

LECTURE 4  
(Friday Oct. 4, 2019)

GOAL: Define addition & multiplication on the set

$$\mathbb{Z}_N = \{ [0], [1], \dots, [N-1] \} \quad \text{"integers mod } N\text{"}$$

(Collection of all residue classes modulo  $N$ .)

— idea: How to add two boxes of numbers?

- 1) Pick a number from each box
- 2) Add the two numbers
- 3) Put the sum back in a box.

PROBLEM: The resulting box in 3) may depend on the numbers drawn in 1). ... i.e., not well-defined.

↳ the "sum" of the two boxes.

EX: Divide  $\mathbb{Z}$  into two boxes:

$$A = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} : x > 0 \} \quad \text{— What's } A+B?$$

$$B = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \leq 0 \}$$

◦ First say we pick  $1 \in A$  and  $-2 \in B$ .

$$\text{Then } 1 + (-2) = -1 \in B.$$

◦ On the other hand, picking  $2 \in A$  and  $-1 \in B$

$$\text{yields } 2 + (-1) = 1 \in A. \quad \text{— different boxes!}$$

→ This issue does not arise for residue classes:

Theorem. Suppose  $a \equiv a'$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{N}$ .  
Then: (i)  $ab \equiv a'b'$  and  
(ii)  $a+b \equiv a'+b'$ .

↑  
- suppress;  
N is fixed.

PROOF(ii):  $(a+b) - (a'+b') =$

$(a-a') + (b-b')$ : divisible by N ✓  
↑ both multiples of N.

trick ↘  
(i)  $ab - a'b' = ab - \underbrace{a'b + a'b}_{\text{cancel}} - a'b'$

$= (a-a')b + a'(b-b')$ : divisible by N ✓  
↑ N-multiples

→ This result justified:

Definition. Two residue classes in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  are added/multiplied by the rules

$$[a] + [b] := [a+b]$$

$$[a] \cdot [b] := [ab]$$

(on RHS usual + and  $\cdot$  for  $\mathbb{Z}$ )

◦ Well-defined:

using  
Thm.

$$[a] = [a'] \text{ and } [b] = [b'] \implies$$

$$[a+b] = [a'+b'] \text{ and } [ab] = [a'b'] \checkmark$$

Observe:  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $+$  is a group (abelian).

Indeed, the associative law is "inherited" from  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \circ ([a] + [b]) + [c] &= [a+b] + [c] \\ &= [a+b+c] = [a] + [b+c] = \end{aligned}$$

$$e \downarrow [a] + ([b] + [c])$$

$$\circ [a] + [0] = [a+0] = [a]$$

$$\circ [a] + [-a] = [a+(-a)] = [0].$$

↖ the (additive) inverse of  $[a]$ .

◦ However,  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $\cdot$  is not a group:

Associative law holds,  $[1]$  is neutral, but

$[0]$  has no (multiplicative) inverse — unless  $N=1$ .

- Taking out  $[0]$  may still not yield a group:

Theorem.  $[a]$  has a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  exactly when  $\text{GCD}(a, N) = 1$ .  
"coprime".

Why?  $\Uparrow$ : 1<sup>st</sup> suppose  $a, N$  are coprime. Find  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 = ax + Ny$ . Shows

$1 \equiv ax \pmod{N}$ . I.e.,  $[x]$  is an inverse of  $[a]$ .

$\Downarrow$ : Conversely, if  $[a] \cdot [x] = [1]$  we conclude  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . In other words  $1 - ax = Ny$  for some  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $d > 0$  divides  $a, N$  this shows  $d \mid 1$

— and therefore  $d = 1$ .  $\square$

[Exc: If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  are both coprime to  $N$ , then so is their product  $ab$ .

(Hint:  $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ )

— Deduce from exercise that  $\cdot$  is a composition law on the set

$$\mathbb{Z}_N^\times = \{ [a] : \text{GCD}(a, N) = 1 \}.$$

family of all invertible residue classes.

(multiplicative) group of units.

Note:  $|\mathbb{Z}_N^\times| = \#\{a : 0 \leq a < N, \text{GCD}(a, N) = 1\} \stackrel{m}{=} \sum \mathbb{Z}_N.$   
 $= \phi(N)$  "Euler's totient function".

Special case:  $N = p$  prime.

Here every positive  $a < p$  is coprime to  $p$ , so

$$|\mathbb{Z}_p^\times| = \phi(p) = p - 1.$$

— in other words, in the prime case,

$\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  consists of all nonzero residue classes.

Ex ( $N = 6$ )  $\mathbb{Z}_6^\times = \{ [1], [5] \}.$

$[2] \cdot [3] = [0].$  "zero-divisors"