

WEEK

5.

with $|\cdot|$, non-archimedean.

Extension Thm.: K complete, E/K finite, $n = [E:K]$.

Then $|\cdot|$ extends uniquely to an abs.-value $\|\cdot\|$ on E .

Formula: $\forall x \in E$, \searrow ok by Cor. above.

$$\|x\| = |N_{E/K}(x)|^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Define $f: E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $f(x) = |N(x)|^{1/n}$.

Strong triangle inequality? (multiplicative \checkmark)

- Equivalently, $f(x) \leq 1 \Rightarrow f(x+1) \leq 1$? $\forall x \in E$.

Suppose $f(x) \leq 1$. Minimal polynomial:

$$h(t) = \text{Irr}(x, K, t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{m-1} t^{m-1} + t^m$$

Here (204A):

$$(m = [K(x):K])$$

$$\bigwedge_{K[t]}.$$

$$a_0 = \pm N_{K(x)/K}(x)$$

$$[E:K(x)]$$

Observe: $N_{E/K}(x) = N_{K(x)/K}(N_{E/K(x)}(x)) = N_{K(x)/K}(x)$.

Therefore,

$$|a_0| = |N_{K(x)/K}(x)| \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} f(x)^m \leq 1, \text{ i.e. } a_0 \in R.$$

By Hensel-Karschak all $a_i \in R$.

So, $h(t-1) = \text{Irr}(x+1, K, t) \in R[t]$

$h(t-1)$ has constant term: $\pm N_{K(\alpha)/K}(\alpha+1)$.

\uparrow
 \mathbb{R}

\parallel as above obs.

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha+1)^m \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha+1) \leq 1.$$

done \square

$$\pm N_{E/K}(\alpha+1)^{m/n}$$

- has abs. value:

$$\pm f(\alpha+1)^m \cdot (\text{unit})$$

• Remark: $|\cdot|$ extends uniquely to \overline{K} ,

- but not complete (fact); consider \hat{K} .

e.g. $\mathbb{F}_p := \hat{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Exc K with $|\cdot|$ complete, non-arch.

- For $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ T.F.A.E.: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1) |\alpha| \leq 1 \\ (2) \text{Ir}(\alpha, K, t) \in \mathbb{R}[t] \\ (3) \alpha \text{ integral over } \mathbb{R}. \end{array} \right.$

(hint: (1) \Rightarrow (2): Constant term $a_0 = \pm N_{K(\alpha)/K}(\alpha)$ has $|a_0| = |\alpha|^{[K(\alpha):K]} \leq 1$. Done by Hensel-Kurschak.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose $\alpha^m + a_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$ with all $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.
If $|\alpha| > 1$, $|\alpha|^m \leq \max\{|a_0|, \dots, |a_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1}|\} \leq |\alpha|^{m-1}$
(contradiction)

K with $|\cdot|$, possibly incomplete
 (ex. \mathbb{Q} with $|\cdot|_p$)
 $E =$ number field, $\wp|_p$.

E
 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{finite} \\ \& \text{separable} \end{array} \right| n = [E:K].$
 K

Thm. (a) $|\cdot|$ extends to E .

(b) $|\cdot|$ has $\leq n$ extensions to E , say $|\cdot|_i$ with

(c) Let \hat{E}_i be the i th completion. $i=1, 2, \dots, r$.

then:

$$E \otimes_K \hat{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \hat{E}_i$$

(isom. of \hat{K} -alg.)

- In particular, $[E:K] = \sum_{i=1}^r [\hat{E}_i : \hat{K}]$.

PROOF. $C = \hat{K}^{\text{alg}}$ with the $|\cdot|_C$ extending $\|\cdot\|$ on \hat{K} (complete).

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(E, C) = \{ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \} \quad (\text{sep.})$$

Note: $x \mapsto |\sigma(x)|_C$ is abs. value on E ext. $|\cdot|$ on K .
 \parallel
 $|x|_\sigma \Rightarrow$ (a).

Claim: Any $|\cdot|'$ on E ext. $|\cdot|$ on K is of the form $|\cdot|_\sigma \Rightarrow$ (b).

- why? $E' =$ completion of E rel. $|\cdot|'$ (may have repetitions
 i.e., may happen:
 $K' =$ closure of K in E' . $|\cdot|_\sigma = |\cdot|_\tau$ for $\sigma \neq \tau$)



• Observe that $E' = EK'$.
 (thus E'/K' finite)

(RHS finite / K' thus complete, contains E as dense subf.)

Since $|\cdot|'$ extends $|\cdot|$ on K ,

K' is another completion of K . Unique: Pick

$$\gamma: K' \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{K}$$

isometric K -linear

isomorphism of fields.

Extend it to embedding

$$\sigma: E' \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $x \mapsto |\sigma(x)|_{\mathbb{C}}$ extends $|\cdot|'$ on K' (complete)

$$\begin{array}{c} \cong \\ E' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ |\cdot|' \end{array} \quad \text{unique...}$$

Certainly for $x \in E \subseteq E'$: $|x|' = |\sigma(x)|_{\mathbb{C}} = |x|_{\sigma|_E}$.

(c): \exists_K sep., choose primitive element: $E = K(\alpha)$.

$$f(t) = \text{Irr}(\alpha, K, t) = f_1(t) \cdots f_s(t)$$

— factor in $\widehat{K}[t]$

w. $f_i \in \widehat{K}[t]$ irreducible, distinct.

Pick a root $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for each f_i .

Let $\sigma_i: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the embedding $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$.

$$\alpha \longmapsto \alpha_i$$

Consider an arbitrary $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(E, \mathbb{C})$.

$\sigma(\alpha)$ is a root of precisely one of the f_i .

$$\widehat{K}(\alpha_i) \xleftarrow{\sim} \widehat{K}[t]/(f_i(t)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{K}(\alpha).$$

Find Galois auto. $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\widehat{K})$ sending $\tau(\alpha_i) = \sigma(\alpha).$

- Shows: $\sigma = \tau \sigma_i.$

Thus, $\forall x \in E,$

$$|x|_\sigma = |\tau \sigma_i(x)| = |\sigma_i(x)| = |x|_{\sigma_i}$$

$$\parallel \\ \tau \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

obs. $|\tau(c)| = |c|$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$
(both sides ext. $\|\cdot\|$ on \widehat{K})

- \otimes any ext. of $\|\cdot\|$ on \widehat{K} to E is among $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \|\cdot\|_{\sigma_s}.$

— so $r \leq s.$

Claim $r = s$ (i.e., they're distinct).

Extend $\sigma_i : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to $\widehat{\sigma}_i : \widehat{E}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (multiple

(possible? $\sigma_i : E \rightarrow \widehat{K}(\alpha_i)$ is isometric into

complete field.) $\widehat{E}_i =$ completion of E rel. $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma_i}.$

Suppose $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma_i} = \|\cdot\|_{\sigma_j},$ some $1 \leq i, j \leq s.$

Then,

$$\widehat{\sigma}_j \circ \widehat{\sigma}_i^{-1} : \widehat{K}(\alpha_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{E}_i = \widehat{E}_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{K}(\alpha_j)$$

extends to a $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\widehat{K})$ with $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j.$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_j$ is a root of f_j and $f_i \Rightarrow i = j. \checkmark$

$$\hat{E}_i \cong \hat{K}[t]/(f_i)$$

~ Knowing $f = \prod f_i$, and $E \cong K[t]/(f)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{K}[t]/(f) & \xrightarrow[\text{CRT}]{\sim} & \prod_{i=1}^r \hat{K}[t]/(f_i) \\
 \uparrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 E \otimes_K \hat{K} & \xrightarrow{\text{composition of isoms.}} & \prod_{i=1}^r \hat{E}_i
 \end{array}$$

Remark: In general, if E/K is possibly inseparable,
 $| \cdot |$ has $\leq [E:K]_{\text{sep}}$ extensions (unique if purely inseparable),
 and

$$(E \otimes_K \hat{K})_{\text{red}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \hat{E}_i$$

so have $[E:K] \geq \sum [\hat{E}_i : \hat{K}]$.

Exc: Pick any max $m \subseteq E \otimes \hat{K}$, consider:
 ext. $| \cdot |$ on \hat{K} to F , restrict to $E \hookrightarrow F$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{field} \\
 F = (E \otimes \hat{K})/m \\
 \downarrow \text{fin.} \\
 \mathbb{R}
 \end{array}$$

complete: \hat{E} .

If $x \in \ker(\dots)$,
 diagram shows.
 $x \in m$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \hat{E} & \longrightarrow & F & \\
 \uparrow & \uparrow & & \nearrow & \\
 x \in & E \otimes \hat{K} & & &
 \end{array}$$

— conclude $\ker(\dots) = \bigcap m = \text{Rad}(0)$.

App.: Ostrowski for number fields K/\mathbb{Q} .
 $|\cdot|_\infty$ and $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q} extend to E .

I) Archimedean: Extensions of $|\cdot|_\infty$ are $|\cdot|_\sigma$ for
 some $\sigma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. (cf. proof above)

[Minkowski Theory $\Rightarrow |\cdot|_\sigma$ and $|\cdot|_{\bar{\sigma}}$ are
inequivalent unless $\sigma \in \{\tau, \bar{\tau}\}$.]

II) Non-arch.: Ext. of $|\cdot|_p$ are $|\cdot|_\sigma$ for $\sigma: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$

$\mathfrak{p} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_E: |x|_\sigma < 1\} \cong$ max id. in \mathcal{O}_E , $\mathfrak{p}|P$.
 (nonz. prime)
 gives $|\cdot|_\mathfrak{p}$ on E :

$$|x|_\mathfrak{p} = N(\mathfrak{p})^{-v_\mathfrak{p}(x)}$$

Note: $|\cdot|_\sigma \cong |\cdot|_\mathfrak{p}$.

Why? They're equivalent since they have the
 same unit ball \mathfrak{p} .

o Summary: Up to equivalence, the non-triv. $|\cdot|$
 on a number field E/\mathbb{Q} are:

I) $|\cdot|_\sigma$ for $\sigma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

II) $|\cdot|_\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_E$.

next
 understand:

Moreover, $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|P} E_\mathfrak{p}$.

$$\begin{array}{c} E_\mathfrak{p} \\ | \\ \mathbb{Q}_p \end{array}$$

K with non-arch. $|\cdot|$, $k = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$.

E/K finite, with some $|\cdot|$ ext. $|\cdot|$ on K .

(unique if K complete)

Get:

$$\mathcal{O}_E, \mathfrak{m}_E, k_E.$$

Note: $\mathcal{O}_K = K \cap \mathcal{O}_E$, $\mathfrak{m}_K = K \cap \mathfrak{m}_E$, $k_K \hookrightarrow k_E$.

Def: 1) "inertia degree" $f(E/K) = [k_E : k_K]$
2) "ramification index" $e(E/K) = [E^\times : K^\times]$.

both
(possibly ∞)
— a priori

Obs: \circ Both f and e are multiplicative in towers.

\circ ——— " ——— insensitive to completion.

(recall $k_K \cong k_{\hat{K}}$ and $|K^\times| = |\hat{K}^\times|$)

\circ In general $[E:K] \geq [\hat{E}:\hat{K}]$.

} cf.
↓

Lemma. If E/K finite, so are $e(E/K)$ and $f(E/K)$,
and

$$e(E/K) \cdot f(E/K) \leq [E:K]$$

PF. Choose $x_1, \dots, x_f \in \mathcal{O}_E$ s.t. $\{\bar{x}_i\}$ is a k_K -basis
Choose $y_1, \dots, y_e \in E^\times$ s.t. (just lin. independent) for k_E .
 $|y_j|$ lie in different cosets mod $|K^\times|$.

CLAIM: $\{x_i, y_j\}$ lin. independent over K ($\Rightarrow ef \leq n$)
" "
" "
" $[E:K]$ "

*) Prelim. obs.: $\left| \sum_{i=1}^f a_i x_i \right| = \max \{ |a_1|, \dots, |a_f| \}$.
 ($a_i \in K$)

scaling: enough to show $\left| \sum_{i=1}^f a_i x_i \right| = 1$
 when all $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$
 and some $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$.

If not, $\sum_{i=1}^f \bar{a}_i \bar{x}_i = 0$ in $k_{\mathbb{F}}$, so

all $\bar{a}_i = 0$. But some $\bar{a}_i \neq 0$. ✓

Back to claim: Supp. Relation

$$\sum_{\substack{j \\ K}} c_{ij} x_i y_j = 0. \quad \text{I.e., } \sum_j \underbrace{\left(\sum_i c_{ij} x_i \right)}_{\substack{\parallel \text{df.} \\ a_j}} y_j = 0.$$

By obs *) deduce $\forall j$:

$$|a_j| = \max \{ |c_{1j}|, \dots, |c_{fj}| \}$$

— visibly res in $|K^\times|$ (if nonz.)

Assumption on y_j 's tells us all terms of $\sum_j a_j y_j$ have
 (nonz)

distinct |·|:

$$|a_r y_r| \neq |a_s y_s|. \quad (\text{if nonz.})$$

Therefore "max achieved once", so

$$0 = \left| \sum_j a_j y_j \right| \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \max \{ |a_j y_j| : j=1, \dots, e \}$$

Conclude all $a_j = 0$, which by ^{obs*)} indep. means all $c_{ij} = 0$. \square

Strengthening: E/K finite, suppose $|\cdot|_1, \dots, |\cdot|_r$ are all the extns. of $|\cdot|$ on K .
w. corr. f_i and e_i .

- Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i \leq [E:K].$$

equal if E/K sep.

(Why? $e_i = e(\hat{E}_i/\hat{K})$ and sim. for f_i .)

By lemma, $e_i f_i \leq [\hat{E}_i:\hat{K}]$. Also, $\sum_{i=1}^r [\hat{E}_i:\hat{K}] \leq [E:K]$)

Next GOAL:

Thm. E/K finite and separable, $|K^\times|$ discrete.

Then: $\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i = [E:K]$.

- Above argument reduces to showing: - apply to \hat{E}_i/\hat{K} .

Lemma E/K finite, complete, $|K^\times|$ discrete.

Then: $e(E/K) \cdot f(E/K) = [E:K]$.

(non-arch.)
 E/K finite, K complete, $|K^\times|$ discrete. ($\cong |\pi|^\mathbb{Z}$).

Lemma: Suppose $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathcal{O}_E$ reduce to a k_K -basis for $\mathcal{O}_E/\pi\mathcal{O}_E$. Then:
 (just span)

$$\mathcal{O}_E = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_K \cdot v_i \quad (\oplus)$$

PF. Denote RHS by $M \subseteq \mathcal{O}_E$. Assumption: $\mathcal{O}_E = M + \pi\mathcal{O}_E$.
 $\forall x \in \mathcal{O}_E$, write $x = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i v_i + \pi y$, with $y \in \mathcal{O}_E$.
 — do the same for y ,
 continue:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i^{(n)} v_i + \pi^n y^{(n)}$$

such that $x_i^{(n+1)} \equiv x_i^{(n)} \pmod{\pi^n}$

K complete, let $c_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(n)}$.

Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i v_i \quad \square$

Exc: Check "freeness", so \mathcal{O}_E is finite free \mathcal{O}_K -module
 of rank = $\dim_{k_K} \mathcal{O}_E/\pi\mathcal{O}_E$.

ef = n: Uniformizers
 $\pi \in K, \Pi \in E$.

— write $\pi = (\text{unit}) \cdot \Pi^e$, $e = v_E(\pi)$
 (ram. idx.)

$$\left(|K^\times| = |\pi|^\mathbb{Z} \leq |E^\times| = |\pi|^\mathbb{Z} \circ |E^\times|/|K^\times| \cong \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z} \right)$$

- Pick $v_1, \dots, v_f \in \mathcal{O}_E$ reducing to a k_K -basis for k_E (modulo $\mathfrak{m}_E = (\pi)$).
 ($f = \text{inertia degree}$)

(*) Claim: $v_i \pi^j$ ($i=1, \dots, f$ and $j=0, \dots, e-1$) generate \mathcal{O}_E as an \mathcal{O}_K -module.

- introduce $M = \mathcal{O}_K v_1 + \dots + \mathcal{O}_K v_f$.

By assumption,

M

$$\mathcal{O}_E = M + \pi \mathcal{O}_E$$

$$= M + \pi M + \pi^2 \mathcal{O}_E$$

\vdots

$$= \underbrace{M + \pi M + \dots + \pi^{e-1} M}_{\substack{\text{must be } \mathcal{O}_E \\ \text{by the lemma.}}} + \underbrace{\pi^e \mathcal{O}_E}_{\pi \mathcal{O}_E}$$

(proves claim)

Certainly these $v_i \pi^j$ then span E as K -vs.,

- so $[E:K] \leq ef$. \square

Corollary) \mathcal{O}_E is free over \mathcal{O}_K of rank $n = [E:K]$.

PF. $|\mathcal{O}_E / \pi \mathcal{O}_E| = q_E^e = q_K^{ef}$ so $\dim_{k_K} \mathcal{O}_E / \pi \mathcal{O}_E = ef = n$. \square