

**Math 109: Winter 2014**  
**Homework 1**  
**Due 5:00pm on Friday 1/10/2014**

**Problem 1:** By using truth tables prove that, for all statements  $P$  and  $Q$ , the statement ' $P \Rightarrow Q$ ' and its *contrapositive* ' $(\text{not } Q) \Rightarrow (\text{not } P)$ ' are equivalent. Write down the contrapositive of the statement 'If  $f(x) = 0$ , then  $x > 0$ .' (where  $f$  is some function from the set of real numbers to itself).

**Problem 2:** Use a truth table to prove that for all statements  $P$  and  $Q$ , the statement ' $\text{not}(P \text{ or } Q)$ ' is equivalent to the statement ' $(\text{not } P) \text{ and } (\text{not } Q)$ '. (This is one of *De Morgan's Laws*; you saw the other one in class.)

**Problem 3:** (Exercise 1.5 in Eccles) Which of the following conditions are *necessary* for the positive integer  $n$  to be divisible by 6? Which are *sufficient*? (Proofs are not necessary here.)

- (1) 3 divides  $n$ .
- (2) 9 divides  $n$ .
- (3) 12 divides  $n$ .
- (4)  $n = 12$ .
- (5) 6 divides  $n^2$ .
- (6) 2 divides  $n$  and 3 divides  $n$ .
- (7) 2 divides  $n$  or 3 divides  $n$ .

**Problem 4:** Consider the following statement:

(I) "Some Californian is bad at surfing."

Which of the following are the *negation* of the statement (I)? Which of the following are *equivalent* to the statement (I)?

- (1) Some Californian is not bad at surfing.
- (2) Some Californians are good at surfing.
- (3) All Californians are good at surfing.
- (4) All Californians are not bad at surfing.
- (5) All people who are not bad at surfing are Californians.
- (6) All people who are bad at surfing are non-Californians.

**Problem 5:** Prove that 33 is an odd integer.

**Problem 6:** Let  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  be integers. Prove that if  $a$  divides  $b$  and  $b$  divides  $c$ , then  $a$  divides  $c$ .