

WEEK

4.

Corollary (K complete) $f \in R[x]$. Suppose $\alpha_0 \in R$ sat.

$$f(\alpha_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } f'(\alpha_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

[i.e., $\bar{\alpha}_0 \in k$ is a simple root of $\bar{f} \in k[x]$]

Then $\exists \alpha \in R$ s.t. (1) $f(\alpha) = 0$ and
(2) $\alpha \equiv \alpha_0 \pmod{m}$.

[i.e., $\bar{\alpha}_0$ lifts to a root of f in R]

— This α is nec. unique: If $\alpha \neq \beta$ both work
(and simple)

$$f(x) = (x-\alpha)(x-\beta)q(x)$$

— In other words,

$R = \mathcal{O}_K$ is HENSELIAN.

$$\Rightarrow \bar{f}(x) = (x-\bar{\alpha}_0)^2 \bar{q}(x)$$

contradicts $\bar{\alpha}_0$ is simple.

Def. Let (R, m, k) be a local ring.

We say R is Henselian if \forall monic $f \in R[x]$,

and every simple root $\rho \in k$ of \bar{f} ,

there exists an $\alpha \in R$ s.t. $f(\alpha) = 0$ and $\rho = \bar{\alpha}$.

(“strictly Henselian” when $k = k^{\text{sep}}$)

Commutative algebra (sp. Stacks project, tag 04QE):

R Henselian iff following holds: "Hensel's Lemma"

For any $f \in R[x]$ and any factorization:

$\bar{f} = g_0 h_0$ with $\text{GCD}(g_0, h_0) = 1$,
there's a factorization $f = gh$ in $R[x]$ s.t.

$$g_0 = \bar{g} \text{ and } h_0 = \bar{h}$$

(moreover, $\deg(g) = \deg(g_0)$).

"if" is obvious: $\bar{f}(x) = (x - \rho) h_0(x)$ lifts to
 $f(x) = (x - \alpha) h(x)$.
 ↖ ↗
 coprime if p simple

Application: Consider $x^{p-1} - 1 = \prod_{\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} (x - \rho)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

By Hensel for \mathbb{Z}_p ,

each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ lifts uniquely

to a root $\hat{\rho} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ of $x^{p-1} - 1$.

↳ $(p-1)^{\text{st}}$ root of unity in \mathbb{Q}_p .

$$1 \rightarrow 1+p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times \rightarrow 1$$

splits: As top. groups,

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong \mu_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times (1+p\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

Tschmüller lift.
(representatives)

Hensel-Kurschak Lemma: K complete, non-arch.

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_Nx^N \in K[x].$$

Assume (i) f irreducible, monic ($a_N = 1$)
(ii) $a_0 \in R$.

Then all $a_i \in R$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

PROOF. More general statement:

If $f \in K[x]$ is any polynomial,
let (not nec. irr./monic)

$$\|f\| := \max\{|a_0|, |a_1|, \dots, |a_N|\}.$$

Assume ($a_N \neq 0$)

(a) $\|f\| > |a_N|$ and

(b) $\|f\| = |a_i|$ for some $i > 0$.

Then f is reducible.

Why? May assume $\|f\| = 1$. — in this case (a) & (b) amount to:

Thus $f \in R[x]$ and $a_i \in R^\times$
for some $0 < i < N$.

$|a_N| < 1$ and some $|a_i| = 1$
for $i > 0$.

Let r be the largest such i .

Thus $a_i \in m$ for $r < i \leq N$, and therefore

$$\overline{f}(x) = (\overline{a_0} + \overline{a_1}x + \dots + \overline{a_r}x^r) \cdot \overline{1}$$

↑
nonzero.

— Remark:
(will use it to extend $|\cdot|$ on K to $\overline{f \text{ in } K}$)

Factors obviously coprime, so by HENSEL: $f = gh$

where $\bar{g} = \bar{f}$ and $\bar{h} = \bar{1}$. (moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f \text{ reducible } \checkmark & \quad \deg(g) = \deg(\bar{g}) \\ & \quad = r \end{aligned}$$

- back to our special case: If $f \in K[x]$ reducible
and $a_n = 1$,

either (a) or (b) fails.

I.e., either $\|f\| \leq 1$ or $\|f\| = |e_0| \leq 1$

In both cases $\|f\| \leq 1$. Equivalently, $f \in R[x]$ (by (ii))
(so all $a_i \in R$). \square

Extension Thm. $K, |\cdot|$ complete. E/K finite, $n = [E:K]$.
 There's a unique $\|\cdot\|$ on E extending $|\cdot|$ on K ,
 and $E, \|\cdot\|$ is complete.

◦ Formula: $\|x\| = |N_{E/K}(x)|^{1/n}$
 ($x \in E$)

[Therefore $|\cdot|$ also extends uniquely to $\bar{K} = \text{alg. closure}$].

— Formula follows mediately from uniqueness:

First suppose E/K Galois, $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$, $|G| = n$.

Then $\forall \gamma \in G$, $x \mapsto \|\gamma(x)\|$ abs. value ext. $|\cdot|$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |N_{E/K}(x)| &= \prod_{\gamma \in G} \|\gamma(x)\| && \|\cdot\| \\ &= \|x\|^n \end{aligned}$$

[If not Galois pass to normal closure
 and use above obs. for \tilde{E}/K].



★ Difficulty: $x \mapsto |N_{E/K}(x)|^{1/n}$
 sat. strong triangle ineq.

Exc.: A multiplicative function $f: E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

sat. strong triangle ineq. iff

$$f(\alpha) \leq 1 \implies f(\alpha+1) \leq 1, \text{ all } \alpha \in E.$$

[hint: only if obvious. "if" suppose $\alpha, \beta \in E^x$ and $f(\alpha) \leq f(\beta)$. Then,

$$f(\alpha + \beta) = f(\beta) f\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + 1\right) \leq f(\beta) \cdot 1 = \max$$

↖ note: $f\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) = \frac{f(\alpha)}{f(\beta)} \leq 1.$]

Note: $|\cdot|_\infty$ on \mathbb{C} does not extend to $\mathbb{C}(t)$
 — follows from: \mathbb{C} $\xrightarrow{1 \text{ trans.}}$ \mathbb{C}

Prop. $E \supset \mathbb{C}$ with $\|\cdot\|$ extending $|\cdot|_\infty$. Then $E = \mathbb{C}$.

PF (sketch): If $E \neq \mathbb{C}$ pick any $a \in E \setminus \mathbb{C}$.

Has a "nearest" point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$: $d(a, \mathbb{C}) = \|a - z_0\|$
 (exc)

That is, $\|a - z\| \geq \|a - z_0\| \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then $\tilde{a} := a - z_0$ sat. $\|\tilde{a} - z\| \geq \|\tilde{a}\|$
 \Rightarrow $E \setminus \mathbb{C}$ \quad for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.



Scaling \tilde{a} arrange that $\|\tilde{a}\| > 1$.

by $c > 0$. Summary: Found $b \in E \setminus \mathbb{C}$ s.t.
 $\|b - z\| \geq \|b\| > 1 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Using $b^n - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (b - \zeta^i)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary,

$$\|b - 1\| = \frac{\|b^n - 1\|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \|b - \zeta^i\|} \leq \frac{\|b^n - 1\|}{\|b\|^{n-1}} =$$

$$\|b - \frac{1}{b^{n-1}}\|$$

$$\|b - 1\| \leq \|b\|$$

\uparrow equality ($z = 1$ above)

$\downarrow 0$ as
 $n \rightarrow \infty$
 — since
 $\|b\| > 1$.

$\Rightarrow \boxed{\|b-1\| = \|b\|}$. Repeating the argument for $b-1$ yields $\|b-n\| = \|b\| \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. etc.

Then, $n = |n|_\infty = \|n\| \leq \|b-n\| + \|b\| = 2\|b\|$
 - contradiction \square .

Ostrowski's 2nd thm.: $K, |\cdot|$ archimedean & complete.
 Then $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $K = \mathbb{C}$ with $|\cdot| \sim |\cdot|_\infty$.

Idea: archimedean, $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$. and $|\cdot| \sim |\cdot|_\infty$ on \mathbb{Q} .
 Complete - so
 in fact $\mathbb{R} \subseteq K$.
 (char = 0)

If $\mathbb{C} \subseteq K$ the above prop. shows $\mathbb{C} = K$.
 (otherwise more work - omit).

\sim Assume $K, |\cdot|$ non-archimedean & complete. E
/ finite
K

Def. $V =$ vector space over K (eventually $V = E$)

A "norm" on V is $\|\cdot\|: V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ s.t.

1) $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$

2) $\|cx\| = |c| \cdot \|x\| \quad (c \in K, x \in V)$

3) $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$

(usually assume ultrametric)

~~A~~ $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ basis, $\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i\| := \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$

Prop. K with $|\cdot|$ complete, $\dim_K V < \infty$.

Let $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ be norms on V . Then $\exists a, b > 0$:

$$a|x|_1 \leq |x|_2 \leq b|x|_1 \quad \forall x \in V.$$

(so any two norms def. same topology on V , $|\cdot|_1 \sim |\cdot|_2$,
rel. to which V is complete).

PROOF. May assume

$|\cdot|_1 = |\cdot|_{\mathcal{B}}$ rel. (ordered)

basis \mathcal{B} . (transitivity)

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}.$$

↪ take a max-norm, e.g.
 $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{B}}$

[and non-arch. if K is].

↪ "any two norms are equivalent"
on n -dim space

~ Induction on $n = \dim V$. ($n=0$ ok)

Suppose $n > 0$ and ok for $\dim < n$.

Note: Any $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ has — for any norm $\|\cdot\|$,

$$\|x\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \cdot \|e_i\| \leq \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|e_i\| \right)}_b \cdot \underbrace{\max |x_i|}_{|x|_{\mathcal{B}}}$$

• Finding $a > 0$:

— introduce subspaces

$$W_i = \text{span} \{e_1, \dots, \overset{\wedge}{e_i}, \dots, e_n\} \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

$\dim W_i = n-1$. By induction all norms on W_i are \sim
and each W_i is complete rel. any $\|\cdot\|$

May restrict $\|\cdot\|$ on V to W_i . In particular $W_i \subseteq V$

$\Rightarrow \underbrace{e_i + W_i}_{\text{closed}}$. closed.
(rd. $\|\cdot\|$)

0 not in here; find open ball $B_\varepsilon(0)$ s.t.

$$B_\varepsilon(0) \cap (e_i + W_i) = \emptyset$$

for all i (shrink ε if necessary).

★) CLAIM: $\alpha = \varepsilon$ works (i.e., $\varepsilon \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in V$).

Let $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Pick i s.t. $\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |x_i|$. Then

$x_i^{-1}x = \dots + 1e_i + \dots$ lies in $e_i + W_i$,

therefore not in $B_\varepsilon(0)$:

$$\|x_i^{-1}x\| \geq \varepsilon. \text{ done. } \square$$

Corollary. K with $|\cdot|$ complete, E/K finite.
(non-trivial)

If $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ are abs. val. on E ext. $|\cdot|$ on K , $|\cdot|_1 = |\cdot|_2$

PROOF. By Prop., $|\cdot|_2 = |\cdot|_1^c$, some $c > 0$. (and E complete)

Pick $a \in K^\times$ with $|a| \neq 1$. Then $|a|_2 = |a| = |a|_1^c = |a|^c$
shows $c = 1$. \square