a}{K v^{(0)}}, \quad A_{ij}^{(1)} = u_i^{(1)} k_{ij} v_j^{(0)}, \quad \text{row-}i \text{ sum } A^{(1)} = a_i$$

$$v^{(1)} = \frac{b}{K^T u^{(1)}}, \quad B_{ij}^{(1)} = u_i^{(1)} k_{ij} v_j^{(1)}, \quad \text{col-}j \text{ sum } B^{(1)} = b_j, \quad B_{ij}^{(1)} = A_{ij}^{(1)} / \mu_j^{(1)}, \quad \mu_j^{(1)} = \frac{v_j^{(0)}}{v_j^{(1)}}$$

$$u^{(2)} = \frac{a}{K v^{(1)}}, \quad A_{ij}^{(2)} = u_i^{(2)} k_{ij} v_j^{(1)}, \quad \text{row-}i \text{ sum } A^{(2)} = a_i, \quad A_{ij}^{(2)} = B_{ij}^{(1)} / \lambda_i^{(1)}, \quad \lambda_i^{(1)} = \frac{u_i^{(1)}}{u_i^{(2)}}$$

$$v^{(2)} = \frac{b}{K^T u^{(2)}}, \quad B_{ij}^{(2)} = u_i^{(2)} k_{ij} v_j^{(2)}, \quad \text{col-}j \text{ sum } B^{(2)} = b_j, \quad B_{ij}^{(2)} = A_{ij}^{(2)} / \mu_j^{(2)}, \quad \mu_j^{(2)} = \frac{v_j^{(1)}}{v_j^{(2)}}$$

What are $\lambda_i^{(k)}$ and $\mu_j^{(k)}$ $\forall i, j, k$?

$$\mu_j^{(1)} = \frac{v_j^{(0)}}{v_j^{(1)}} = v_j^{(0)} \frac{(K^T u^{(1)})_j}{b_j} = \frac{1}{b_j} \sum_i u_i^{(1)} k_{ij} v_j^{(0)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{b_j} \sum_i A_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{b_j} \text{col-}j \text{ sum } A^{(1)}$$

$$\lambda_i^{(1)} = \frac{u_i^{(1)}}{u_i^{(2)}} = u_i^{(1)} \frac{1}{a_i} \sum_j k_{ij} v_j^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a_i} \sum_j u_i^{(1)} k_{ij} v_j^{(1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_i} \sum_j B_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a_i} \text{row-}i \text{ sum } B^{(1)}$$

\odot row sum $A^{(k+1)} = a$, col. sum $B^{(k)} = b$. ($\Rightarrow \sum_j A_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_j B_{ij}^{(k)} = 1$)

\odot $B_{ij}^{(k)} = u_i^{(k)} k_{ij} v_j^{(k)} = A_{ij}^{(k)} / \mu_j^{(k)}$, $A_{ij}^{(k+1)} = u_i^{(k+1)} k_{ij} v_j^{(k)} = B_{ij}^{(k)} / \lambda_i^{(k)}$

$$\mu_j^{(k)} = \frac{1}{b_j} \text{col-}j \text{ sum } A^{(k)}, \quad \lambda_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_i} \text{row-}i \text{ sum } B^{(k)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_j b_j \mu_j^{(k)} = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^{(k)} = 1, \quad \sum_i a_i \lambda_i^{(k)} = \sum_{i,j} B_{ij}^{(k)} = 1.$$

$$A^{(k)} \quad \begin{matrix} & & j & \\ i & \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right] & \begin{matrix} \text{row-}i \text{ sum} = a_i \\ \text{col-}j \text{ sum} = u_j^{(k)} \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} & & j & \\ i & \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right] & \begin{matrix} \text{row-}i \text{ sum} = \lambda_i^{(k)} \\ \text{col-}j \text{ sum} = b_j \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$\lambda_i^{(k)} = \frac{u_i^{(k)}}{u_i^{(k+1)}} \quad \lambda_i^{(1)} \dots \lambda_i^{(k)} = u_i^{(1)} / u_i^{(k+1)} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots)$$

$$u_j^{(0)} = \frac{v_j^{(0)}}{v_j^{(1)}}, \quad u_j^{(k)} = \frac{v_j^{(k-1)}}{v_j^{(k)}}, \quad u_j^{(1)} \dots u_j^{(k)} = \frac{v_j^{(0)}}{v_j^{(k)}} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots)$$

Denote $x_i^{(k)} = \left(\prod_{p=1}^k \lambda_i^{(p)} \right)^{-1}$ and $y_j^{(k)} = \left(\prod_{p=1}^k u_j^{(p)} \right)^{-1}$

Then $u_i^{(k+1)} = u_i^{(1)} x_i^{(k)}$ and $v_j^{(k)} = v_j^{(0)} y_j^{(k)}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$)

$$\textcircled{\cdot} A_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(k-1)}} B_{ij}^{(k-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(k-1)}} A_{ij}^{(k-1)} \frac{1}{u_j^{(k-1)}} = \dots = x_i^{(k-1)} A_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(k-1)}$$

$$B_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{u_j^{(k)}} A_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{u_j^{(k)}} B_{ij}^{(k-1)} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(k-1)}} = \dots = x_i^{(k-1)} A_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(k)}$$

Proof of Thm Define $A_{ij}^{(k)}, B_{ij}^{(k)}, \lambda_i^{(k)}, u_j^{(k)}, x_i^{(k)}, y_j^{(k)}$ as above.

Step 1 show all $\lambda_i^{(k)}(m), \lambda_i^{(k)}(M), u_j^{(k)}(m), u_j^{(k)}(M)$

all converge. Note that

$$\sum_j b_j = 1 \Rightarrow \min_j u_j^{(k)} =: u^{(k)}(m) \leq 1, \quad \sum_i a_i = 1 \Rightarrow \min_i \lambda_i^{(k)} = \lambda^{(k)}(m) \leq 1,$$

$$\max_j u_j^{(k)} =: u^{(k)}(M) \geq 1, \quad \max_i \lambda_i^{(k)} = \lambda^{(k)}(M) \geq 1.$$

Since col-j sum $B^{(k-1)} = b_j$,

$$u_j^{(k)} = \frac{1}{b_j} \text{col-j sum } A^{(k)} = \frac{1}{b_j} \sum_i B_{ij}^{(k-1)} / \lambda_i^{(k-1)}$$

is a convex combination of $1/\lambda_i^{(k-1)}$. Similarly,

$\lambda_i^{(k)}$ is a convex combination of $1/\mu_j^{(k)}$. So,

$$\min_i \lambda_i^{(k)} = \lambda_{i_m}^{(k)} \leq 1 \leq \lambda_{i_M}^{(k)} = \max_i \lambda_i^{(k)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^{(k)}(m) \leq \lambda^{(k+1)}(m) \leq 1 \leq \lambda^{(k+1)}(M) \leq \lambda^{(k)}(M)$$

Similarly, $\mu^{(k)}(m) \leq \mu^{(k+1)}(m) \leq 1 \leq \mu^{(k+1)}(M) \leq \mu^{(k)}(M)$

So, all $\lambda^{(k)}(m)$, $\lambda^{(k)}(M)$, $\mu^{(k)}(m)$, and $\mu^{(k)}(M)$ converge.

Show they all equal 1 in step 3.

Step 2 Show $\inf_{i, j, k} (A_{ij}^{(k)}, B_{ij}^{(k)}) =: \sigma > 0$.

$$\text{Col. sum } B^{(k)} = b \Rightarrow \sum_i B_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_i x_i^{(k-1)} A_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(k)} = b_j$$

$$\Rightarrow y_j^{(k)} = b_j / \sum_i x_i^{(k-1)} A_{ij}^{(1)} \leq b_j / A_{ij}^{(1)} x_i^{(k-1)}$$

$$\leq b_j / \sigma^{(1)} x_i^{(k-1)} \quad \forall i, j,$$

where $\sigma^{(1)} = \min_{i, j} (A_{ij}^{(1)}, B_{ij}^{(1)}) > 0$. So, $y_j^{(k)} \leq \frac{b_j}{\sigma^{(1)} x_i^{(k-1)}(M)}$, $\forall j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \frac{1}{a_i} \lambda_i^{(k)} &= \text{row-}i \text{ sum of } B^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_j x_i^{(k-1)} A_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} \sum_j A_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_i^{(k)} \geq \lambda^{(k)}(m) \geq \lambda^{(1)}(m)$,

$$x_i^{(k-1)} \geq \frac{\lambda_i^{(k)}}{a_i} / \sum_j A_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(k)} \geq \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(m)}{a_i} / \left(n \|A^{(1)}\|_\infty b_j / \sigma^{(1)} x^{(k-1)}(M) \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(m) \sigma^{(1)}}{n \|a\|_\infty \|b\|_\infty \|A^{(1)}\|_\infty} x^{(k-1)}(M), \quad \forall i.$$

Again, col-j sum $B^{(k)} = b_j \Rightarrow y_j^{(k)} = b_j / \sum_i x_i^{(k-1)} A_{ij}^{(1)}$.

$$\Rightarrow y_j^{(k)} \geq b_j / m \|A^{(1)}\|_{\infty} x^{(k-1)}. \text{ (Hence, } \lambda^{(1)(m)}(\sigma^{(1)})^2 b(m) \\ \beta_{ij}^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} A_{ij}^{(1)} y_j^{(k)} \geq \frac{\lambda^{(1)(m)}(\sigma^{(1)})^2 b(m)}{m n \|a\|_{\infty} \|b\|_{\infty} \|A^{(1)}\|_{\infty}^2} > 0,$$

where $b(m) = \min_i b_i > 0$.

Thus $\inf_{i,j,k} \beta_{ij}^{(k)} > 0$. Similarly, $\inf_{i,j,k} A_{ij}^{(k)} > 0$.

Step 3 Show the limits all equal 1.

Clearly, $\lambda^{(k)}(M) = \max_i \lambda_i^{(k)} \downarrow 1+c$ for some $c \geq 0$.

Let $\lambda^{(k)}(M) = 1 + c_k$ ($k=1,2,\dots$). So, $c_k \downarrow c$ by Step 1. Since

$\lambda_i^{(k)} \leq \lambda^{(k)}(M) = 1 + c_k$, and col-j sum $B^{(k)} = b_j$, we have

$$\mu_j^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{b_j} \text{ col-j sum } A^{(k)} = \frac{1}{b_j} \sum_i \beta_{ij}^{(k)} / \lambda_i^{(k)} \\ \geq \frac{1}{b_j} \sum_{i: \lambda_i^{(k)} \leq 1} \beta_{ij}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{b_j} \sum_{i: \lambda_i^{(k)} \geq 1} \beta_{ij}^{(k)} \frac{1}{1+c_k} \\ = \frac{1}{b_j(1+c_k)} \left(\sum_{\text{all } i} \beta_{ij}^{(k)} + c_k \sum_{i: \lambda_i^{(k)} \leq 1} \beta_{ij}^{(k)} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Step 2}}{\geq} \frac{b_j + c_k b_j (\sigma / b_j)}{b_j(1+c_k)} = \frac{1+c_k(\sigma / \|b\|_{\infty})}{1+c_k}.$$

Let i_0 be such that $\lambda^{(k+1)}(M) = \lambda_{i_0}^{(k+1)}$. Then,
 $1+c \leq \lambda^{(k+1)}(M) = \lambda_{i_0}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{i_0}}$ row- i_0 sum of $B^{(k+1)}$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{i_0}} \sum_j \beta_{i_0 j}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{i_0}} \sum_j A_{i_0 j}^{(k+1)} / \mu_j^{(k+1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{a_{i_0}} \sum_j B_{i_0, j}^{(k)} / \lambda_{i_0, j}^{(k)} \mu_j^{(k+1)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{a_{i_0}} \left(\sum_j B_{i_0, j}^{(k)} / \lambda_{i_0, j}^{(k)} \right) \cdot \frac{1 + c_k}{1 + c_k (\sigma / \|b\|_\infty)} \\
&= \frac{1 + c_k}{1 + c_k (\sigma / \|b\|_\infty)} \rightarrow \frac{1 + c}{1 + c (\sigma / \|b\|_\infty)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $c=0$, and $\lambda^{(k)}(M) \rightarrow 1$.

Since $a_i \lambda_i^{(k)} = \text{row-}i \text{ sum of } B^{(k)} = \sum_j B_{ij}^{(k)}$ and the col. sum of $B^{(k)}$ is b , we get

$$\sum_i a_i \lambda_i^{(k)} = \sum_i \sum_j B_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_j \sum_i B_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_j b_j = 1.$$

Thus, if $\lambda^{(m)} (\leq 1)$ does not converge to 1, then since $\lambda^{(k)} \rightarrow 1$, $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i a_i \lambda_i^{(k)} < \sum_i a_i = 1$, a contradiction. Hence, $\lambda^{(k)}(M) \rightarrow 1$.

Similarly, $\mu^{(k)}(M) \rightarrow 1$, and $\mu^{(k)}(m) \rightarrow 1$.

step 4 Show $\exists u \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ s.t. $u_i \mu_j^{(k)} \rightarrow u_i v_j \forall i, j$.
These will imply that $u_i K_{ij} v_j \rightarrow u_i K_{ij} v_j, \forall i, j$, i.e.,

$$B^{(k)} = \text{diag}(u^{(k)}) K \text{diag}(v^{(k)}) \rightarrow P = \text{diag}(u) K \text{diag}(v)$$

Since col. sum of $B^{(k)} = b$, col. sum of $P = b$. But

$$B_{ij}^{(k)} = A_{ij}^{(k)} / \mu_j^{(k)} \quad \forall i, j, k. \text{ and } \mu_j^{(k)} \rightarrow 1 \quad \forall j \text{ by step 3,}$$

So, $A^{(k)} \rightarrow P$. Since row sum of $A^{(k)} = a$, row sum of $P = a$, and $P \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$. This will complete the proof.

Note that all $B_{ij}^{(k)} = u_i K_{ij} v_j \geq \sigma \quad \forall i, j, k$ (cf. Step 2).
Moreover $\sum_{ij} B_{ij}^{(k)} = 1 \quad \forall k$. Suppose $\{B_1^{(k)}\}$ and $\{B_2^{(k)}\}$ are two subsequences of $\{B^{(k)}\}$ such that $B_1^{(k)} \rightarrow B_1$ and $B_2^{(k)} \rightarrow B_2$. Since row- i sum of $B^{(k)} = a_i \lambda_i^{(k)} \rightarrow a_i$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and col. sum of $B^{(k)} = b$, $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$. Now,

$B_1^{(k)} = (\hat{u}_i^{(k)} k_{ij} \hat{v}_j^{(k)})$ and $B_2^{(k)} = (\hat{u}_i^{(k)} k_{ij} \hat{v}_j^{(k)})$, where
 $\{\hat{u}^{(k)}\}$, $\{\hat{u}^{(k)}\}$, $\{\hat{v}^{(k)}\}$, $\{\hat{v}^{(k)}\}$ are the corresponding
 subseq. of $\{u^{(k)}\}$, $\{v^{(k)}\}$, respectively. Since $B_1^{(k)} \rightarrow B_1$
 and $B_2^{(k)} \rightarrow B_2$, $\{\hat{u}_i^{(k)} \hat{v}_j^{(k)}\}$ and $\{\hat{u}_i^{(k)} \hat{v}_j^{(k)}\}$ converge
 to some positive numbers (as all $B_{ij}^{(k)} \geq 0$). Now,
 by the lemma below, $\exists \hat{w}^{(k)}, \hat{w}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $\exists \hat{z}^{(k)}, \hat{z}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$
 $\in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ such that $\hat{w}^{(k)} \rightarrow \hat{w}$, $\hat{z}^{(k)} \rightarrow \hat{z}$, $\hat{w}^{(k)} \rightarrow \hat{w}$, $\hat{z}^{(k)} \rightarrow \hat{z}$
 for some $\hat{w}, \hat{w} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $\hat{z}, \hat{z} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Thus.

$$B_{1,ij} = \hat{w}_i k_{ij} \hat{z}_j, \quad B_{2,ij} = \hat{w}_i k_{ij} \hat{z}_j, \quad \forall i, j.$$

Since $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$, the uniqueness of matrix
 equivalence (cf. Lecture 8) implies that $B_1 = B_2$. Thus,

$B^{(k)}$ converges to some p . $p > 0$ as $B_{ij}^{(k)} \geq \sigma > 0 \quad \forall i, j, k$.

Moreover, since $B_{ij}^{(k)} = u_i^{(k)} k_{ij} v_j^{(k)}$, $u_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)}$ converges
 for any i, j . By the lemma again, $\exists u \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ s.t.

$$u_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)} \rightarrow u_i v_j \quad \forall i, j. \quad \underline{QED}$$

Remark Steps 1-3 are from Sinkhorn's 1964 paper.

Step 4, and the lemma below, are from Sinkhorn-Knopp
 1967 paper.

Lemma Suppose $u^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $v^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such

that $u_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)} \rightarrow l_{ij} > 0$ for some l_{ij} for all i, j .

Then, $\exists u \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ s.t. $u_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)} = u_i v_j$

$\forall i, j$. $u^{(k)} \rightarrow u, v^{(k)} \rightarrow v$ for some $u \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and

$v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, and $u_i v_j = l_{ij}$. $\forall i, j$.

Proof Set $\tilde{u}_i^{(k)} = \frac{u_i^{(k)}}{u_1^{(k)}}$, $\tilde{v}_j^{(k)} = u_1^{(k)} v_j^{(k)}$. $\forall i, j, k$

Then $\tilde{u}_i^{(k)} \tilde{v}_j^{(k)} = u_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)}$ $\forall i, j, k$.

$$\tilde{u}_1^{(k)} = 1 =: u_1, \quad \tilde{v}_j^{(k)} = u_1^{(k)} v_j^{(k)} \rightarrow l_{ij} =: v_j, \quad \forall j.$$

$$\text{For } i \geq 2: \tilde{u}_i^{(k)} = \frac{u_i^{(k)}}{u_1^{(k)}} \cdot \frac{v_1^{(k)}}{v_1^{(k)}} \rightarrow \frac{l_{i1}}{l_{11}} =: u_i.$$

So, $u = (u_i) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, $v = (v_j) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. $\tilde{u}^{(k)} \rightarrow u$, $\tilde{v}^{(k)} \rightarrow v$,

$$\text{and } u_i v_j = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}_i^{(k)} \tilde{v}_j^{(k)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)} = l_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j.$$

QED