

Include Ionic Excluded-Volume (Size) Effects in Mean-Field Models of Electrostatics

Consider an ionic solution that occupies a bounded region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Assume there are  $M(z_1)$  ionic species.

Denote

$c_j(x) \dots$  local concentration of  $j$ th ionic species

$z_j \dots$  valence of  $j$ th ionic species

$z_j = z_j e$

$e \dots$  elementary charge

$a_j \dots$  linear size of an ion of  $j$ th species

More precisely:  $a_j^3 =$  volume of ion of  $j$ th species

$a_0 \dots$  linear size of a solvent molecule

more precisely:  $a_0^3 =$  volume of a solvent molecule

$\beta^{-1} = k_B T$

$k_B \dots$  the Boltzmann constant

$T \dots$  temperature

$\mu_j \dots$  chemical potential of  $j$ th ions of  $j$ th species

$c_0(x) \dots$  local concentration of solvent molecules

The mean-field, electrostatic free-energy functional is  $(c = (c_1, \dots, c_M))$

$$F[c] = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 + \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^M c_j(x) [\log(a_j^3 c_j) - 1] - \sum_{j=1}^M \mu_j c_j \right\} dV$$

↑  
Starts from  $j=0$

$$a_0^3 c_0(x) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j(x).$$

46

$$P = P_f + \sum_{j=1}^M q_j c_j$$

$P_f$  is the fixed charge.

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \varepsilon \nabla \psi = -\rho & \text{in } \Omega \\ \psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Note: we can use also a more general boundary condition  $\psi = \psi_0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for a fixed function  $\psi_0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Again, we use  $\mathcal{L}$  to denote the operator defined by (\*) above.  $\psi = \mathcal{L}(P)$

$$\begin{aligned} I[c] &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( P_f + \sum_{j=1}^M q_j c_j \right) \mathcal{L} \left( P_f + \sum_{j=1}^M q_j c_j \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta^{-1} c_0 \left[ \log(a_0^3 c_0) - 1 \right] + \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M c_j \left[ \log(a_j^3 c_j) - 1 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^M u_j c_j \right\} dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M q_j c_j \right) \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M q_j c_j \right) + \left( \sum_{j=1}^M q_j c_j \right) \mathcal{L}(P_f) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta^{-1} c_0 \left[ \log(a_0^3 c_0) - 1 \right] + \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M c_j \left[ \log(a_j^3 c_j) - 1 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^M u_j c_j \right\} dV + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} P_f \mathcal{L}(P_f) dV \end{aligned}$$

Convexity. Need only to check the entropic part

Give  $a_0, \dots, a_M > 0$ .

Define  $g: (0,1)^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(u) = a_0^{-3} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M u_j \right) \left[ \log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M u_j \right) - 1 \right] + \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^{-3} u_j \left[ \log u_j - 1 \right].$$

HW:

Prove that  $g$  is convex, and there exists a unique minimizer  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_M)$  of  $g$  over  $(0,1)^M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j g &= a_0^{-3} (-1) \left[ \log \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i \right) - 1 \right] \\ &\quad + \cancel{a_0^{-3}} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i \right)^{-1} \frac{(-1)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^M \left[ a_i^{-3} (\log u_i - 1) + a_i^{-3} u_i \cdot \frac{1}{u_i} \right] \\ &= -a_0^{-3} \log \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^M a_i^{-3} \log u_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{j^*} g &= -a_0^{-3} \frac{(-1)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i} + \cancel{a_k^{-3}} \frac{1}{u_k} \\ &= \frac{a_0^{-3}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i} + a_k^{-3} \frac{1}{u_k} \end{aligned}$$

The Hessian is  $\nabla^2 g = [\partial_{j^*} g] = \frac{1}{a_0^3 (1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i)} e \otimes e + \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{a_1^3 u_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_M^3 u_M} \right)$

Symmetric positive definite!

Let  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_M)^T \neq 0$ .

$$v \cdot \nabla^2 g v = \frac{1}{a_0^3 (1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i u_i)} (e \cdot v)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{1}{a_k^3 u_k} v_k^2 > 0$$

So, the functional  $F[c]$  is (still) convex!

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F[c][d] &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F[c + td] \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_1^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M g_j c_j + t \sum_{j=1}^M g_j d_j \right) \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M g_j c_j + t \sum_{j=1}^M g_j d_j \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{L}(f) \left( \sum_{j=1}^M g_j c_j + t \sum_{j=1}^M g_j d_j \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta^{-1} a_0^{-3} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M g_j c_j - t \sum_{j=1}^M g_j d_j \right) \left[ \log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M g_j c_j - t \sum_{j=1}^M g_j d_j \right) - 1 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M (c_j + t d_j) \left[ \log (a_j^3 c_j + t a_j^3 d_j) - 1 \right] - \sum_{j=1}^M u_j c_j - t \sum_{j=1}^M u_j d_j \right\} \\ &= \int_1^2 \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^M g_j d_j \right) \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{i=1}^M g_i c_i \right) + \left( \sum_{j=1}^M g_j d_j \right) \mathcal{L}(f) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \beta^{-1} a_0^{-3} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 d_j \right) \left[ \log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j \right) - 1 \right] \\
& + \beta^{-1} a_0^{-3} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j \right) \frac{-\sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 d_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j} \\
& + \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \left\{ d_j \left[ \log(a_j^3 c_j) - 1 \right] + \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M c_j \frac{a_j^3 d_j}{a_j^3 c_j} - \sum_{j=1}^M u_j d_j \right\} dV \\
& = \int_V \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 d_j \right) \psi - \beta^{-1} a_0^{-3} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 d_j \right) \log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \beta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M d_j \log(a_j^3 c_j) - \sum_{j=1}^M u_j d_j \right\} dV
\end{aligned}$$

Equilibrium conditions:  $\delta F[c] = 0$ .

Fix  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq M$ )  $d = (0, \dots, 0, d_k, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta q_k \psi - \beta^{-1} a_0^{-3} a_k^3 \log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j \right) \\
+ \beta^{-1} \log(a_k^3 c_k) - \mu_k = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $a_0^3 c_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j$ .

$$\left[ \left( \frac{a_k}{a_0} \right)^3 \log(a_0^3 c_0) + \log(a_k^3 c_k) = \beta (q_k \psi - \mu_k) \right. \\
\left. k=1, \dots, M \right]$$

Special Case  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_M = a$

$$\log(a^3 c_0) + \log(a^3 c_k) = \beta (q_k \psi - \mu_k)$$

$$\left[ \begin{aligned} c_k c_0 &= e^{\beta q_k \psi - \beta \mu_k} \\ c_k &= c_0^{-1} e^{\beta q_k \psi} \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_k &= c_0 a^3 e^{-c_k^0} e^{-\beta q_k \psi} \\
c_k^0 &= a^{-3} e^{\beta \mu_k}
\end{aligned}$$

$$a^3 c_k = c_0 a^6 c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi}$$

$$1 - \sum_1^M a^3 c_k = 1 - c_0 a^6 \sum_1^M c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi}$$

$$\parallel$$

$$a^3 c_0 = 1 - a^6 c_0 \sum_1^M c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi}$$

$$a^3 c_0 (1 + a^3 \sum_1^M c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi}) = 1$$

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{a^3 (1 + a^3 \sum_1^M c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi})}$$

$$c_k = c_0 a^3 c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi}$$

$$c_k = \frac{c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi}}{1 + a^3 \sum_{j=1}^M c_j^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_j \psi}} \quad (k=1, \dots, M)$$

The generalised PB equation.

$$\nabla \cdot \epsilon(x) \nabla \psi + \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{q_j c_j^\infty e^{-\beta q_j \psi}}{1 + a^3 \sum_{k=1}^M c_k^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_k \psi}} = -\rho_f$$

~~1147~~

$$\min F = \int_V \left\{ -\frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \rho_f \psi - \beta^{-1} a^{-3} \left[ 1 + \log \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^M a^3 c_i^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_i \psi} \right) \right] \right\} dV$$

Variational principle.

$$I[\psi] = \int_V \left[ -\frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 - \rho_f \psi + \beta^{-1} a^{-3} \log \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^M a^3 c_i^\infty e^{-\beta \xi_i \psi} \right) \right] dV$$

$\min I[\psi] \Rightarrow$  The generalised PBE.

The general case.

$$\left(\frac{a_k}{a_0}\right)^3 \log(a_0^3 c_0) - \log(a_k^3 c_k) = \beta(\gamma_k 4 - \mu_k), \quad k=1, \dots, M$$

where

$$a_0^3 c_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j.$$

A system of  $M$  nonlinear equations of  $M$  unknowns  $c_1, \dots, c_M$ .

Hard to find a formula of the solution.

Lemma The above system has a unique solution  $(c_1, \dots, c_M)$  with  $a_j^3 c_j \in (0, 1)$ ,  $j=1, \dots, M$ .

PF. Let  $D_M = \left\{ u = (u_1, \dots, u_M) \in \mathbb{R}^M; u_i > 0, \dots, u_M > 0, \right.$   
and  $\left. \sum_{i=1}^M a_i^3 u_i < 1 \right\}$ .

$$D_M \subset \prod_{i=1}^M (0, a_i^{-3})$$

For  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_M) \in D_M$ , let

$$u_0 = a_0^{-3} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^M a_i^3 u_i \right).$$

Define  $\hat{F} = (\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_M) : D_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$  by

$$\hat{f}_i(u) = \left(\frac{a_i}{a_0}\right)^3 \log(a_0^3 u_0) - \log(a_i^3 u_i).$$

$$\forall u = (u_1, \dots, u_M), \quad i=1, \dots, M.$$

We claim:  $\hat{F} : D_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$  is  $C^\infty$  and bijective.  
That " $\hat{F}$  is  $C^\infty$ " is clear.

$$\text{Now, } \nabla \hat{F}(u) = -\text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{u_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{u_M}\right) - \frac{1}{a_0^3 u_0} p \otimes p$$

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^3 \\ \vdots \\ a_M^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\det \nabla \hat{F}(u) = \frac{(-1)^M}{u_1 \cdots u_M} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a_0^3 u_0} \sum_{i=1}^M a_i^6 u_i \right) \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{f}: D_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$  is injective.

Now we prove that  $\hat{f}: D_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$  is surjective.

Let  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_M) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ . We prove  $\exists u = (u_1, \dots, u_M) \in D_M$  s.t.  $\hat{f}(u) = r$ .

Define  $\hat{F}: D_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\hat{F}(u) = \frac{1}{2} a_0^3 \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 u_j \right) \left[ \log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 u_j \right) - 1 \right] + \sum_{j=1}^M u_j \left[ \log(a_j^3 u_j) - 1 \right] - \sum_{j=1}^M r_j u_j \quad \forall u \in D_M$$

Clearly,  $\hat{F} \in C^\infty(D_M)$ . Notice that if  $\exists j, (1 \leq j \leq M)$  s.t. when  $u_i$  are fixed ( $1 \leq i \leq M, i \neq j$ ) and  $u_j \rightarrow 0$  or  $\frac{1}{a_j^3}$ , then  $\hat{F}(u) \rightarrow \infty$ . More precisely, as  $u_j \rightarrow 0^+$  for any  $u_j$ , if  $u_j$  is close to 0, then by perturbing  $u_j$  to  $u_j + \delta$ , we can reduce  $\hat{F}$ . Similarly, if  $u_j$  is close to  $\frac{1}{a_j^3}$ , we can perturb it to  $u_j - \delta$  ( $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ ) to reduce  $\hat{F}$ . The latter reduction is done through the  $u_0 \log(a_0^3 u_0)$  part.



Therefore a minimum value of  $\hat{F}$  can only be achieved by some  $u$  in the interior of  $D_M$ . Since  $\hat{F}: D_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded below  $\hat{F}$  achieves its min. value in  $D_M$ . Hence,  $\nabla_u \hat{F} = 0$ . This proves  $\hat{F}(u) = r$ .  $\square$

Now the equilibrium conditions

$$\left(\frac{a_u}{a_0}\right)^3 \log(a_0^3 c_0) - \log(a_u^3 c_u) = \beta(q_u \phi - \mu_u), \quad u=1, \dots, M$$

$$\text{where } a_0^3 c_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^M a_j^3 c_j$$

define the implicit Boltzmann distributions

$$c_j = B_j(\phi), \quad j=1, \dots, M$$

Define

$$V(\phi) = - \sum_{i=1}^M q_i \int_0^\phi B_i(s) ds.$$

Clearly  $V'(\phi) = - \sum_{i=1}^M q_i B_i(\phi) = - \left( \sum_{i=1}^M q_i c_i \right)$  is the negative ionic charge density.

Assume the charge neutrality:  $\sum_{i=1}^M q_i B_i(0) = 0$ .

Then, we can show that

$$V''(\phi) > 0. \quad \text{so, } V \text{ is convex}$$

In fact, we can show also

$$V'(\phi) = \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } \phi > 0 \\ = 0 & \text{if } \phi = 0 \\ < 0 & \text{if } \phi < 0 \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $V(\phi) > V(0) \Rightarrow$  for all  $\phi \neq 0$ .  $V(\pm \infty) = \infty$ .

~~The~~ The implicit PBE is:

$$\nabla \cdot \epsilon \nabla \phi - V'(\phi) = -\rho_f.$$

It cannot predict the wall-mediated like-charge attraction!