Student ASE Evaluation for Christian Woods
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 20 - Intro/Discrete Mathematics (Cicotti, Pietro)
Summer Session 1 2013

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 17
Number of Students Enrolled: 72

1. The Teaching Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

11 (64.7%): Strongly Agree
6 (35.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Teaching Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

12 (70.6%): Strongly Agree
4 (23.5%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Teaching Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

12 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
4 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]
4. The Teaching Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

9 (52.9%): Strongly Agree
8 (47.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Teaching Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

6 (35.3%): Strongly Agree
7 (41.2%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
4 (23.5%): Not Applicable

6. The Teaching Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

12 (70.6%): Strongly Agree
4 (23.5%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Teaching Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

13 (76.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (23.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Teaching Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

9 (52.9%): Strongly Agree
6 (35.3%): Agree
2 (11.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
9. The Teaching Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

11 (64.7%): Strongly Agree
1 (5.9%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
4 (23.5%): Not Applicable

10. The Teaching Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

9 (52.9%): Strongly Agree
6 (35.3%): Agree
1 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (5.9%): Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Teaching Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

15 (78.9%): No issues
0 (0.0%): Too quiet
0 (0.0%): Too loud
2 (10.5%): Too fast
0 (0.0%): Too slow
1 (5.3%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"
1 (5.3%): Other (please describe)
  • Heavy accent. Hard to understand.

12. I would recommend this Teaching Assistant to other students.

13 (81.3%): Strongly Agree
3 (18.8%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1: [No Response]
13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Teaching Assistant.

- Does a great job at taking problems that seem difficult and simplifying them into simple steps that are easy to follow.
- He is a good lecturer, the students took well to his discussions as ~50% showed up regularly and stayed for the full hour and during midterm reviews ~90% of the class attended the full hour discussion. He does a good job holding up in front of 100+ pupils
- He is very good at explaining the material.
- He was very helpful and was able to sum topics up in a simple way.
- Put a difficult subject into common understandable language
- Very clear outlines and reviews

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Teaching Assistant.

- He doesn't have any observable weakness during discussions (the only time I met with christian was at discussion).
- No issues.
- Not always available but there are only so many hours in a day
- Stray away from relevances between arithmetic and logic symbols; that makes me really confused. Thanks :(

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

*Please provide any additional constructive comments*

- He is an awesome TA.
- He taught the material via chalk board, and as I said the students took well to his lecture style. AAA rating.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.