

## UNIFORM CONVERGENCE AND INTEGRATION: A COUNTER-EXAMPLE

This note is motivated by the question: if  $\alpha_n$  converges uniformly to  $\alpha$  on  $[a, b]$  is it true that  $\int_a^b f d\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f d\alpha_n$ ? We construct an example showing the answer is negative, in contrast to Theorem 7.16 in Rudin's book. Specifically, we prove the following.

**Lemma 0.1.** *There exists a sequence of monotone increasing functions  $\alpha_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that converge uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to a monotone increasing function  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and there exists a function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\alpha_n$  for every  $n$  and  $\int_0^1 f d\alpha_n = 0$  but  $f$  is not integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\alpha$ .*

The construction of these functions is a bit long and technical. The basic idea is that  $f$  will be 0 everywhere outside the Cantor set  $E$  and will be discontinuous at every point of  $E$ , and for every  $n$  the function  $\alpha_n$  will be such that  $E$  can be covered with a finite number of intervals where the total cumulative change of  $\alpha_n$  on these intervals can be made arbitrarily small, while the same will not be true of  $\alpha$ . Each function  $\alpha_n$  will be piecewise linear, and we will adjust  $\alpha_n$  to obtain  $\alpha_{n+1}$  by increasing the rate of change of  $\alpha_n$  on a collection of intervals covering  $E$  (as  $n$  grows these intervals will have smaller width but be more numerous). The remainder of this note is devoted to providing a careful proof of the above fact.

Set  $T_0 = \{0\}$ , inductively define  $T_{n+1} = \{3k : k \in T_n\} \cup \{3k + 2 : k \in T_n\}$ , and set  $T = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ .

**Lemma 0.2.**  $|T_n| = 2^n$  and  $T \cap \{0, 1, \dots, 3^n\} = T_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_n$  consists of integers, the sets  $\{3k : k \in T_n\}$  and  $\{3k + 2 : k \in T_n\}$  are disjoint and each has cardinality  $|T_n|$ . So  $|T_{n+1}| = 2|T_n|$ . Additionally,  $|T_0| = 1 = 2^0$ . So it follows from induction that  $|T_n| = 2^n$  for every  $n$ .

It suffices to show by induction that  $T \cap \{0, 1, \dots, 3^n\} \subseteq T_n \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, 3^n - 1\}$ . The base case  $n = 0$  is clear. So assume this is true for  $n$ . Then

$$T_{n+1} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, 3 \cdot (3^n - 1) + 2\} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, 3^{n+1} - 1\}.$$

Additionally, if  $t \in T \cap \{0, 1, \dots, 3^{n+1}\}$  then either  $t = 0 \in T_0 \subseteq T_{n+1}$  or else by definition of  $T$  there is  $t' \in T$  with  $t \in \{3t', 3t' + 2\}$ . It follows that  $t' \in T \cap \{0, 1, \dots, 3^n\}$ , so by our inductive assumption  $t' \in T_n$  and therefore  $t \in T_{n+1}$ . This completes the inductive step.  $\square$

Let  $E$  denote the Cantor set. Recall that  $E = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$  where the  $E_n$ 's are defined inductively as follows:  $E_0 = [0, 1]$ , in general  $E_n$  is a finite union of pairwise disjoint intervals, and  $E_{n+1}$  is obtained from  $E_n$  by removing the middle-third of each those intervals.

**Lemma 0.3.** *For every  $n \geq 0$*

$$E_n = \bigcup_{k \in T_n} \left[ \frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad E_n \setminus E_{n+1} = \bigcup_{k \in T_n} \left( \frac{k+1/3}{3^n}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^n} \right).$$

*Proof.* When  $n = 0$  we have  $T_0 = \{0\}$  so  $\bigcup_{k \in T_n} [\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}] = [0, 1] = E_0$ . Now inductively assume that  $E_n = \bigcup_{k \in T_n} [\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}]$ . Since  $E_{n+1}$  is obtained from  $E_n$  by removing the middle-third of each of the above intervals, we see  $E_n \setminus E_{n+1} = \bigcup_{k \in T_n} (\frac{k+1/3}{3^n}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^n})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n+1} &= \bigcup_{k \in T_n} \left[ \frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1/3}{3^n} \right] \cup \left[ \frac{k+2/3}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n} \right] \\ &= \bigcup_{k \in T_n} \left[ \frac{3k}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{3k+1}{3^{n+1}} \right] \cup \left[ \frac{3k+2}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{3k+3}{3^{n+1}} \right] \\ &= \bigcup_{k' \in \{3k: k \in T_n\} \cup \{3k+2: k \in T_n\}} \left[ \frac{k'}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{k'+1}{3^{n+1}} \right] \\ &= \bigcup_{k' \in T_{n+1}} \left[ \frac{k'}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{k'+1}{3^{n+1}} \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Fix an  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . For  $t \in T$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$  define

$$\beta_\epsilon(t+x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\epsilon}{2+\epsilon} \cdot x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/3] \\ 2 \cdot \frac{1-\epsilon}{2+\epsilon} (0.5 - x) & \text{if } x \in (1/3, 2/3) \\ \frac{1-\epsilon}{2+\epsilon} \cdot (x-1) & \text{if } x \in [2/3, 1] \end{cases}$$

and set  $\beta_\epsilon(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in [0, +\infty) \setminus \{t+x : t \in T, x \in [0, 1]\}$ .

**Lemma 0.4.** *Let  $m \geq 0$  and  $k \in T_m$ . Then the function  $\beta_\epsilon(3^n x)$  has constant value 0 for  $x \in (\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$  when  $n > m$  and has 0 net change on the interval  $[\frac{k}{3^m}, \frac{k+1}{3^m}]$  when  $n \geq m$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in (\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$  then by Lemma 0.3  $x \in E_m \setminus E_{m+1} \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus E_n$ . On the other hand, if  $\beta_\epsilon(3^n x) \neq 0$  then there is  $k' \in T$  with  $3^n x \in [k', k'+1]$ , hence  $x \in [\frac{k'}{3^n}, \frac{k'+1}{3^n}]$  and  $k' \leq 3^n x \leq 3^n$ . Therefore  $k' \in T_n$  by Lemma 0.2 and  $x \in E_n$  by Lemma 0.3. This proves the first claim. Finally, the last claim holds since  $3^n \cdot \frac{k}{3^m} = 3^{n-m}k \in T$  and  $3^n \cdot \frac{k+1}{3^m}$  is 1 plus

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{n-m}k + 3^{n-m} - 1 &= 3^{n-m}k + 3^{n-m-1} \cdot 2 + 3^{n-m-2} \cdot 2 + \dots + 3 \cdot 2 + 2 \\ &= 3(3(\dots(3(3k+2)+2)\dots+2)+2)+2 \in T. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 0.5.** *Let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear increasing function with net change  $\lambda = \phi(1) - \phi(0)$ . Then the function  $\phi(x) + \lambda \cdot \beta_\epsilon(x)$  also increases by  $\lambda$  on  $[0, 1]$  and is linear on each of the intervals  $[0, 1/3]$ ,  $[1/3, 2/3]$ ,  $[2/3, 1]$ . Moreover, it increases by  $\lambda(2+\epsilon)^{-1}$  on each of the intervals  $[0, 1/3]$  and  $[2/3, 1]$  and increases by  $\lambda\epsilon(2+\epsilon)^{-1}$  on the interval  $[1/3, 2/3]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi$  is linear we deduce from the point-slope formula that

$$\phi(x) = \phi(0) + \lambda x = \phi(1) + \lambda(x-1) = \phi(0.5) + \lambda(x-0.5)$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . So for  $x \in [0, 1/3]$  we have

$$\phi(x) + \lambda \cdot \beta_\epsilon(x) = \phi(0) + \lambda x + \lambda \cdot \frac{1-\epsilon}{2+\epsilon} \cdot x = \phi(0) + \lambda \cdot \frac{3}{2+\epsilon} \cdot x,$$

implying an increase by  $\lambda(2 + \epsilon)^{-1}$  on  $[0, 1/3]$ . Similarly, for  $x \in [2/3, 1]$  we have

$$\phi(x) + \lambda \cdot \beta_\epsilon(x) = \phi(1) + \lambda(x - 1) + \lambda \cdot \frac{1 - \epsilon}{2 + \epsilon} \cdot (x - 1) = \phi(1) + \lambda \cdot \frac{3}{2 + \epsilon} \cdot (x - 1),$$

implying an increase by  $\lambda(2 + \epsilon)^{-1}$  on  $[2/3, 1]$ . Lastly, for  $x \in [1/3, 2/3]$

$$\phi(x) + \lambda \cdot \beta_\epsilon(x) = \phi(0.5) + \lambda(x - 0.5) + 2\lambda \cdot \frac{1 - \epsilon}{2 + \epsilon} \cdot (0.5 - x) = \phi(0.5) + \lambda \cdot \frac{3\epsilon}{2 + \epsilon} \cdot (x - 0.5),$$

implying an increase by  $\lambda\epsilon(2 + \epsilon)^{-1}$  on  $[1/3, 2/3]$ .  $\square$

For  $n \geq 1$  choose  $\epsilon_n > 0$  small enough that  $2(2 + \epsilon_n)^{-1} > 2^{-2^{-n}}$ . For  $x \in [0, 1]$  define

$$\alpha(x) = x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1} \right) \cdot \beta_{\epsilon_k}(3^{k-1}x)$$

and let  $\alpha_n$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum

$$\alpha_n(x) = x + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1} \right) \cdot \beta_{\epsilon_k}(3^{k-1}x).$$

**Lemma 0.6.** *Let  $n \geq 0$ . The interval  $[0, 1]$  is the union of the pairwise disjoint intervals*

$$\left[ \frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n} \right] \quad (k \in T_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m} \right) \quad (0 \leq m < n, k \in T_m).$$

*The function  $\alpha_n$  is monotone increasing and is linear on each of the above intervals. Moreover,  $\alpha_n$  increases by  $\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on each of the intervals  $[\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}]$ ,  $k \in T_n$ , and increases by  $\epsilon_m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on each of the intervals  $(\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$ ,  $0 \leq m < n$ ,  $k \in T_m$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$(E_0 \setminus E_1) \cup (E_1 \setminus E_2) \cup \cdots \cup (E_{n-1} \setminus E_n) \cup E_n = E_0 = [0, 1],$$

with the sets appearing in the above union disjoint with one another. By Lemma 0.3  $E_n$  is the union of the disjoint intervals  $[\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}]$  for  $k \in T_n$ , and for each  $m < n$  the set  $E_m \setminus E_{m+1}$  is the union of the disjoint intervals  $(\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$  for  $k \in T_m$ . This proves the first claim.

We prove the remaining claims by induction. First consider the base case  $n = 1$ . The function  $\phi(x) = x$  increases by  $\lambda = 1$  on  $[0, 1]$ , so by Lemma 0.5  $\alpha_1(x) = x + \beta_{\epsilon_1}(x)$  is linear on each interval  $[0, 1/3]$ ,  $[1/3, 2/3]$ ,  $[2/3, 1]$ , increases by  $(2 + \epsilon_1)^{-1}$  on each of the intervals  $[0, 1/3]$  and  $[2/3, 1]$ , and increases by  $\epsilon_1(2 + \epsilon_1)^{-1}$  on  $(1/3, 2/3)$ .

Now inductively assume that  $\alpha_n$  increases by  $\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on each of the intervals  $[\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}]$ ,  $k \in T_n$ , increases by  $\epsilon_m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on each of the intervals  $(\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$ ,  $0 \leq m < n$ ,  $k \in T_m$ , and is moreover linear on each of these intervals.

Consider an interval  $[\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}]$  where  $k \in T_n$ . For  $u \in [0, 1]$  define  $\phi(u) = \alpha_n(\frac{k+u}{3^n})$ . Then  $\phi$  is linear and increases by  $\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n+1}\left(\frac{k+u}{3^n}\right) &= \alpha_n\left(\frac{k+u}{3^n}\right) + \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}\right) \beta_{\epsilon_{n+1}}\left(3^n \cdot \frac{k+u}{3^n}\right) \\ &= \phi(u) + \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}\right) \beta_{\epsilon_{n+1}}(k+u) \\ &= \phi(u) + \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}\right) \beta_{\epsilon_{n+1}}(u), \end{aligned}$$

where the final equality holds since  $k \in T$ . By combining the final line of the above equation with Lemma 0.5, we find that  $\alpha_{n+1}$  increases by  $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on each of the intervals (A)  $[\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1/3}{3^n}] = [\frac{3k}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{3k+1}{3^{n+1}}]$  and (B)  $[\frac{k+2/3}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}] = [\frac{3k+2}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{3k+3}{3^{n+1}}]$ , increases by  $\epsilon_{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on (C)  $[\frac{k+1/3}{3^n}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^n}]$ , and is linear on each of these intervals. Notice that the intervals (A) and (B) just described, as  $k \in T_n$  varies, coincide with the intervals  $[\frac{k'}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{k'+1}{3^{n+1}}]$  as  $k' \in T_{n+1}$  varies (by Lemma 0.3), and the intervals (C), as  $k \in T_n$  varies, coincide with the intervals  $(\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$  as  $k \in T_m$  varies with  $m = n < n+1$ .

Next consider an interval  $(\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$  where  $k \in T_m$  and  $m < n$ . By our inductive hypothesis  $\alpha_n$  is linear on this interval and increases by  $\epsilon_m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on this interval. Since Lemma 0.4 tells us  $\beta_{\epsilon_{n+1}}(3^n x) = 0$  for all  $x$  in this interval, it follows that  $\alpha_{n+1}$  is equal to  $\alpha_n$  on this interval. Therefore  $\alpha_{n+1}$  is linear on  $(\frac{k+1/3}{3^m}, \frac{k+2/3}{3^m})$  and increases by  $\epsilon_m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$  on this interval.

By induction, we conclude that the claim holds for all  $n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 0.7.** *The functions  $(\alpha_n)$  converge uniformly to  $\alpha$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that for every  $x \geq 0$

$$|\beta_\epsilon(x)| \leq \frac{1-\epsilon}{2+\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}.$$

So uniform convergence follows from the Weierstrass M-test (Theorem 7.10) since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2^k}$  converges and

$$\left| \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1} \right) \cdot \beta_{\epsilon_k}(3^{k-1}x) \right| \leq 2^{-(k-1)} |\beta_{\epsilon_k}(3^{k-1}x)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2^k}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 0.8.**  *$\alpha$  is monotone increasing and for every  $m \geq 0$  and  $k \in T_m$  we have*

$$\alpha\left(\frac{k+1}{3^m}\right) - \alpha\left(\frac{k}{3^m}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 0.6 tells us that each  $\alpha_n$  is monotone increasing. So if  $y > x$  then  $\alpha_n(y) - \alpha_n(x) \geq 0$  for every  $n$  and  $\alpha(y) - \alpha(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_n(y) - \alpha_n(x)) \geq 0$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is monotone increasing. Next, from Lemma 0.6 we obtain  $\alpha_m(\frac{k+1}{3^m}) - \alpha_m(\frac{k}{3^m}) = \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}$ , and Lemma 0.4 implies that  $\beta_{\epsilon_n}(3^{n-1} \cdot \frac{k+1}{3^m}) - \beta_{\epsilon_n}(3^{n-1} \cdot \frac{k}{3^m}) = 0$

for all  $n > m$ . Consequently, for all  $n \geq m$

$$\alpha_n \left( \frac{k+1}{3^m} \right) - \alpha_n \left( \frac{k}{3^m} \right) = \alpha_m \left( \frac{k+1}{3^m} \right) - \alpha_m \left( \frac{k}{3^m} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  completes the proof.  $\square$

Define  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the rule

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists n \exists k \in T_n \ x = \frac{k}{3^n} \\ 1 & \text{if } \exists n \exists k \in T_n \ x = \frac{k+1}{3^n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}$  are in the Cantor set  $E$  for every  $n$  and every  $k \in T_n$ . Thus  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus E$ .

**Lemma 0.9.** *For every  $q \geq 0$ ,  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\alpha_q$  and  $\int_0^1 f \, d\alpha_q = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 0.6 we can break  $[0, 1]$  into a finite number of sub-intervals on which  $\alpha_q$  is linear. Letting  $A$  be the maximum slope among these linear pieces, we have  $|\alpha_q(x) - \alpha_q(y)| \leq A|x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and pick an integer  $m$  with  $(\frac{2}{3})^m < \frac{\epsilon}{A}$ . Consider the partition  $P = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1\}$  where  $n = 3^m$  and  $x_i = \frac{i}{3^m}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Set  $I = \{k + j : k \in T_m, j = -1, 0, 1\}$  and notice that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  Lemma 0.3 implies that  $[x_{i-1}, x_i] \cap E_m \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow i \in I$ . Since  $f$  has constant value 0 on  $[0, 1] \setminus E_m \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus E$ , it follows that  $M_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ . Additionally, for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we have  $0 \leq m_i \leq M_i \leq 1$ . So

$$0 \leq L(P, f, \alpha_q) \leq U(P, f, \alpha_q) \leq \sum_{i \in I} \Delta(\alpha_q)_i \leq \sum_{i \in I} A \cdot \Delta x_i.$$

For every  $i$  we have  $\Delta x_i = \frac{1}{3^m}$  and Lemma 0.2 gives  $|I| \leq 3|T_m| = 3 \cdot 2^m$ . Therefore

$$U(P, f, \alpha_q) \leq |I| \cdot A \cdot \frac{1}{3^m} = 3A \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^m < \epsilon.$$

We conclude that  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\alpha_q$  and  $\int_0^1 f \, d\alpha_q = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 0.10.**  *$f$  is not integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be any partition of  $[0, 1]$ , say  $P = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1\}$ . Let  $i$  be the set of indices  $1 \leq i \leq n$  satisfying  $[x_{i-1}, x_i] \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $K$  be the compact set given by the union of the intervals  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ . Then  $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (E_m \cap K) = E \cap K = \emptyset$ , so the Corollary to Theorem 2.36 implies that there is  $m$  with  $E_m \cap K = \emptyset$ . In other words,  $E_m \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . By choosing a larger  $m$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\frac{1}{3^m} < \min\{\Delta x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

If  $i \in I$  then  $E_m \cap [x_{i-1}, x_i] \supseteq E \cap [x_{i-1}, x_i] \neq \emptyset$ , so by Lemma 0.3 there is  $k \in T_m$  with  $[\frac{k}{3^m}, \frac{k+1}{3^m}] \cap [x_{i-1}, x_i] \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\frac{1}{3^m} < \Delta x_i$ , we have either  $\frac{k}{3^m}$  or  $\frac{k+1}{3^m}$  lies in  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . So  $f$  attains a value of 1 somewhere on  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  and thus  $M_i = 1$  for every  $i \in I$ . Therefore  $U(P, f, \alpha) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \Delta(\alpha)_i$ . On the other hand, for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  the set  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  is uncountable while  $f$  has value 1 at only a countable number of points. So  $f$  attains a value of 0 on  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  and  $m_i = 0$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore  $L(P, f, \alpha) = 0$ .

From Lemma 0.3 we have

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} [x_{i-1}, x_i] \supseteq E_m = \bigcup_{k \in T_m} \left[ \frac{k}{3^m}, \frac{k+1}{3^m} \right].$$

Therefore, since  $\alpha$  is monotone increasing,

$$\sum_{i \in I} \Delta(\alpha)_i \geq \sum_{k \in T_m} \alpha \left( \frac{k+1}{3^m} \right) - \alpha \left( \frac{k}{3^m} \right),$$

and Corollary 0.8 and Lemma 0.2 imply

$$\sum_{k \in T_m} \alpha \left( \frac{k+1}{3^m} \right) - \alpha \left( \frac{k}{3^m} \right) = |T_m| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^m 2 \cdot (2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1}.$$

Recalling that we chose  $\epsilon_i$  to satisfy  $2(2 + \epsilon_i)^{-1} > 2^{-2^{-i}}$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \Delta(\alpha)_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^m 2^{-2^{-i}} = 2^{-\sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-i}} > 2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore  $U(P, f, \alpha) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \Delta(\alpha)_i > \frac{1}{2}$  while  $L(P, f, \alpha) = 0$ . We conclude that  $f$  is not integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\alpha$ .  $\square$