

WEEK

1.

$p = \text{prime}$

HENSEL (1897): " p -adic numbers" \mathbb{Z}_p .

Motivation: $f(x)$ polynomial with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients.

Suppose $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a root mod p :

$$f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

- Can one lift it to a root mod p^2 ?

Want an $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

1) $s \equiv r \pmod{p}$ and

2) $f(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$

Ex: Quadratic reciprocity \rightarrow

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$,
($p \nmid a, p > 2$)

Taylor expansion: $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n (x-r)^n$ with

Insert $s = r + tp$

$$c_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(r)}{n!} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

↑ vary $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= \sum_{n=0}^N c_n (tp)^n = f(r) + f'(r)(tp) + \sum_{n=2}^N (\dots) \\ &= f(r) + f'(r)(tp) + (tp)^2 g(t) \\ &= mp + f'(r)(tp) + (tp)^2 g(t) \end{aligned}$$

Choose $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which

$$m + f'(r)t \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

possible provided:

$$f'(r) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

i.e., r is a simple root mod p .

(also shows the lift is unique mod p^2)

Same argument works for higher powers.

($n > 0$ fixed)

HENSEL'S LEMMA (v.1): Suppose

$$\begin{cases} f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n} \\ f'(r) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{cases} \text{ and}$$

Then $\exists s \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. 1) $s \equiv r \pmod{p^n}$ and

2) $f(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$

Furthermore, this s is unique mod p^{n+1} .

Thus, if r is a simple root mod p , it lifts uniquely up the tower:

$$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \longleftarrow \dots$$

$$[r] = [x_1] \longleftarrow [x_2] \longleftarrow [x_3]$$

where $f(x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$.

I.e., $[r]$ lifts uniquely to a root of f in

$$\mathbb{Z}_p := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$$

compatible sequences $([x_1], [x_2], [x_3], \dots)$

~ meaning: $x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{p^n}$.

Ring under componentwise add. & mult.

Exc: (1) \mathbb{Z}_p integral domain ($\mathbb{Q}_p := \text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$)

Hint: Use "valuation" ($x \neq 0$)

$$v(x) = \max \{ n \geq 0 : x_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n} \}$$

Check $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$. $x = (0000 \underbrace{***}_{\text{all nonzero}} \dots)$

(2) \mathbb{Z}_p local w. $\mathfrak{m} = (p) = p\mathbb{Z}_p$. principal
(and residue field \mathbb{F}_p)

Hint: Show $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ by applying HENSEL to $cX - 1$ where $p \nmid c$.

Topology: $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \prod_{n>0} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ compact and Hausdorff (Tychonoff).

neighborhood basis at 0:

$$p^n \mathbb{Z}_p = \{x: v(x) \geq n\}$$

↖ open balls for the metric

$$d(x,y) = p^{-v(x-y)} = |x-y|.$$

On $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ this is the usual p -adic abs. value:

$$([x], [x], [x], \dots)$$

$$|x| = p^{-v} \text{ if}$$

$$x = up^v \text{ with } p \nmid u.$$

Note:

- \mathbb{Z}_p is complete (Cauchy \Rightarrow convergent); indeed a compact metric space.

extract
Conv. subseq.

- $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is dense,

(why? $x + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ contains an integer)

$$x = ([x_1], \dots, [x_n], \dots)$$

$$= ([x_n], \dots, [x_n], \dots) \in x_n + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Reformulation: $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Conclude: \mathbb{Z}_p is a complete local ^{domain} ring, $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$
w. residue field \mathbb{F}_p ,

$\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ dense
["the p-adic completion"].

$K = \text{field}$.

An absolute value on K is $|\cdot|: K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t.

$$(1) |a| = 0 \iff a = 0.$$

$$(2) |ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$$

$$(3) |a+b| \leq |a| + |b| \quad (\forall a, b \in K)$$

~~Ex~~ • The trivial one: $|a| = \begin{cases} 1 & a \neq 0 \\ 0 & a = 0 \end{cases}$
— when K finite it's the only one ($a^n = 1, n = |K^\times|$ implies $|a| = 1$)

• the ordinary $|\cdot|_\infty$ on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} :

$$|z|_\infty = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}, \quad z = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$|x|_\infty = \text{sign}(x)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

• The p -adic $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q} : $\forall x \neq 0$, write

$$x = p^v \frac{a}{b} \text{ where } v \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } p \nmid ab.$$

$$|x|_p = p^{-v}$$

sat. the "strong triangle inequality":

$$|x+y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$$

- Equivalently $v_p(x) := v$ defines a valuation, i.e.

(i) $v_p(x) = \infty \iff x = 0$

(ii) $v_p(xy) = v_p(x) + v_p(y)$

(iii) $v_p(x+y) \geq \min\{v_p(x), v_p(y)\}$

↖ why? May assume $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ by scaling.
 $x = p^v a, y = p^w b, p \nmid ab.$

Suppose $v \leq w$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x+y &= p^v a + p^{w-v} p^v b \\ &= p^v (a + p^{w-v} b) \end{aligned}$$

Shows $v_p(x+y) \geq v$. ↑ (may have "extra" factors p)
 \mathbb{Z}

Note: Argument also shows that if $v < w$ then $v_p(x+y) = v$. I.e.,

$$|x+y|_p = \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$$

provided $|x|_p \neq |y|_p$.

EXC ("PRODUCT FORMULA"): $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$

$$\prod_{p \leq \infty} |x|_p = 1$$

(hint: \mathbb{Q}^\times generated by ± 1 and primes l . Take $x = l$
 $|l|_\infty = l$ and $|l|_p = \dots$)

$\circ K = k(t) = \text{Frac } k[t] \quad (k \text{ finite field}) \quad \mathbb{P}^1$

$\mathfrak{p} \subseteq k[t]$ max ideal, $\mathfrak{p} = (p(t))$
 gives valuation \uparrow
 irreducible, monic.

$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) =$ exponent of \mathfrak{p} in factorization of $(f(t))$

$|f|_{\mathfrak{p}} = q^{-v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)}$ \forall choice of $q > 1$.
 (usually $q = |k|$)

Moreover,

$$\deg(f+g) \leq \max\{\deg f, \deg g\}$$

so $v_{\infty} = -\deg$ is another valuation on K .

$$|f|_{\infty} = q^{\deg(f)}$$



— all sat. strong triangle inequality.
 (product formula?)

Proposition $|\cdot|$ on K sat. strong triangle inequality

$\iff |\cdot|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1_K$.

[If so we say $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedean.]

PROOF. \Downarrow : $|m \cdot 1_K| = \underbrace{|1 + \dots + 1|}_{m} \leq |1| = \boxed{1}$
 (for $m > 0$)

↑: Suppose $|m \cdot 1_K| \leq N, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$
 — Then, for all $n > 0$, and $x, y \in K$,

$$|x+y|^n \stackrel{(2)}{=} \left| \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r y^{n-r} \right|$$

$$\stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \sum_{r=0}^n \underbrace{\left| \binom{n}{r} \right|}_{\leq N} \cdot \underbrace{|x|^r \cdot |y|^{n-r}}_{\leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}^n}$$

$$\leq N(n+1) \cdot \max\{|x|, |y|\}^n$$

n^{th} roots:

$$|x+y| \leq N^{1/n} \cdot (n+1)^{1/n} \cdot \max\{|x|, |y|\}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$.

\downarrow
1

□

[Corollary If $\text{char}(K) > 0$ then every
 $|\cdot|$ on K is non-archimedean. (since $\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1_K$ finite)

★ Observation:
 (cf. $|\cdot|_p$ discussion above)

If $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedean,

$$|x+y| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$$

provided $|x| \neq |y|$.

Why? Suppose $|x| > |y|$. Then:

$$|x+y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\} = |x|.$$

must be equality \rightarrow otherwise

$$|x| = |x+y-y| \leq \max\{|x+y|, |y|\} < |x|.$$

(contradiction)

both are $< |x|$.

Exc (1) If $|\cdot|$ is an abs. value, so is $|\cdot|^c$ for $0 < c \leq 1$.

show:

$$(|x|+|y|)^c \leq |x|^c + |y|^c$$

\leftarrow FALSE $c=2$.

(2) $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedean $\iff |\cdot|^c$ abs. value for all $c > 0$.

(\implies) easy. \Leftarrow :

$$|m \cdot 1_K|^c \leq \underbrace{|1_K|^c + \dots + |1_K|^c}_m = m$$

Take $(\cdot)^{1/c}$ and let

$c \rightarrow \infty$

Exc Given $|\cdot|$ non-archimedean, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$.

Suppose $\max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$ is achieved once (some $|x_i| > |x_j|$ for all $j \neq i$)
Then $\max\{\dots\} = |x_1 + \dots + x_n|$.

Hint: $i=1$. Then $|x_1| > \max\{|x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$. So:

$$|x_1 + (x_2 + \dots + x_n)| = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2 + \dots + x_n|\} = |x_1|. \quad \checkmark$$

\uparrow $n=2$ case.

$K =$ field w. absolute value $|\cdot|$.

Metric: $d(x, y) = |x - y|$.

- hence topology w. basis of open balls

Note: $|\cdot|_{\text{triv}}$ gives the $B_r(x) = \{y : |x - y| < r\}$.

discrete top.: $B_r(x) = \{x\}$ for $r \leq 1$.

- Thus K becomes a topological field ($+$ and \cdot cont.)

and $|\cdot|: K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous; indeed

$$||x| - |y||_{\infty} \leq |x - y| \quad (\text{exc.})$$

Def. $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ on K are equivalent if they def. the same top.

EX. $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ and $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q} are inequivalent:

$p^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ rel. $|\cdot|_p$, not $|\cdot|_{\infty}$.

- Similarly $|\cdot|_p$ and $|\cdot|_q$ are inequivalent for $p \neq q$:

"Ostrowski's Thm": $|p^n|_q = 1$.
(LATER)

Every non-triv. $|\cdot|$ on \mathbb{Q} is
 \sim to either $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ or a unique $|\cdot|_p$.

→ Extends to number fields K/\mathbb{Q} :
 1) Every max $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ gives non-arch.
 $|x|_{\mathfrak{p}} = N(\mathfrak{p})^{-v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}$

2) Every embedding $\tau: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ gives
 $|x|_{\tau} = |\tau(x)|_{\infty}$.

(obviously $| \cdot |_{\tau} = | \cdot |_{\bar{\tau}}$)

FACT: Real embeddings give ineq. $| \cdot |_{\tau}$.

$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ has two:
 \uparrow "θ" $\theta^2 = 2$. $\begin{cases} \tau(\theta) = \sqrt{2} \\ \sigma(\theta) = -\sqrt{2} \end{cases}$

$$|(1+\theta)^n|_{\tau} = |(1+\sqrt{2})^n|_{\infty} = (1+\sqrt{2})^n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$|(1+\theta)^n|_{\sigma} = |(1-\sqrt{2})^n|_{\infty} = (\sqrt{2}-1)^n \rightarrow 0.$$

obv.
 * REMARK: $| \cdot | \sim | \cdot |_{\text{triv}}$
 (i.e., $| \cdot |$ gives the discrete top.)

$$\implies | \cdot | = | \cdot |_{\text{triv}}$$

($x \neq 0$. Show $|x| = 1$.
 If $|x| < 1$, $x^n \rightarrow 0$ in disc. top.
 i.e., $x^n = 0, n \gg 0$.
 $\implies x = 0$.
 If $|x| > 1$, consider x^{-1})

Prop. $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ non-triv. abs. values on K . T.F.A.E.

(1) $|\cdot|_1 \sim |\cdot|_2$ (define same topology)

(2) $|\cdot|_2 = |\cdot|_1^c$ for some $c > 0$.

(3) $|x|_1 < 1$ implies $|x|_2 < 1$

(Can add: They have same open unit ball at 0)

PROOF. (2) \Rightarrow (1): Same balls ($r \rightsquigarrow r^c$).

(1) \Rightarrow (3): $|x|_1 < 1$ implies $x^n \rightarrow 0$ rel. $|\cdot|_1$,
thus rel. $|\cdot|_2$. I.e., $|x|_2 < 1$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Pick $y \in K$ with $|y|_1 > 1$.

Then also $|y|_2 > 1$ \nwarrow non-triv.

(apply (3) to y^{-1})

* CLAIM: $c = \frac{\ln|y|_2}{\ln|y|_1} > 0$ works in (2).

I.e.,

$|x|_2 = |x|_1^c$ for all x .

note: $|y|_2 = |y|_1^c$ (A)

Fix an $x \neq 0$. Put $b = \frac{\ln|x|_1}{\ln|y|_1} \in \mathbb{R}$

— Will show: $|x|_2 = |y|_2^b$.

(sufficient since then

$|x|_2 = |y|_2^b = |y|_1^{bc} = |x|_1^c$)

(A) (B)

note: $|x|_1 = |y|_1^b$
(B)

- First, choose any $\frac{m}{n} > b$. Then,

$$|x|_1 = |y|_1^b < |y|_1^{\frac{m}{n}} \Rightarrow \left| \frac{x^n}{y^m} \right|_1 < 1 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{x^n}{y^m} \right|_2 < 1 \quad (3)$$

meaning $|x|_2 < |y|_2^{\frac{m}{n}}$. Letting $\frac{m}{n} \downarrow b$ yields

- Second, same argument with

$$|x|_2 \leq |y|_2^b.$$

$\frac{m}{n} \uparrow b$ yields $|x|_2 \geq |y|_2^b$. \square

Ostrowski ($K = \mathbb{Q}$): Every non-triv. $|\cdot|$ is / done.
 equivalent to either $|\cdot|_\infty$ or $|\cdot|_p$ for a unique p .

PROOF. (1) First suppose $|\cdot|$ non-archimedean

— Pick an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with (i.e., $|m| \leq 1 \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$|n| < 1.$$

↖ non-trivial.

exists? Othw. $|x| = 1 \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$.

Assume n is the smallest such. (well-ordering)

This n must be a prime:

Rename it p ; $|p| < 1$.

CLAIM: $|\cdot| \sim |\cdot|_p$.

$$n = ab$$

$$1 > |n| = |a| \cdot |b|$$

↑ ↑
 each ≤ 1 ;

cannot both be 1.

If $|a| < 1$, $a = n$ by minimality. etc.

* prelim. obs.:

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}, |a| < 1 \Rightarrow p | a.$$

[indeed $a = mp + r$, $0 \leq r < p$.

so, $|r| \leq \max\{|a|, |mp|\} < 1$. Minimality of p gives $r = 0$].

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ be non-zero.

$$a = p^{v_p(a)} u \quad \text{where } p \nmid u.$$

Note: $|u| = 1$.

(know $|u| \leq 1$ and cannot be < 1 by obs. (*))